	I (Pages I to 4)
Page 1	Page 3
	MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting, Inc.
SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY	GUESTS:
AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING	LARICA THOMPSON, Trimerge Consulting Group CHERI SANDERS, Community Member / PCG
KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER BOARD ROOM 600 SE 3RD AVENUE FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA	
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2023 9:33 A.M 12:29 P.M.	
Court Reporter: Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter Bass Reporting Service, Inc. 633 South Andrews Avenue, 5th Floor Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301	
Page 2	Page 4
COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: MR. ANDREW MEDVIN, CHAIR	<sup>1</sup> Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:
MS. ANARY FERTIG, VICE CHAIR MS. RUTH CARTER-LYNCH (Telephonic)	2
MS. REBECCA DAHL MR. ANTHONY DE MEO	<sup>3</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Good morning, everyone. Let's
DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH	<sup>4</sup> begin the meeting with the pledge, please. All
MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN MS. PHYLLIS SHAW (Telephonic) MS. JACLYN STRAUSS	<sup>5</sup> rise.
	(Whereupon, the Fledge of Allegiance was
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF:	<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> recited.)</li> <li><sup>8</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Good morning. I'm Joris</li> </ul>
MR. JORIS JABOUIN, Chief Auditor MS. MEREDITH ARLOTTA, Manager, Operations	<sup>9</sup> Jabouin, the district's chief auditor. For the
MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Information Technology Audits MS. HERMINE JAMES, Manager, Property & Inventory Audits	<sup>10</sup> roll call, on the telephone Dr. Ruth
MS. MICHELE MARQUARDT, Executive Secretary MS. JENNIFER DAILEY, Clerk Spec C	<sup>11</sup> Carter-Lynch?
MS. ASHLEY ACEVDEO, Inventory Audit Specialist	<sup>12</sup> MS. CARTER-LYNCH: Here.
DISTRICT STAFF: MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations,	<sup>13</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Also on the telephone, Ms.
Office of the Deputy Superintendent, Operations DR. NICOLE MANCINI, Chief Academic Officer, Office of	<sup>14</sup> Phyllis Shaw?
the Chief Academic Officer MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Task Assigned Chief of Staff, Office	<sup>15</sup> MS. SHAW: Here. Good morning everyone.
of the Chief of Staff MS. KIM PUNZI-ELABIARY, Task Assigned Manager	<sup>16</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Rebecca Dahl?
Behavioral Threat Assessment MS. MARY COKER, Director, Procurement & Warehousing	<sup>17</sup> MS. DAHL: Here.
Services	<sup>18</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Anthony De Meo?
INVITED GUESTS:	
	<sup>20</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Ms I'm sorry, Dr. Nathalie <sup>21</sup> Lynch-Walsh?
MR. MATTHEW BLONDELL, Business Risk Consulting, RSM (Telephonic) MR. CHRIS GI IMS. Risk Advisory Services. RSM	
(Telephonic) MR. CHRIS GUMS, Risk Advisory Services, RSM (Telephonic)	DIV. ETHOLI-WALON. Hele.
(Telephonic) MR. CHRIS GUMS, Risk Advisory Services, RSM	DR. ETNOLEWALON. HEIG.

## 2 (Pages 5 to 8)

		_ (
	Page 5	Page 7
1	MR. MEDVIN: Here.	<sup>1</sup> Nathalie Lynch-Walsh, did request that the board
2	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Jaclyn Strauss?	<sup>2</sup> send it back to the committee. There was board
3	MS. STRAUSS: Present.	<sup>3</sup> discussion on it. I recommended that they
4	MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Next item is approval of	<sup>4</sup> proceed with it and we would follow up on the
5	the agenda.	<sup>5</sup> findings during the follow-up process. Also look
6	MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve the agenda.	<sup>6</sup> at the new contract with PCG that the school
7	MR. MEDVIN: Second? Is there a second?	<sup>7</sup> board signed in January '23. And then I did
8	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second.	<sup>8</sup> remind the board that the excerpt to the minutes
9	MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, second.	<sup>9</sup> were included in their package that showed the
10	So all in favor?	<sup>10</sup> Audit Committee discussion, but, ultimately, the
11	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.	<sup>11</sup> discussion was whether or not to postpone it, and
12	MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?	<sup>12</sup> then I recommended in order to provide
13	(No response.)	<sup>13</sup> flexibility that they vote it down, which they
14	MR. MEDVIN: The agenda is approved.	<sup>14</sup> did. So that report will be on the committee's
15	Mr. Jabouin?	<sup>15</sup> March 2nd Audit Committee meeting.
16	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. To all Audit	<sup>16</sup> The board did approve the Independent
17	Committee members, school board employees and	<sup>17</sup> Auditor's Report Over Internal Controls Over
18	guests, please ensure, for the accuracy of the	<sup>18</sup> Financial Reporting and Compliance as well as the
19	minutes, that you please speak loudly and into	<sup>19</sup> Internal the Management Letter and the
20	the microphone. This also assists the members	<sup>20</sup> Internal Independent Accountants' Report. So, as
21	that are on the telephone to allow them to hear	<sup>21</sup> a priority, since they approved it, these have to
22	better.	<sup>22</sup> be uploaded in the various sites of the Auditor
23	And, also, to recognize Vice Chair Fertig has	<sup>23</sup> General, the Florida Department of Education, the
24	entered the room. So, good morning, Ms. Fertig.	<sup>24</sup> U.S. Department of Education, the Federal Audit
25	MS. FERTIG: Good morning.	<sup>25</sup> Clearinghouse. This will be a high-priority item
	MO. TERTIO. GOOD Morning.	Cleaninghouse. This will be a high-phoney item
	Page 6	Page 8
1	MR. JABOUIN: With respect to the district's	<sup>1</sup> for us for next week to get those uploaded and
2	annual training program for school board based	<sup>2</sup> verified and certified into those reports because
3	established advisory committee members, we have	<sup>3</sup> those are significant to the district.
4	received all of them but two. One of them,	<sup>4</sup> This concludes the Chief Auditor's
5	there's a technology issue that we will work with	<sup>5</sup> Administrative Matters, Chair.
6	that member to get, and the other one just	<sup>6</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?
7	happens to be a new member who just found out	<sup>7</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I guess during our comments
8	about the form this morning, as far as the	<sup>8</sup> at the end, I'll clarify why I requested it come
9	training, so we will obviously get that done as	<sup>9</sup> back to the Audit Committee because there will be
10	well. Same situation with the acknowledgment	<sup>10</sup> additional documents that need to be provided to
11	form as well. We have the voting conflict forms	<sup>11</sup> everybody for the March meeting. The board voted
12	if Audit Committee members need to use them.	<sup>12</sup> unanimously to reject accepting that report and
13	Also there are the timeframes for the agenda.	<sup>13</sup> sent it back to us so we can have further
14	They are not mandatory. They are guides. They	<sup>14</sup> discussion.
15		
16	are provided to outsiders that will be attending	WO. TERTIO. Carryou carrwe just do triat
17	the meeting. Sometimes it's district staff	now, is that onay: Decause i had a thought, i
18	members.	
19	The school board met yesterday. With respect	
20	to the education management software agreement	
20	forensic report that was presented at the January	
21	26th Audit Committee meeting, the Audit Committee	word for word, as i usually do, that day.
22	voted to transmit that report as documented in	The summer futoring, which is what the
	the attached minutes that are in your package.	involces were for, was in the contract with way i
24 25	At the school board meeting yesterday, school	
22	board member Audit Committee member, Dr.	as a concern or something significant. So, of
		1

### 3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	Page 9		Page 11
1	course, as I told the board yesterday, there	1	academic officer was signing invoices that were
2	should have been no surprise to subsequently	2	improperly backdated when the auditors CRI
3	receive invoices that included summer tutoring.	3	does mention in their initial and subsequent
4	And, if you're going to have summer tutoring in	4	report that the contract had the May 1 date in
5	the summer of 2021 and you are approving a	5	it, but that never got discussed by us because we
6	contract for a July 1, 2021 approving a	6	were all diverted to the invoices.
7	contract that starts July 1 but includes summer	7	Then the second table had invoices that were
8	tutoring, which I believe we all know that summer	8	older, which then creates a problem gets into
9	begins in June, which would be in the prior	9	accounts payable and the budgeting and funding
10	fiscal year, that, obviously, some work, lead	10	guidelines which will be something that needs to
11	work would have to be done. Even though the	11	be provided, because, if you have open if you
12	contract is for July, there are going to be	12	have invoices that are unpaid, and if everybody
13	services that start in May, like setting up the	13	has done what's in these guidelines, then there
14	summer tutoring, coordinating. And those were	14	should have been POs with funds left over and
15	that was all done in Sunshine at board workshops	15	those should have been carried forward to the
16	at board meetings.	16	next year so that you could then either
17	MS. FERTIG: So let me just cut through it	17	whatever they need to do.
18	here. So do you think there are other cases in	18	MS. FERTIG: So all of this is coming so
19	there that are the same and can we just get it	19	you're not just asking for the one, you're going
20	all at one time so that we	20	to go back through each of them and reevaluate
21	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well what do you mean	21	each one?
22	"all at one time"?	22	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Each one what? It was
23	MS. FERTIG: Well, there were multiple	23	never about the invoices. It was never about the
24	invoices so I'm just wondering if others are	24	invoices.
25	similar circumstances.	25	MS. FERTIG: Well, actually, the whole audit
	Page 10		Page 12
1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, so I spent the better	1	started over something else, so
2	part of five hours the better part of five	2	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Which was never
3	hours on Tuesday making sure that we got or I	3	answered. But it was never about the invoices.
4	got because I had to do a public records	4	That was a distraction because it was really
5	request because it's too hard to request it this	5	the two problems you had, the first one, I'm not
6	way, getting all the invoices, not that those	6	even sure why that became a problem because
7	were particularly helpful, I also requested	7	everybody knew. This was approved by the board.
8	emails and I've gone back and identified the	8	The funding was approved. There are emails
9	meetings. So the other invoices so there were	9	
			discussing what these line items are. It was
10	two sets of invoices in that report.	10	discussing what these line items are. It was about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's
10 11	-		c .
	two sets of invoices in that report.	10	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's
11	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right.	10 11	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how
11 12	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one	10 11 12	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer,
11 12 13	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service	10 11 12 13	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do
11 12 13 14	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed	10 11 12 13 14	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't
11 12 13 14 15	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is it's everywhere that they were doing summer tutoring	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did it turn into a big brouhaha unless everybody
11 12 13 14 15 16	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is it's everywhere that they were doing summer tutoring MS. FERTIG: Uh-huh.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is it's everywhere that they were doing summer tutoring	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did it turn into a big brouhaha unless everybody
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is it's everywhere that they were doing summer tutoring MS. FERTIG: Uh-huh.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did it turn into a big brouhaha unless everybody and, remember, there's been a shuffling and a
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is it's everywhere that they were doing summer tutoring MS. FERTIG: Uh-huh. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: so it should have been explained that there was carryover. So we have a budgeting and funding issue whereby was there	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did it turn into a big brouhaha unless everybody and, remember, there's been a shuffling and a changing of the deck chairs on the Titanic, so a lot of the people and the person that could have explained it was never requested by CRI, and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is it's everywhere that they were doing summer tutoring MS. FERTIG: Uh-huh. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: so it should have been explained that there was carryover. So we have a budgeting and funding issue whereby was there money left so the one for summer tutoring was	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did it turn into a big brouhaha unless everybody and, remember, there's been a shuffling and a changing of the deck chairs on the Titanic, so a lot of the people and the person that could have explained it was never requested by CRI, and all of these people were new, you threw in a new
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is it's everywhere that they were doing summer tutoring MS. FERTIG: Uh-huh. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: so it should have been explained that there was carryover. So we have a budgeting and funding issue whereby was there money left so the one for summer tutoring was brand new and that was being discussed in March,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did it turn into a big brouhaha unless everybody and, remember, there's been a shuffling and a changing of the deck chairs on the Titanic, so a lot of the people and the person that could have explained it was never requested by CRI, and all of these people were new, you threw in a new chief academic officer, we reshuffled, got rid of
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is it's everywhere that they were doing summer tutoring MS. FERTIG: Uh-huh. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: so it should have been explained that there was carryover. So we have a budgeting and funding issue whereby was there money left so the one for summer tutoring was brand new and that was being discussed in March, April, May, and all of that, of 2021. So I'm not	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did it turn into a big brouhaha unless everybody and, remember, there's been a shuffling and a changing of the deck chairs on the Titanic, so a lot of the people and the person that could have explained it was never requested by CRI, and all of these people were new, you threw in a new chief academic officer, we reshuffled, got rid of the ESE executive director, so there's all these
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	two sets of invoices in that report. MS. FERTIG: Right. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one are the ones with the May 1 beginning service date. PCG does not do the most detailed invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is it's everywhere that they were doing summer tutoring MS. FERTIG: Uh-huh. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: so it should have been explained that there was carryover. So we have a budgeting and funding issue whereby was there money left so the one for summer tutoring was brand new and that was being discussed in March,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about summer school, the 4 under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did it turn into a big brouhaha unless everybody and, remember, there's been a shuffling and a changing of the deck chairs on the Titanic, so a lot of the people and the person that could have explained it was never requested by CRI, and all of these people were new, you threw in a new chief academic officer, we reshuffled, got rid of

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

	Page 13		Page 15
1	that were questioned, and then	1	up getting audited at one point and then we got
2	MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'm getting all of this,	2	audited and now we have these really old
3	but I just want to make sure that when it comes	3	invoices. So anyone can miss a few invoices,
4	back we have a document for each thing that can	4	especially given how they match or don't match
5	be questioned so we don't have to	5	things. But, admit, okay, it's not a perfect
6	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, it would be, so	6	system, we missed some invoices, which then
7	things you need are the budget guidelines that	7	necessitated when people discovered it payment.
8	were in place, which I have, so I will happily	8	Of course they needed to be paid. But the
9	MS. FERTIG: Hopefully, he can hopefully,	9	question is, where did the funding for those old
10	he would	10	invoices go? Because I was told that the funds
11	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. As part of the	11	wouldn't have been spent because there's only so
12	public records request and the reason it took so	12	many places for funds to go because they weren't
13	long is we uncovered another problem. Nobody	13	available, presumably, and so those older
14	that has access apparently to SAP that was	14	invoices were being paid out of that July 1, 2021
15	questioned could provide me with the invoices	15	funding, because, presumably, there was no other
16	that matched up to POs and identify the funding	16	funding source. So that's something else that
17	source. Because there's also an issue of whether	17	needs to be addressed.
18	this was general funds or ESSER, because tutoring	18	MS. STRAUSS: Nathalie, I'm sorry. With all
19	would have been ESSER. And so you have all these	19	due respect, can we please get to the topic on
20	invoices that you have to identify the funding	20	hand because that's why we're here?
21	source. But it took like 12 people and then I	21	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Which is why I said after.
22	was manually matching I was manually matching	22	MS. STRAUSS: I can't do this.
23	those invoice numbers that we saw in the report	23	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because, yes, it's
24	which are the vendor invoice numbers to match	24	involved. It's involved.
25	them back to the SAP assigned invoice numbers and	25	MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Mr. Jabouin, did you want
	Ŭ		
	Page 14		Page 16
1	-	1	Page 16
1 2	the purchase order in order to know which things	1 2	to finish?
	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the		to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much,
2	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but,	2	to finish?
2 3	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that.	2 3	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit
2 3 4	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because	2 3 4	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting.
2 3 4 5	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions	2 3 4 5	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other
2 3 4 5 6	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the	2 3 4 5 6	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do
2 3 4 5 6	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this	2 3 4 5 6	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte?
2 3 5 6 7 8	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there? MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there? MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the agenda item comes up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what? MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there? MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the agenda item comes up. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're yeah, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what? MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be about any one person. I took it to be about some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there? MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the agenda item comes up. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're yeah, but they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what? MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be about any one person. I took it to be about some sloppy procedures that need to be strengthened by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there? MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the agenda item comes up. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're yeah, but they're MR. JABOUIN: Sure. We will bring them up. Ms. Marte?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what? MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be about any one person. I took it to be about some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there? MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the agenda item comes up. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're yeah, but they're MR. JABOUIN: Sure. We will bring them up. Ms. Marte? MRS. MARTE: Good morning. Judith Marte,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what? MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be about any one person. I took it to be about some sloppy procedures that need to be strengthened by better policies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to finish? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair. This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting. I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there? MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the agenda item comes up. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're yeah, but they're MR. JABOUIN: Sure. We will bring them up. Ms. Marte? MRS. MARTE: Good morning. Judith Marte, Deputy Superintendent Operations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that.</li> <li>But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal?</li> <li>If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what?</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be about any one person. I took it to be about some sloppy procedures that need to be strengthened by better policies.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Internal controls.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>to finish?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much,</li> <li>Chair.</li> <li>This item will be on the March 2nd Audit</li> <li>Committee meeting.</li> <li>I also would like to recognize the other</li> <li>persons that are in the room that I did not do</li> <li>during the roll call. Mrs. Marte?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason</li> <li> you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break</li> <li>my back to turn around to see them when we've got</li> <li>seats right here? Or there?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the</li> <li>agenda item comes up.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're yeah, but</li> <li>they're</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Sure. We will bring them up.</li> <li>Ms. MARTE: Good morning. Judith Marte,</li> <li>Deputy Superintendent Operations.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: Good morning everyone. Ernie</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that. But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal? If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what? MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be about any one person. I took it to be about some sloppy procedures that need to be strengthened by better policies. MS. STRAUSS: Internal controls. MS. FERTIG: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but we literally, on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>to finish?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair.</li> <li>This item will be on the March 2nd Audit</li> <li>Committee meeting.</li> <li>I also would like to recognize the other</li> <li>persons that are in the room that I did not do</li> <li>during the roll call. Mrs. Marte?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason</li> <li>- you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break</li> <li>my back to turn around to see them when we've got</li> <li>seats right here? Or there?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the</li> <li>agenda item comes up.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're yeah, but</li> <li>they're</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Sure. We will bring them up.</li> <li>Ms. Marte?</li> <li>MRS. MARTE: Good morning. Judith Marte,</li> <li>Deputy Superintendent Operations.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: Good morning everyone. Ernie</li> <li>Lozano, Task Assigned Chief of Staff.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that.</li> <li>But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal?</li> <li>If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what?</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be about any one person. I took it to be about some sloppy procedures that need to be strengthened by better policies.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Internal controls.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>to finish?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much,</li> <li>Chair.</li> <li>This item will be on the March 2nd Audit</li> <li>Committee meeting.</li> <li>I also would like to recognize the other</li> <li>persons that are in the room that I did not do</li> <li>during the roll call. Mrs. Marte?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason</li> <li> you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break</li> <li>my back to turn around to see them when we've got</li> <li>seats right here? Or there?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the</li> <li>agenda item comes up.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're yeah, but</li> <li>they're</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Sure. We will bring them up.</li> <li>Ms. MARTE: Good morning. Judith Marte,</li> <li>Deputy Superintendent Operations.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: Good morning everyone. Ernie</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that.</li> <li>But the and we also need CRI back because they do mention in that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal?</li> <li>If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what?</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be about any one person. I took it to be about some sloppy procedures that need to be strengthened by better policies.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Yeah.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but we literally, on the earlier invoices it was in the report that he</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>to finish?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair.</li> <li>This item will be on the March 2nd Audit</li> <li>Committee meeting.</li> <li>I also would like to recognize the other</li> <li>persons that are in the room that I did not do</li> <li>during the roll call. Mrs. Marte?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason</li> <li>- you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break</li> <li>my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the agenda item comes up.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're yeah, but they're</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Sure. We will bring them up. Ms. Marte?</li> <li>MRS. MARTE: Good morning. Judith Marte,</li> <li>Deputy Superintendent Operations.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: Good morning everyone. Ernie</li> <li>Lozano, Task Assigned Chief of Staff.</li> <li>MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Good morning. Kim</li> </ul>

	Page 17		Page 19
		1	
1	MS. STRAUSS: Where is Dr. Wanza?	2	MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve as amended.
3	MS. FERTIG: I ran into her in the hall and	3	MR. MEDVIN: Any seconds?
4	she's coming in a minute.	4	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second.
5	MS. HARPALANI: Jennifer Harpalani, Office of	5	MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?
6	the Chief Auditor.	6	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
7	MS. ACEVEDO: Ashley Acevedo, Office of the	7	MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?
8	Chief Auditor.	8	(No response.)
9	MS. DAILEY: Jennifer Dailey, Office of the	9	MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.
10	Chief Auditor.	10	MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. A quick point, Mr.
11	MS. JAMES: Hermine James, Office of the	11	Medvin, that you may not have been aware of. We
12	Chief Auditor.	12	received the documentation appointing Ms.
13	MS. ARLOTTA: Meredith Arlotta, Office of the Chief Auditor.	13	Carter-Lynch after the meeting. So during the
14		14	meeting she didn't count for quorum and voting as
15	MR. JABOUIN: In the back, first? MS. LAZARRE: Gerri Lazarre, Trimerge	15	well. So just something to keep that in mind. MR. MEDVIN: I have no additional comments.
16	Consulting Group. We're in the audit pool for	16	Item Number 8, RSM - Behavioral Threat Assessment
17	the IT construction and audit.	17	Policy and Procedures.
18	MS. THOMPSON: Larica Thompson, with	18	MR. JABOUIN: Yes, a quick introduction. So
19	Trimerge.	19	this is the audit of the Behavioral Threat
20	MR. MEDVIN: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?	20	Assessment Policies and Procedures. This audit
21	I couldn't hear you.	21	is a responsible is a responsibility of the
22	MS. THOMPSON: Larica Thompson.	22	chief auditor and we engaged RSM to perform this.
23	MS. DAHL: Are you using the mikes?	23	We will be, and as you are seeing, more frequent
24	MS. LAZARRE: Good morning everyone. I hope	24	audits in this area, so the RSM team who has
25	you can hear me. Gerri Lazarre with Trimerge	25	performed all these for us is here, as well as
	Page 18		Page 20
1		1	
1 2	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part	1 2	Page 20 Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion.
	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for		Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion.
2	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part	2	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie
2 3	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract.	2 3	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion.
2 3 4	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson,	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Mr. Lozano and his team as well.</li> <li>And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract.	2 3 4 5	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page
2 3 4 5 6	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Mr. Lozano and his team as well.</li> <li>And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Mr. Lozano and his team as well.</li> <li>And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy.</li> </ul>
2 3 5 6 7 8	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members. RSM did not test the appropriateness of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members. RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't. MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members. RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process. We've included a table on page three based on the conversation from the last Audit Committee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't. MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the agenda is approval of the minutes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members. RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process. We've included a table on page three based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't. MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the agenda is approval of the minutes. Are there any comments?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members. RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process. We've included a table on page three based on the conversation from the last Audit Committee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't. MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the agenda is approval of the minutes. Are there any comments? MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members. RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process. We've included a table on page three based on the conversation from the last Audit Committee meeting where we were trying to all recall the dates, the times of when, the scope period and coverage, so we hope that that's beneficial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't. MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the agenda is approval of the minutes. Are there any comments? MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve. MR. MEDVIN: I have one. On the title page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members. RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process. We've included a table on page three based on the conversation from the last Audit Committee meeting where we were trying to all recall the dates, the times of when, the scope period and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't. MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the agenda is approval of the minutes. Are there any comments? MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve. MR. MEDVIN: I have one. On the title page it has guests, the group includes Ms. Carter-Lynch. She, in fact, is a member of the committee as of the last meeting. So if we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members. RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process. We've included a table on page three based on the conversation from the last Audit Committee meeting where we were trying to all recall the dates, the times of when, the scope period and coverage, so we hope that that's beneficial. We did kick off this in October of 2022. The report is dated January 18th 2023.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't. MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the agenda is approval of the minutes. Are there any comments? MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve. MR. MEDVIN: I have one. On the title page it has guests, the group includes Ms. Carter-Lynch. She, in fact, is a member of the committee as of the last meeting. So if we can change the cover page to indicate that she is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Mr. Lozano and his team as well.</li> <li>And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members.</li> <li>RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process.</li> <li>We've included a table on page three based on the conversation from the last Audit Committee meeting where we were trying to all recall the dates, the times of when, the scope period and coverage, so we hope that that's beneficial.</li> <li>We did kick off this in October of 2022. The report is dated January 18th 2023.</li> <li>If you move to page 14 there are there is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't. MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the agenda is approval of the minutes. Are there any comments? MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve. MR. MEDVIN: I have one. On the title page it has guests, the group includes Ms. Carter-Lynch. She, in fact, is a member of the committee as of the last meeting. So if we can change the cover page to indicate that she is a committee member in attendance as opposed to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Lozano and his team as well. And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion. MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members. RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process. We've included a table on page three based on the conversation from the last Audit Committee meeting where we were trying to all recall the dates, the times of when, the scope period and coverage, so we hope that that's beneficial. We did kick off this in October of 2022. The report is dated January 18th 2023. If you move to page 14 there are there is a matrix which this committee is familiar with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract. MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group. MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace? MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM. MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers? MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't. MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the agenda is approval of the minutes. Are there any comments? MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve. MR. MEDVIN: I have one. On the title page it has guests, the group includes Ms. Carter-Lynch. She, in fact, is a member of the committee as of the last meeting. So if we can change the cover page to indicate that she is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Mr. Lozano and his team as well.</li> <li>And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: Thank you. I'm here with Jamie and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated into the software, submitted, substantially complete and completed/signed by the appropriate members.</li> <li>RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process.</li> <li>We've included a table on page three based on the conversation from the last Audit Committee meeting where we were trying to all recall the dates, the times of when, the scope period and coverage, so we hope that that's beneficial.</li> <li>We did kick off this in October of 2022. The report is dated January 18th 2023.</li> <li>If you move to page 14 there are there is</li> </ul>

			0 (100300 21 00 21)
	Page 21		Page 23
1	school year '21, '22 and now '23.	1	user, which is you all, the Audit Committee. So,
2	I know that the committee has had this report	2	with that being said, if the sample needs to be
3	for a couple of weeks now, so we'd like to move	3	increased, we are happy to increase the sample to
4	right into entertaining any questions, comments,	4	satisfy the committee.
5	or concerns that the committee has for us as well	5	However, if I may, in auditing a
6	as for staff at the district.	6	statistically valid sample is no more than 60.
7	MR. MEDVIN: All right. It looks like your	7	So when you add the two pieces together we will
8	sample size for the last quarter was 35. I think	8	be at 70. Again, it's at the discretion of the
9	the quarter before that was also 35.	9	committee. However, using a sample, you are
10	MS. MURTHA: Correct.	10	typically going to identify the trends and the
11	MR. MEDVIN: Now, that wasn't just a pure	11	errors and maybe not all of the irregularities,
12	statistical sample. Please tell me how you came	12	but you should be able to identify the trends.
13	up with that number and the members of the	13	And that's through GAP and GASB and AICPA that is
14	sample.	14	accepted. However, at the discretion of the
15	MS. BARDEE: So the sampling size we worked	15	committee we can audit as many as you desire.
16	with internal audit to determine that number.	16	MS. STRAUSS: Thank you. I appreciate that.
17	And the objective was that we're going to be	17	And I believe, if we want to pull the record, the
18	doing these more frequently so we do not need as	18	last time we looked at this we did express
19	large of a sample size.	19	concerns with the 35. I don't know about my
20	MR. MEDVIN: Okay. But on 9/30/22 the number	20	colleagues here, but I was not consulted from the
21	of threats reported it lists as 885, then they're	21	chief auditor on what we believed was an
22	broken down, most of which are entitled	22	appropriate sample size. So we have not made
23	transient. And I'm not quite sure what that	23	that recommendation as an Audit Committee.
24	means, and that will be one of my questions	24	Somebody seemed to take that upon themselves to
25	later. So this 35, did you purposely go to the	25	do. And that is not that is not, in my
	Page 22		Page 24
1	very serious, and serious, and basically ignore	1	opinion, the purpose of all of us sitting here.
2	the other ones for this purpose or	2	Okay? I don't volunteer my time to not be
3	MS. BARDEE: We feel that that's where the	3	consulted. And I understand according to GAP,
4	highest risk is.	4	you know, and all the different governing bodies
5	MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Is 35 enough? I mean	5	that 70 is the number that they say. But when it
6	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.	6	comes to Behavioral Threat Assessment and
7	MR. MEDVIN: I'm a little concerned about	7	children, which I believe is the most important
8	that.	8	thing, and I think everyone around this table
9	Ms. Strauss?	9	probably prioritizes that, 70 is not enough. So
10	MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. Thank you. So in my	10	I don't care what the standards say.
11	you know, first of all, I appreciate everything	11	MS. MURTHA: Understood.
12	that you do. I know that you all are very	12	MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?
13	capable. However, even though it is being done	13	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I concur with everything
1.4		14	

14 that Ms. Strauss just said and I'm going to add a 15 appropriate to have just a sample of 35 students. couple of questions to that.

- 16 On the same page 4 it says, per Internal 17 Audit's direction we judgmentally selected a 18 sample of 35 threat assessments, thus our sample 19 and related testing results were not designed to 20 be representative of the population. 21 Okay. So in addition, and I believe Ms. 22 Strauss is a CPA, but in addition to me, myself, 23 having a background in accounting, I'm a degreed 24
  - accountant, I also have a Ph.D., which means I understand methodology, so this doesn't sound

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more frequently, I do not think that it's

serve in this district?

That's very immaterial.

200,000.

At the end of the day, how many students do we

answer that question? How many students do we

MRS. MARTE: Through the Chair, approximately

MS. STRAUSS: 200,000. So 35 out of 200,000.

MS. MURTHA: So I can address that. So the

sample size is really at the discretion of the

serve in this district? Does somebody want to

### 7 (Pages 25 to 28)

Page 25	Page 27
<sup>1</sup> like random sampling.	<sup>1</sup> could have another tragedy, because we don't
<sup>2</sup> MS. MURTHA: It is not random sampling.	<sup>2</sup> actually know if good information, useful
<sup>3</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So what does	<sup>3</sup> information, is being provided, much less acted
<sup>4</sup> judgmentally mean?	<sup>4</sup> upon, because that's not part of your scope.
5 MS. BARDEE: When we judgmentally select	<sup>5</sup> Which, I guess the reason you have an Audit
<sup>6</sup> samples we want to have a good mix of high	<sup>6</sup> Committee is to point these things out when
<sup>7</sup> schools, centers, elementary schools, middle	<sup>7</sup> they're not proactively done.
<sup>8</sup> schools as well as timing. So we want to see at	<sup>8</sup> So I completely concur with Jaclyn, but I
<sup>9</sup> the beginning of the scope period, middle and end	<sup>9</sup> think, given the small number of the population
<sup>10</sup> to see if there's anything that changes in there.	<sup>10</sup> that you wound up with, and, again, this isn't on
<sup>11</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So	<sup>11</sup> you, one all of them should have been
<sup>12</sup> MR. JABOUIN: And to add to that, Dr.	<sup>12</sup> included. Because then you would have you
<sup>13</sup> Lynch-Walsh, to answer your question, the	<sup>13</sup> would actually know what's going on. At first we
<sup>14</sup> judgmentally part is actually gearing it towards	<sup>14</sup> were trying to get the compliance and then to
<sup>15</sup> the higher risk items because the higher risk	<sup>15</sup> performance in terms of what's actually
<sup>16</sup> occurrences have more steps that need to be	<sup>16</sup> happening.
<sup>17</sup> followed and tested.	<sup>17</sup> And, yes, 70 isn't enough. I would say do
<sup>18</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm following how they got	<sup>18</sup> the whole 119 should have been done. And that's
<sup>19</sup> to 119, but my so we have so far so we	<sup>19</sup> just on those two pages.
<sup>20</sup> have the new policy, the new law and the new	<sup>20</sup> MS. MURTHA: May I? May I comment? And we
<sup>21</sup> behavioral threat assessment system. Step 1 was	<sup>21</sup> can absolutely do that. There is you know, we
<sup>22</sup> just trying to get people to put stuff in there.	<sup>22</sup> can audit the phone book if we wanted to.
<sup>23</sup> What I came back to and why I'm going to	<sup>23</sup> Anything, you know, can be audited. It obviously
<sup>24</sup> suggestion that we recommended that all 119	<sup>24</sup> will could impact timing, could impact the
<sup>25</sup> should have been included, forget sample, just do	<sup>25</sup> fee.
 Page 26	Page 28
<sup>1</sup> the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119	<sup>1</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> <li>I know that you are perfectly capable of doing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> <li>I know that you are perfectly capable of doing</li> <li>this, but understand that this Audit Committee is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> <li>I know that you are perfectly capable of doing</li> <li>this, but understand that this Audit Committee is</li> <li>not consulted on sample size, we did not</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> <li>I know that you are perfectly capable of doing</li> <li>this, but understand that this Audit Committee is</li> <li>not consulted on sample size, we did not</li> <li>recommend not going with the full population, and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> <li>I know that you are perfectly capable of doing</li> <li>this, but understand that this Audit Committee is</li> <li>not consulted on sample size, we did not</li> <li>recommend not going with the full population, and</li> <li>I think it's important to understand on page 3,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm</li> <li>sorry, that had built up during that particular</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> <li>I know that you are perfectly capable of doing</li> <li>this, but understand that this Audit Committee is</li> <li>not consulted on sample size, we did not</li> <li>recommend not going with the full population, and</li> <li>I think it's important to understand on page 3,</li> <li>your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm sorry, that had built up during that particular</li> <li>timeframe.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> <li>I know that you are perfectly capable of doing</li> <li>this, but understand that this Audit Committee is</li> <li>not consulted on sample size, we did not</li> <li>recommend not going with the full population, and</li> <li>I think it's important to understand on page 3,</li> <li>your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the</li> <li>appropriateness of the content of files or the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm</li> <li>sorry, that had built up during that particular</li> <li>timeframe.</li> <li>The reality of it is, we want to be able to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> <li>I know that you are perfectly capable of doing</li> <li>this, but understand that this Audit Committee is</li> <li>not consulted on sample size, we did not</li> <li>recommend not going with the full population, and</li> <li>I think it's important to understand on page 3,</li> <li>your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the</li> <li>appropriateness of the content of files or the</li> <li>district's threat assessment process.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm</li> <li>sorry, that had built up during that particular</li> <li>timeframe.</li> <li>The reality of it is, we want to be able to</li> <li>provide information timely to the Audit Committee</li> </ul>
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm</li> <li>sorry, that had built up during that particular</li> <li>timeframe.</li> <li>The reality of it is, we want to be able to</li> <li>provide information timely to the Audit Committee</li> <li>and the board. The more lengthier to be able</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119</li> <li>threat assessments.</li> <li>And the reason and the thing is, what</li> <li>you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and</li> <li>I know that you are perfectly capable of doing</li> <li>this, but understand that this Audit Committee is</li> <li>not consulted on sample size, we did not</li> <li>recommend not going with the full population, and</li> <li>I think it's important to understand on page 3,</li> <li>your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the</li> <li>appropriateness of the content of files or the</li> <li>district's threat assessment process.</li> <li>So we don't know whether the information</li> <li>now, that we've got people uploading and putting</li> </ul>	1MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as2sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.3So all the auditors that do work here, they do it4on a sampling basis.5During that particular period there was 8856threats that were tested.7MS. STRAUSS: In three months?8MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm9sorry, that had built up during that particular10The reality of it is, we want to be able to12provide information timely to the Audit Committee13and the board. The more lengthier to be able14to do all of them is going to require much more
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious	1MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as2sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.3So all the auditors that do work here, they do it4on a sampling basis.5During that particular period there was 8856threats that were tested.7MS. STRAUSS: In three months?8MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm9sorry, that had built up during that particular10timeframe.11The reality of it is, we want to be able to12provide information timely to the Audit Committee13and the board. The more lengthier to be able14to do all of them is going to require much more15time.
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious16certificates and everything else, now that	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm sorry, that had built up during that particular timeframe.</li> <li>The reality of it is, we want to be able to provide information timely to the Audit Committee and the board. The more lengthier to be able to do all of them is going to require much more time. So this report would have been prepared much later.</li> </ul>
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious16certificates and everything else, now that17they're doing all of the minutia, we don't know	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm sorry, that had built up during that particular timeframe.</li> <li>The reality of it is, we want to be able to provide information timely to the Audit Committee and the board. The more lengthier to be able to do all of them is going to require much more time. So this report would have been prepared much later.</li> <li>So at some point the audits were occurring</li> </ul>
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious16certificates and everything else, now that17they're doing all of the minutia, we don't know18if the minutia is any good. Because that's not	<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as</li> <li>sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.</li> <li>So all the auditors that do work here, they do it</li> <li>on a sampling basis.</li> <li>During that particular period there was 885</li> <li>threats that were tested.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: In three months?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm</li> <li>sorry, that had built up during that particular</li> <li>timeframe.</li> <li>The reality of it is, we want to be able to</li> <li>provide information timely to the Audit Committee</li> <li>and the board. The more lengthier to be able</li> <li>to do all of them is going to require much more</li> <li>time. So this report would have been prepared</li> <li>much later.</li> <li>So at some point the audits were occurring</li> <li>and they were a little bit too slow and we wanted</li> </ul>
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious16certificates and everything else, now that17they're doing all of the minutia, we don't know18if the minutia is any good. Because that's not19what you're testing for, which I think we're at a	1MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as2sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.3So all the auditors that do work here, they do it4on a sampling basis.5During that particular period there was 8856threats that were tested.7MS. STRAUSS: In three months?8MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm9sorry, that had built up during that particular10timeframe.11The reality of it is, we want to be able to12provide information timely to the Audit Committee13and the board. The more lengthier to be able14to do all of them is going to require much more15time. So this report would have been prepared16much later.17So at some point the audits were occurring18and they were a little bit too slow and we wanted19to go ahead and speed them up. I think it's
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious16certificates and everything else, now that17they're doing all of the minutia, we don't know18if the minutia is any good. Because that's not19what you're testing for, which I think we're at a	1MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as2sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.3So all the auditors that do work here, they do it4on a sampling basis.5During that particular period there was 8856threats that were tested.7MS. STRAUSS: In three months?8MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm9sorry, that had built up during that particular10timeframe.11The reality of it is, we want to be able to12provide information timely to the Audit Committee13and the board. The more lengthier to be able14to do all of them is going to require much more15time. So this report would have been prepared16much later.17So at some point the audits were occurring18and they were a little bit too slow and we wanted19to go ahead and speed them up. I think it's20better to provide a good point of view and then
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious16certificates and everything else, now that17they're doing all of the minutia, we don't know18if the minutia is any good. Because that's not19what you're testing for, which I think we're at a20point where that needs to get added into what	1MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as2sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.3So all the auditors that do work here, they do it4on a sampling basis.5During that particular period there was 8856threats that were tested.7MS. STRAUSS: In three months?8MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm9sorry, that had built up during that particular10timeframe.11The reality of it is, we want to be able to12provide information timely to the Audit Committee13and the board. The more lengthier to be able14to do all of them is going to require much more15time. So this report would have been prepared16much later.17So at some point the audits were occurring18and they were a little bit too slow and we wanted19to go ahead and speed them up. I think it's20better to provide a good point of view and then21go on and do the next work on that end, rather
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious16certificates and everything else, now that17they're doing all of the minutia, we don't know18if the minutia is any good. Because that's not19what you're testing for, which I think we're at a20point where that needs to get added into what21you're doing so that you can speak to the	1MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as2sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.3So all the auditors that do work here, they do it4on a sampling basis.5During that particular period there was 8856threats that were tested.7MS. STRAUSS: In three months?8MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm9sorry, that had built up during that particular10timeframe.11The reality of it is, we want to be able to12provide information timely to the Audit Committee13and the board. The more lengthier to be able14to do all of them is going to require much more15time. So this report would have been prepared16much later.17So at some point the audits were occurring18and they were a little bit too slow and we wanted19to go ahead and speed them up. I think it's20better to provide a good point of view and then21go on and do the next work on that end, rather
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious16certificates and everything else, now that17they're doing all of the minutia, we don't know18if the minutia is any good. Because that's not19what you're testing for, which I think we're at a20point where that needs to get added into what21you're doing so that you can speak to the22appropriateness of the content of the file and to	1MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as2sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.3So all the auditors that do work here, they do it4on a sampling basis.5During that particular period there was 8856threats that were tested.7MS. STRAUSS: In three months?8MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm9sorry, that had built up during that particular10timeframe.11The reality of it is, we want to be able to12provide information timely to the Audit Committee13and the board. The more lengthier to be able14to do all of them is going to require much more15time. So this report would have been prepared16much later.17So at some point the audits were occurring18and they were a little bit too slow and we wanted19to go ahead and speed them up. I think it's20better to provide a good point of view and then21go on and do the next work on that end, rather22than wait and go through all the processes. So
1the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 1192threat assessments.3And the reason and the thing is, what4you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and5I know that you are perfectly capable of doing6this, but understand that this Audit Committee is7not consulted on sample size, we did not8recommend not going with the full population, and9I think it's important to understand on page 3,10your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the11appropriateness of the content of files or the12district's threat assessment process.13So we don't know whether the information14now, that we've got people uploading and putting15stuff in and uploading those precious16certificates and everything else, now that17they're doing all of the minutia, we don't know18if the minutia is any good. Because that's not19what you're testing for, which I think we're at a20point where that needs to get added into what21you're doing so that you can speak to the22appropriateness of the content of the file and to23the threat assessment process, itself. Or else	1MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as2sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.3So all the auditors that do work here, they do it4on a sampling basis.5During that particular period there was 8856threats that were tested.7MS. STRAUSS: In three months?8MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire I'm9sorry, that had built up during that particular10timeframe.11The reality of it is, we want to be able to12provide information timely to the Audit Committee13and the board. The more lengthier to be able14to do all of them is going to require much more15time. So this report would have been prepared16much later.17So at some point the audits were occurring18and they were a little bit too slow and we wanted19to go ahead and speed them up. I think it's20better to provide a good point of view and then21go on and do the next work on that end, rather22than wait and go through all the processes. So23there is a timeliness factor that can be

			, j ,
	Page 29		Page 31
1	MR. JABOUIN: Yes.	1	items we deal with. It's a very
2	MS. MURTHA: We have the staff to do the work	2	MS. MURTHA: Understood. I just wanted to
3	from our side, at RSM. However, we do burden the	3	point out that we have the resources, but it's
4	system. We are at the schools, we are talking to	4	kind of a two-way street.
5	the principals. It's not just on us, the time.	5	MR. DE MEO: You're a very large firm, we
6	So just to point that out, we do have active	6	know that, and very capable. But I think
7	conferences with the principals, with Ernie's	7	whatever imposition occurs will just have to
8	team. So the larger the sample the more the	8	occur.
9	burden on the district.	9	Second, Section 5D of the policies, we
10	MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?	10	your last report we discussed
11	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I think Jaclyn put	11	MS. SHAW: I can't hear the speaker. I'm
12	her hand up and Mary, they had their hands up	12	sorry.
13	first.	13	MR. MAYERSOHN: Is your microphone on?
14	MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just I just want to	14	MR. DE MEO: You can't hear me?
15	start overall.	15	MR. MAYERSOHN: Now we can.
16	MS. DAHL: Mary, put the mike	16	MR. DE MEO: It's on. We discussed the
17	MS. FERTIG: Oh, sorry, I moved it away.	17	referrals to the authorities, to the police, the
18	Thank you.	18	sheriff. I don't see any attribute that
19	Yeah, I have a lot of concerns just about the	19	addresses that specifically. Am I missing that?
20	numbers on these first few pages before we get in	20	Is that 5D?
21	the back.	21	MS. BARDEE: So the student risk intake form
22	So I guess what I want to see that what we're	22	does address if it was an immediate threat was
23	doing is having some sort of impact on the	23	police notified.
24	threats and so forth in schools. I don't really	24	MR. DE MEO: And you tested for that?
25	kind of see that. I as I'm going through	25	MS. BARDEE: Yeah.
	5 5 5		
	Page 30		Page 32
1	your, on page 4 where you have serious	1	MR. DE MEO: And are your findings reported
2	substantive exceptions noted for 12 or 80 percent	2	on that?
3	of the 15 threats tested, that just kind of makes	3	MR. LOZANO: Good morning, sir.
4	me wonder, if we were to follow up on the threats	4	So if you look at attributes 3 and 4 law
5	that we saw in the previous reports, if they will	5	enforcement has to participate in every single
6	have corrected their problems or not. Are these	6	threat assessment meeting we have to determine
7	problems being corrected or because we're doing a	7	the level of threat. So that is looked at in
8	different sample size, are we not really catching	8	this audit, that law enforcement was one of the
9	the fact that the mistakes that you've noted in	9	required members on the threat assessment team.
10	the past have not really been fixed?	10	MR. DE MEO: Which one which attribute
11	And I don't know what the answer to that is,	11	addresses that in this report?
12	but I kind of this may be a burden on schools,	12	Because I'd like to know if each one of these
13	but I actually think you're helping them when you	13	serious threats, if just one wasn't reported, I
14	go in and you can point out to them something	14	consider that a serious failure.
15	that they need to be doing better. So I yeah,	15	MS. BARDEE: So I think the best one would be
16	I think if you have the staff and we have the	16	28. Was the BTA signed by all team members as
17	resources this should be prioritized as something	17	required? And that's where we do look for each
18	that we are doing the max on.	18	required one.
19	So I I have other comments, but I know Mr.	19	MR. LOZANO: Correct.
20	De Meo was there right simultaneous.	20	MR. DE MEO: I'm going to suggest, again,
21	MR. DE MEO: Just a couple of comments.	21	that a separate line item attribute be tested for
22	First and a question. First, impacting the	22	the referral to the appropriate authorities.
23	staff in performing your work in this matter, I	23	That, to me, six years ago was one of the serious
24	believe I don't think anyone would disagree,	24	contributing factors to a tragedy and we don't
24			
24	this is probably one of the highest priority	25	need to have that again. I mean, this is

			) (Idgeb 35 60 50)
	Page 33		Page 35
1	something within our control.	1	each of those threat assessments that had a fail
2	Then, last comment, the judgmental sampling	2	in the attribute before we even came to the Audit
3	as you've described makes a lot of sense, but the	3	Committee meeting.
4	two speakers before me or the three speakers	4	MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I'd like for the
5	before me pointed out what a serious and	5	chief auditor to perhaps be more transparent and
6	important matter this is. And I do think the	6	communicate that information to all of us.
7	judgment in this case in determining the sample	7	Because I didn't know that. I mean, I don't
8	should be all 119, or all the serious threats, or	8	know, I don't know, maybe my colleagues got the
9	all threats over a certain level, whatever the	9	memo and I missed it, but I didn't see it.
10	consensus here is. And I think perhaps Mr. Chief	10	The other thing in regards to Mr. Chief
11	Auditor will at least in this case bring this to	11	Auditor's comment on timing and timing and a
12	our attention so that we could contribute to how	12	delay and a delay, I think we just heard from RSM
13	the audit is going to be performed.	13	they are fully capable, fully, where there should
14	That's all I got.	14	not be a delay. And I believe that if we asked
15	MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?	15	for additional investment in audit funds that may
16	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you want to go?	16	be necessary to increase the scope of your audit
17	MS. STRAUSS: Yes. Do you have something to	17	sample size, nobody would disagree with that.
18	say before I make my comment?	18	Nobody.
19	MR. LOZANO: No, I said it.	19	So I don't want to hear about timing and
20	MS. STRAUSS: You said it? You're good?	20	extra time and blah-blah-blah. Because at the
21	MR. LOZANO: Thank you, I'm good right now.	21	end of the day, as a mother, I do not want a
22	MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So a few things here.	22	child sitting next to my son or daughter that is
23	Now, number one, I do agree with my colleagues	23	going to be taking some sort of not desirable
24	here that bothering or interrupting the day of	24	action, period, end of story. There is no
25	school staff, I really don't care; okay? This is	25	exceptions here.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	their job.	1	So, like, I'm looking I'm looking at
2	Now, Mrs. Fertig said, you know, helping	2	percent failed. I mean, out of 35 sample size,
3	them, you know, it will help them get better.	3	the fact that those percentages that there's
4	That's not your job either; right? But simply	4	failures in such a small sample, I mean, then
5	asking the questions, right, so they know that	5	what will those percentages be if the sample size
6	they're being held accountable, may have some	6	is larger? That's scary. And, again, I think as
7	action oriented results. That's number one.	7	Mr. De Meo pointed out, like, we don't want one.
8	Number two, the point around the failures	8	We had one and then we just celebrated or, I'm
9	from the last quarter's audit report, how do we	9	sorry, not celebrated, we just memorialized five
10	know I want a report to know, from your	10	years of an awful massacre. And it's not
11	office, Mr. Lozano, that those have been	11	stopping. So what are we doing?
12	addressed. I want to know what happened. I	12	MR. MEDVIN: Are you currently working on the
13	don't want them falling through the cracks.	13	next quarter's report?
1.4	Male and dates this just to like sevent and toll	14	MC DADDEE: Naturat M/a ware weiting for

<sup>14</sup> MS. BARDEE: Not yet. We were waiting for <sup>15</sup> direction.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. So at this point your scope hasn't been finalized?

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So can we do that, Mr. Medvin?

MR. MEDVIN: We can certainly talk about it. Again, I don't know what our parameters are as far as total cases and the breakdown that they had to work with.

- Dr. Lynch-Walsh?
  - DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I want to double back to

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

audit.

We're not doing this just to like report and tell

was done to rectify those situations and what

happens in those situations that were serious;

accomplishing? We're paying for an audit and

then we're not doing anything in response to that

MR. LOZANO: So the chief auditor had us

address those issues even during the audit and

made sure they were all addressed. Prior to us

coming to the last audit meeting we had addressed

us and then do nothing. So I want to know what

okay? Because at the end of the day what are we

	Page 37		Page 39
1	the point Mr. De Meo brought up, which was	1	under BTA Training and it has 5a, b and c, is
2	Section 5 he said 5D, but since he brought it	2	that supposed to be how you're auditing the
3	up I've been reading a little bit here. So	3	policy references 5a, b and c or am I
4	Section 5, in the policy, at least the one that's	4	misunderstanding?
5	in the packet from last month, says Follow-Up and	5	So on page 10 there's a section called BTA
6	Intervention and it has A, B, C, D and E.	6	Training and there are numbers in the second
7	MS. FERTIG: Do you have a page number?	7	column.
8	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: In the packet it says on	8	MS. BARDEE: I understand what you're saying.
9	the bottom, page 35, and then I'm going to double	9	And, no, it's not tied to that.
10	back to page 13.	10	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.
11	MS. DAHL: I was going to say I was looking	11	MS. BARDEE: We don't break out 5 as one
12	at 13.	12	attribute, but we test it individually, so,
13	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, 13 is whether it's	13	students, staff, and then the other the last
14	auditable or not. If you go back to the policy	14	training there, whether they're putting out in
15	section, the actual policy, it well, it says	15	the school community, you know, information.
16	page 35 at the bottom, so I think it's page 35 of	16	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So let me phrase
17	the packet. It also says page 6 of 8.	17	this differently.
18	MR. JABOUIN: There is the numbering of the	18	What attributes are testing on page 13, 5A,
19	document, itself, and then some documents have	19	5B, 5C? What attributes are associated with
20	their own internal numbering between that. Can	20	those?
21	you read the last number way at the bottom, Dr.	21	MS. BARDEE: When we look at the monitoring
22	Lynch-Walsh?	22	plans they are given, whether they need to go to
23	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On mine it's 35. So at the	23	the different they need mental health
24	top it says Section V, Follow-Up and	24	services; they need counseling; those types of
25	Intervention.	25	things.
	Page 38		Page 40
1	MS. DAHL: Is it 73, also?	1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So where
2	MS. STRAUSS: No, no, no, you're on page 72.	2	MS. WALLACE: If I may, I can address that
3	MS. DAHL: Okay. Thank you.	3	for you. If you look at that same chart you're
4	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then while	4	looking at, there's a column that says Policy
5	hanging on to that double back to page 13 which	5	4380 next to the Attributes column, those are
6	has the policy references and then so 5A seems	6	policy reference numbers. So if you look at
7	to match, BTA teams shall follow established	7	Attribute 9, for example, you will see that it
8	procedures for school-based community, and/or	8	addresses Policy IV(D)(c) as well as V(A)(C)(G)
9		9	
10	health care providers for mental health services. B, the school principal will review the	10	and (H). And if you proceed to the next page there's a few others that also address Section V.
11	documentation for all threat assessments to	11	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So specifically 5A
12		12	DR. LTNOT-WALOT. OKAY. SU SPECIFICALLY SA
13	ensure completeness and fidelity. C, if an immediate mental health or substance abuse crisis	13	 COURT REPORTER: Who is that?
14		14	
15	is suspected, school personnel must follow	15	MR. MEDVIN: That's the lady from RSM.
16	policies to engage behavioral health crisis	16	MR. JABOUIN: Natalee Wallace.
17	resource officers who have been trained in crisis intervention.	17	MS. WALLACE: Yes, this is Natalee Wallace on
18		18	the phone. Sorry about that. It's hard to hear
19	So next to all three of those it says, yes,	19	you guys. I'm trying to chime in where I can
20	yes, yes. I have an issue with the ones that	20	provide assistance.
20	say, no, next to them, but that's not actually my	20	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So if I'm looking
21	concern right this second.	21	for 5A, B and C, yeah, I think I knew this the
22	So then when you go back to the audit itself,	22	first time we did this, and I'm looking for the
23	which is on page 10, that has the attributes, and	23	reference, so and I'm scrolling, I'm scanning,
41	let me just make sure I'm understanding this. So	41	I'm looking for Roman numeral 5
25		25	-
25	under attributes on page 10 where it says 5a	25	MS. WALLACE: Yeah, so Attribute Number 9,

	Page 41		Page 43
<sup>1</sup> under Student Intake Form Nu	mber 9, were the	1	conversations.
<sup>2</sup> mandatory immediate actions t	aken and documented	2	So, for example, on 5E it says, the BTA team
<sup>3</sup> if an imminent threat has been	identified? It	3	shall identify additional members of the school
<sup>4</sup> says V(A)(C)(G) and (H) are c	overed underneath	4	community to whom behavior is reported to and
<sup>5</sup> that attribute.		5	provide guidance, and those are the things where
<sup>6</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oka	y. A and C, but not B;	6	the district may not have the documentation to be
<sup>7</sup> okay.	-	7	able to pass the test. That was some of our
<sup>8</sup> MS. WALLACE: And then	Attribute 5C would be	8	discussions.
<sup>9</sup> covered underneath attributes	29 and 30 on the	9	So if it is something that during the future
<sup>10</sup> following page.		10	reports that we think that steps can be done for
<sup>11</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 29 a	and 30. Okay. So were	11	that, then we should indeed do so is my thinking.
<sup>12</sup> the mandatory immediate actio	ns taken and	12	MR. DE MEO: BTA shall contact other agencies
<sup>13</sup> documented if an imminent thr	eat had been	13	involved with the student and any known service
<sup>14</sup> identified? So there's one attri	oute doing A and	14	providers to share information and coordinate
<sup>15</sup> C and two doing B. And Mr. D	e Meo's essentially	15	necessarily follow up. That's just grammatical.
<sup>16</sup> asked about A, I think.		16	That is not auditable? We don't have a record of
<sup>17</sup> MR. DE MEO: No, it's actu	ally D.	17	who we called, the phone number and who we talked
<sup>18</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: D.	Sorry, D. That's the	18	to, the date and time and the summary?
<sup>19</sup> other agency. We haven't eve	n gotten to that.	19	MS. SHAW: Speak in the mike.
<sup>20</sup> MR. DE MEO: It says it's n		20	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The mike. Phyllis can't
<sup>21</sup> we discussed this last time. It		21	hear you.
<sup>22</sup> Tell me first of all, I want to k	now who	22	MR. MAYERSOHN: Is your mike on?
<sup>23</sup> determined it's not auditable an	d then I'd like	23	MR. DE MEO: It is on and
<sup>24</sup> to know why.		24	MS. STRAUSS: It's not working though.
<sup>25</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And	E is the same issue. E	25	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's not the best. Here
	Page 42		Page 44
<sup>1</sup> is the same issue where it s	ays it's not	1	they come.
<sup>2</sup> auditable.			MR. DE MEO: You're going to replace it
<sup>3</sup> MR. DE MEO: But I'd lik		3	again?
		5	BECON: We're trying to narrow down the
Know the reason why it sho		6	issue.
Someone answer that one :	it is auditable. Tell	7	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You've got to use your Mom
7 me why it's not auditable.	las autor to defende	8	voice. I'm just saying.
	ere going to deter to	9	MR. DE MEO: Do you want me to repeat what I
you.	la su ta sela fan ta suas san	10	said?
	ling to defer to you on	11	MR. MAYERSOHN: Please, yes.
that one there.		12	MS. SHAW: Yes.
what page are you on.	0 40 has the sheet that	13	MR. DE MEO: Okay. In the last meeting
DR. ETNORWALSH. T		14	regarding this topic, I asked if this was
	•	15	auditable and why it wasn't and I asked that it
		16	be a specific attribute. So I just read 5D out
		17	of the policy. BTA team shall contact other
		18	agencies involved with the student and any known
and r know r ve brought this	uo delore.		service providers to share information and
		19	acordinate neasonary fallow up
<sup>19</sup> MS. STRAUSS: Yeah.	Uh-huh. We all did.	19	coordinate necessary follow up.
<sup>20</sup> MR. JABOUIN: I believe	Uh-huh. We all did. RSM, during the	20	This is critical to the policy. It's the
<sup>20</sup> MR. JABOUIN: I believe <sup>21</sup> discussions that we had with	Uh-huh. We all did. RSM, during the n you, there's a	20 21	This is critical to the policy. It's the serious threats that are dangerous, imminently
<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: I believe</li> <li>discussions that we had with</li> <li>certain level of documentation</li> </ul>	Uh-huh. We all did. RSM, during the n you, there's a on that you are	20 21 22	This is critical to the policy. It's the serious threats that are dangerous, imminently dangerous.
<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: I believe</li> <li>discussions that we had with</li> <li>certain level of documentati</li> <li>looking for in order to be ab</li> </ul>	Uh-huh. We all did. RSM, during the n you, there's a on that you are le to opine on those	20 21	This is critical to the policy. It's the serious threats that are dangerous, imminently dangerous. If we don't have a record of who we followed
<ul> <li>MR. JABOUIN: I believe</li> <li>discussions that we had with</li> <li>certain level of documentati</li> <li>looking for in order to be ab</li> </ul>	Uh-huh. We all did. RSM, during the n you, there's a on that you are le to opine on those em such that you would	20 21 22 23	This is critical to the policy. It's the serious threats that are dangerous, imminently dangerous.

	Page 45	Page 47
1	information, it should be immediately	<sup>1</sup> 9/30/2022. And, quite frankly, that's only about
2	immediately prepared and have the chief auditor	<sup>2</sup> a month and a half, maybe two months of sample,
3	and everybody else involved look at it and then	<sup>3</sup> because that's the length of time that the
4	we should audit it.	<sup>4</sup> children were in school, and then if you look at
5	MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Ms. Dahl?	<sup>5</sup> 2 2022, the sample, I'm going to be honest
6	MS. DAHL: And I want to go back to page 3	<sup>6</sup> with you, is probably the worst time of the year
7	for a minute because we've been asking about the	<sup>7</sup> for students in schools because it's coming to
8	sample size of 35 and if you look at the school	<sup>8</sup> the end of the school year, and that's when a lot
9	year 2021 there was 414 and the sample size was	<sup>9</sup> of kids have gotten really tired of being there,
10	75 and if you look at the school year 2020 the	<sup>10</sup> they've, you know, are frustrated, things have
11	sample size was 200. So I don't understand with	<sup>11</sup> not been taken care of, and in that particular
12	those two sample sizes how we got down to 35 for	<sup>12</sup> quarter there were 1,670 incidents. Now, yeah,
13	'22 and '23, where the direction I'm on page 3	<sup>13</sup> 71 and 161 is higher than the one 119, but,
14	on the chart. And it says sample size for 2020,	<sup>14</sup> again, the sample was 35. And, having been a
15	200; 2021, 75; and then 2022 and 2023, 35. And	<sup>15</sup> middle school principal for many years, I can
16	the one in 2022 there were 1,670 number of	<sup>16</sup> tell you that the end of the year is where we get
17	threats reported, and, again, we only sampled 35.	<sup>17</sup> the most, at least when I was working, that is
18	So I don't understand how that number of 35 came	<sup>18</sup> when we would get the most threats coming in
19	about when you have such large samples and before	<sup>19</sup> because the kids, as I said, a lot of times they
20	you were doing larger samples.	<sup>20</sup> didn't feel like they had any incentive to
21	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Ms. Dahl. I think	<sup>21</sup> continue to be acting correctly. They may have
22	in response particularly with Mr. De Meo's	<sup>22</sup> already known that they're failing. You know,
23	comment, I think as we go into the next report	<sup>23</sup> all those things go into their ability to
24	looking at all the very serious and serious	<sup>24</sup> continue to behave. And that's the reason I'm
25	threats, which in this case you see 34 and 85 for	<sup>25</sup> concerned that, you know, we're looking at such a
	Page 46	Page 48
1		
1 2	a total of 119, that is the approach that we	<sup>1</sup> small sample when you have such a large and I
		<sup>1</sup> small sample when you have such a large and I
2	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report.	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> </ul>
2 3	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> </ul>
2 3 4	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> <li>thing, and that's that we're going to do when</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> <li>thing, and that's that we're going to do when</li> <li>you do it you're going to do all the serious and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said.	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> <li>thing, and that's that we're going to do when</li> <li>you do it you're going to do all the serious and</li> <li>very serious in that period?</li> </ul>
2 3 5 6 7 8	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> <li>thing, and that's that we're going to do when</li> <li>you do it you're going to do all the serious and</li> <li>very serious in that period?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too.	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> <li>thing, and that's that we're going to do when</li> <li>you do it you're going to do all the serious and</li> <li>very serious in that period?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> <li>thing, and that's that we're going to do when</li> <li>you do it you're going to do all the serious and</li> <li>very serious in that period?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.</li> <li>Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over.	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> <li>thing, and that's that we're going to do when</li> <li>you do it you're going to do all the serious and</li> <li>very serious in that period?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.</li> <li>Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when</li> <li>the kids aren't in school.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size,	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> <li>thing, and that's that we're going to do when</li> <li>you do it you're going to do all the serious and</li> <li>very serious in that period?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.</li> <li>Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when</li> <li>the kids aren't in school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can	<ul> <li>small sample when you have such a large and I</li> <li>understand we're not going to do transient and</li> <li>unfounded, but</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one</li> <li>thing, and that's that we're going to do when</li> <li>you do it you're going to do all the serious and</li> <li>very serious in that period?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.</li> <li>Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when</li> <li>the kids aren't in school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are</li> <li>programs through the summer</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent,	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.         17       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by four, if we were to present it quarterly it would	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.         17       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?         18       MR. LOZANO: So the past three years with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by four, if we were to present it quarterly it would be at 140.	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.         17       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?         18       MR. LOZANO: So the past three years with the         19       ESSER and ARP funds that were given to schools we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by four, if we were to present it quarterly it would be at 140. MS. DAHL: Yeah, but I don't see that that's	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.         17       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?         18       MR. LOZANO: So the past three years with the         19       ESSER and ARP funds that were given to schools we         20       did have a lot of students in summer program, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by four, if we were to present it quarterly it would be at 140. MS. DAHL: Yeah, but I don't see that that's the case here because I'm sorry, I'm	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.         17       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?         18       MR. LOZANO: So the past three years with the         19       ESSER and ARP funds that were given to schools we         20       did have a lot of students in summer program, so         21       we were running threat assessments throughout the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by four, if we were to present it quarterly it would be at 140. MS. DAHL: Yeah, but I don't see that that's the case here because I'm sorry, I'm MS. MURTHA: The period was from July 1	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.         17       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?         18       MR. LOZANO: So the past three years with the         19       ESSER and ARP funds that were given to schools we         20       did have a lot of students in summer program, so         21       we were running threat assessments throughout the         22       summer. Again, we didn't have very many, but we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by four, if we were to present it quarterly it would be at 140. MS. DAHL: Yeah, but I don't see that that's the case here because I'm sorry, I'm MS. MURTHA: The period was from July 1 through September 30, so if we picked up from	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.         17       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?         18       MR. LOZANO: So the past three years with the         19       ESSER and ARP funds that were given to schools we         20       did have a lot of students in summer program, so         21       we were running threat assessments throughout the         22       summer. Again, we didn't have very many, but we         23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by four, if we were to present it quarterly it would be at 140. MS. DAHL: Yeah, but I don't see that that's the case here because I'm sorry, I'm MS. MURTHA: The period was from July 1 through September 30, so if we picked up from October, November, December, and then it would be January, February, March. MS. DAHL: I don't read it that way. I'm	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.         17       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?         18       MR. LOZANO: So the past three years with the         19       ESSER and ARP funds that were given to schools we         20       did have a lot of students in summer program, so         21       we were running threat assessments throughout the         22       summer. Again, we didn't have very many, but we         23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report. MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too. MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just we just seem to be jumping all over. MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by four, if we were to present it quarterly it would be at 140. MS. DAHL: Yeah, but I don't see that that's the case here because I'm sorry, I'm MS. MURTHA: The period was from July 1 through September 30, so if we picked up from October, November, December, and then it would be January, February, March.	1       small sample when you have such a large and I         2       understand we're not going to do transient and         3       unfounded, but         4       MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one         5       thing, and that's that we're going to do when         6       you do it you're going to do all the serious and         7       very serious in that period?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes.         9       MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.         10       Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when         11       the kids aren't in school.         12       MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are         13       programs through the summer         14       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.         15       MS. FERTIG: and the population I wouldn't         16       say you would do that.         17       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?         18       MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?         19       ESSER and ARP funds that were given to schools we         10       did have a lot of students in summer program, so         20       we were running threat assessments throughout the         21       we were running threat assessments throughout the         22       did to your

			,
	Page 49		Page 51
1	protocols in the summer and we're not, you know,	1	They're called in; okay?
2	relaxing on anything.	2	MR. LOZANO: Correct.
3	So my suggestion would be to include the	3	MS. STRAUSS: So I don't understand why that
4	summer.	4	is not auditable.
5	MR. MEDVIN: At this point, like I say, you	5	MR. LOZANO: It's very easily auditable.
6	haven't started with this current quarter, do you	6	It's on the risk intake form that all schools
7	have an idea of the number of threats reported	7	complete, was law enforcement notified, and
8	for this fourth quarter? You haven't gotten that	8	schools input the date and time. So we can
9	far yet?	9	absolutely look at the risk intake form and make
10	MS. BARDEE: We have not received those	10	that an attribute.
11	numbers.	11	MS. STRAUSS: Thank you very much.
12	MS. STRAUSS: What are those, Mr. Lozano?	12	Now, the second thing
13	MR. LOZANO: So for, if we do October,	13	MS. BARDEE: Can we can we clarify before
14	November, December, in October we had a total of	14	we move on from that?
15	584 threats. Let me do some quick math. 70 at	15	MS. STRAUSS: Yes.
16	the substantive level. In November we had 356	16	MS. MURTHA: Yes, we do look at that student
17	threats, 43 at the substantive level. And then	17	risk intake form and we are looking to see that
18	we had for December 537, 73 at the substantive	18	there is a police officer identified and a date
19	level.	19	and time.
20	MS. STRAUSS: Wow.	20	MS. STRAUSS: Okay. But, great. Do we know
21	MR. LOZANO: So that's the numbers. So I'll	21	what action was taken? Do we have a report? Do
22	give you, while we're on numbers, just a total	22	we know of their involvement? I mean, is that
23	for this year as of February 14th, we've done	23	being looked at?
24	3,174 threat assessments, 413 of those at the	24	MR. DE MEO: Where is that in your report?
25	substantive level. And even though we didn't	25	MS. STRAUSS: I know. I mean, I don't
	Page 50		Page 52
1	audit it, I'll give you the SRA data, the Suicide	1	understand.
2	Risk Assessment data, we've done a total this	2	MR. LOZANO: So, again, a police officer is
3	year of 1,358, 194 at the high level. We,	3	not going to necessarily do a report unless a
4	currently, as a district have 397 active	4	crime took place.
5	monitoring plans being serviced right now. And	5	MR. DE MEO: If you're contacting a police
6	safety plans we currently have 537.	6	officer, jot it down.
7	MR. MEDVIN: So the numbers are a lot higher?	7	MS. STRAUSS: They have to document it.
8	MR. LOZANO: Correct.	8	MR. MAYERSOHN: No, no, they're part of the
9	MS. STRAUSS: Okay. I'm sorry. So I really	9	behavioral threat assessment team.
10	do want to go back to Mr. De Meo's point on	10	MR. LOZANO: Correct.
11	documentation and law enforcement involvement.	11	MR. MAYERSOHN: So they don't have to write a
12	Okay. So two points here. Number one, I	12	report. They're part of the team.
13	believe that if a police report is filed	13	MR. DE MEO: Forget the report. Who did you
14	filed, it's like public record, right, somebody	14	contact? When did you contact them?
15	can go and they can request a copy of the report	15	MR. LOZANO: That's in the risk intake form?
16	and they can get that report if there's an actual	16	MR. DE MEO: What was the nature of the call?
17	police report filed for anything. So that's	17	MR. LOZANO: That's in the intake form.
18	public records.	18	MS. STRAUSS: He's saying they have that.
19	Now, why anybody would have to go to that	19	MR. DE MEO: Where was that was an audit
20	extreme level of doing that, because that's a lot	20	procedure performed on that and where is that in
21	of time, doesn't seem necessary as it should be	21	the report?
22	in the report included already with a copy, law	22	MS. BARDEE: There is not a specific
23	enforcement should be signing off. Because it's	23	attribute that identifies it
24	my understanding that they are involved in these	24	MR. DE MEO: Right.
25	serious threat assessment conversations; correct?	25	MS. BARDEE: was the police box checked?
	שלואסט הויטמי משפטאושווי טטוישואמנטוא, טטוישטו		WO. DATEL Was the police box checked?

	Page 53		Page 55
1	But it is something we're looking for when we	1	needs to be called under what circumstances,
2	look at, is that form substantially complete?	2	there needs to be a matrix. Because that is a
3	MS. STRAUSS: Okay.	3	huge burden for the vice principal that is
4	MS. MURTHA: So there would be a signature	4	responsible for making the call on a code. And
5	and a date stamp that we would look at, just like	5	it's all on them. I mean, the wrong color code
6	we would look for that for the principal, for the	6	can result in a horrible failure. So I
7	mental health professional in that form; is that	7	empathized with that staff member because the
8	signed off?	8	police wouldn't guide them. Fine, it's not their
9	MR. DE MEO: Okay. To be clear, we're asking	9	job I found out after the fact. But why should
10	you to separate, make a separate line item	10	our why should that burden be on our school
11	attribute that is tested	11	staff to like really not know? I mean, that's
12	MS. MURTHA: Understood. I wrote that down.	12	not fair. We ask a lot of them. So just give
13	MR. DE MEO: for each and every serious	13	them the proper training; okay? I mean, it was
14	and very serious threat to make sure that it's	14	really, I've got to say, quite eye opening and
15	properly referred and handled, just like the	15	upsetting and this was at an A-rated school in
16	plan, that their plan exists, and then we need	16	the district. I can't imagine what's going on in
17	the follow-up. As Ms. Fertig said, we need to	17	D and F-rated schools in the district.
18	know as part of your audit what have the and I	18	MS. DAHL: Well, Marjory Stoneman Douglas was
19	do see letters here from, addressing a lot of	19	an A-rated school.
20	these comments, but I think it would be important	20	MS. STRAUSS: Okay.
21	for you to follow up to see, just like you would	21	MS. FERTIG: I think that the letter grade
22	in any internal control deficiency, to follow up	22	probably doesn't equate to some things.
23	and see if these have been remediated. That's	23	MR. MAYERSOHN: Marjory Stoneman Douglas had
24	what we're talking about here, I think.	24	a threat assessment also.
25	MS. STRAUSS: Okay. I'm sorry, I didn't	25	MS. STRAUSS: Okay. But, regardless, that's
	· · ·		
	Page 54		Page 56
1	finish my second comment that I want to make. So	1	just that was a personal experience that I
2		2	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to
	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here.	2 3	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have
2 3 4	 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually,	2 3 4	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance.
2 3 4 5	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having	2 3 4 5	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to
2 3 4	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay?	2 3 4 5 6	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch
2 3 4 5	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which,	2 3 4 5	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain
2 3 5 6 7 8	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been an active shooter, and my kid was walked from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on? MS. FERTIG: I have that same thing noted and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been an active shooter, and my kid was walked from outside back into the building. Why would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on? MS. FERTIG: I have that same thing noted and I wanted to I had a lot of questions in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been an active shooter, and my kid was walked from outside back into the building. Why would that why would my kid be walked back into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on? MS. FERTIG: I have that same thing noted and I wanted to I had a lot of questions in this area, so could we just take this as an area and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been an active shooter, and my kid was walked from outside back into the building. Why would that why would my kid be walked back into the building if they were already out of the building	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on? MS. FERTIG: I have that same thing noted and I wanted to I had a lot of questions in this area, so could we just take this as an area and go through it or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been an active shooter, and my kid was walked from outside back into the building. Why would that why would my kid be walked back into the building if they were already out of the building and there was potentially an active shooter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on? MS. FERTIG: I have that same thing noted and I wanted to I had a lot of questions in this area, so could we just take this as an area and go through it or MS. STRAUSS: Well, wait. Hold on. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been an active shooter, and my kid was walked from outside back into the building. Why would that why would my kid be walked back into the building if they were already out of the building and there was potentially an active shooter inside; okay? Because the wrong code was called.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on? MS. FERTIG: I have that same thing noted and I wanted to I had a lot of questions in this area, so could we just take this as an area and go through it or MS. STRAUSS: Well, wait. Hold on. I'm sorry. I just I'm sorry. One more thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been an active shooter, and my kid was walked from outside back into the building. Why would that why would my kid be walked back into the building if they were already out of the building and there was potentially an active shooter inside; okay? Because the wrong code was called. So I believe that better training and support	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on? MS. FERTIG: I have that same thing noted and I wanted to I had a lot of questions in this area, so could we just take this as an area and go through it or MS. STRAUSS: Well, wait. Hold on. I'm sorry. I just I'm sorry. One more thing. You just read out huge numbers of threats. Huge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here. MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I, actually, experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been an active shooter, and my kid was walked from outside back into the building. Why would that why would my kid be walked back into the building if they were already out of the building and there was potentially an active shooter inside; okay? Because the wrong code was called.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance. MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti. MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page or 39 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on? MS. FERTIG: I have that same thing noted and I wanted to I had a lot of questions in this area, so could we just take this as an area and go through it or MS. STRAUSS: Well, wait. Hold on. I'm sorry. I just I'm sorry. One more thing.

			15 (Pages 57 to 60)
	Page 57		Page 59
1	Now, I understand and I know that we have a	1	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Shaw can you repeat your
2	lot of resources, mental health, whatever they	2	point?
3	all are, but I believe that something is being	3	MS. SHAW: Could someone else speak besides
4	missed. I think that we can do better. So what	4	the two people? I would like to make comments,
5	is out there? What tools? How can we resonate	5	but there only seems to be two people speaking.
6	with these children?	6	MS. FERTIG: And also could we just kind of
7	Now, some of them, you know, it starts at	7	take one area and stick to it? Because I have
8	home and it flows through to the schools, and I	8	questions on both the 16 and also on the 5D.
9	see here that the alternative schools, there's a	9	which I think go to the whole, so
10	lot going on there. But this is our chance.	10	MS. SHAW: Absolutely. I agree with that,
11	This is our chance to intervene and equip them	11	because we're all over the place.
12	with tools. And I know that we have a toolbox	12	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, we need to go back to
13	that we currently throw at them. But something	13	the beginning, which is why I've had my hand up
14	isn't working.	14	for, I don't know, until it wore off.
15	So can we investigate you know, there's	15	MS. FERTIG: Oh, I just thought you were just
16	innovation every single day in every sector,	16	trying to lift weights with your hand.
17	including education. Perhaps it's values.	17	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no.
18	Perhaps it's something out of the box. Can we do	18	MR. MEDVIN: Let me get to Mr. Mayersohn. He
19	different? Can we do better and approach these	19	has been very patient.
20	students in a different way to try and have an	20	MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, just, and I don't want
21	impact so we don't sit here and hear these	21	to necessarily go into everything, but just a
22	numbers? Because they are alarming.	22	couple of comments.
23	MR. LOZANO: Absolutely. And I'll quote Rita	23	Obviously, the unfounded and transient
24	Pierson, every kid needs a champion. So what	24	behavior threats have not been tested. I'd like
25	you're saying is relationships, relationships,	25	to see at least some of them tested. Because,
	Page 58		Page 60
1	relationships. And as adults and staff members	1	again, there are situations where a threat may be
2	and getting the whole community involved to	2	unfounded today, but that student may have some
3	support our children, you know, in the school and	3	challenges moving on and then we find out that
4	at home. I think it takes all of us working	4	there's next week a transient threat and next
5	together so students feel they have a safe place	5	week a serious threat and we've missed the
6	and they don't feel isolated and at the point		
		6	opportunity to do any Intervention. So I'd like
7	where they don't have a purpose and they feel	6 7	opportunity to do any Intervention. So I'd like to see some of those tested to see and, again,
	where they don't have a purpose and they feel	1	to see some of those tested to see and, again,
7	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when	7	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said
7 8	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you	7	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation
7 8 9	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when	7 8 9	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said
7 8 9 10	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again,	7 8 9 10	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one.
7 8 9 10 11	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our	7 8 9 10 11	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis
7 8 9 10 11 12	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need	7 8 9 10 11 12	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well? MS. BARDEE: No.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to. MS. STRAUSS: And look, Big Brothers, Big	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well? MS. BARDEE: No. MS. MURTHA: Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to. MS. STRAUSS: And look, Big Brothers, Big Sisters, all these places, there's only so much	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well? MS. BARDEE: No. MS. MURTHA: Yes. MR. MAYERSOHN: I got a yes and a no.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to. MS. STRAUSS: And look, Big Brothers, Big Sisters, all these places, there's only so much manpower, so there has to be some other way that we can scale and reach these kids in the masses,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well? MS. BARDEE: No. MS. MURTHA: Yes. MR. MAYERSOHN: I got a yes and a no. MS. MURTHA: We look at high school, middle, elementary.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to. MS. STRAUSS: And look, Big Brothers, Big Sisters, all these places, there's only so much manpower, so there has to be some other way that we can scale and reach these kids in the masses, because these are massive numbers, to simply try	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well? MS. BARDEE: No. MS. MURTHA: Yes. MR. MAYERSOHN: I got a yes and a no. MS. MURTHA: We look at high school, middle, elementary. MR. MAYERSOHN: But you don't do
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to. MS. STRAUSS: And look, Big Brothers, Big Sisters, all these places, there's only so much manpower, so there has to be some other way that we can scale and reach these kids in the masses, because these are massive numbers, to simply try something different. That's all I'm asking for.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well? MS. BARDEE: No. MS. MURTHA: Yes. MR. MAYERSOHN: I got a yes and a no. MS. MURTHA: We look at high school, middle, elementary. MR. MAYERSOHN: But you don't do geographically? So you don't have, out of the 35
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to. MS. STRAUSS: And look, Big Brothers, Big Sisters, all these places, there's only so much manpower, so there has to be some other way that we can scale and reach these kids in the masses, because these are massive numbers, to simply try something different. That's all I'm asking for. Because this is not working.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well? MS. BARDEE: No. MS. MURTHA: Yes. MR. MAYERSOHN: I got a yes and a no. MS. MURTHA: We look at high school, middle, elementary. MR. MAYERSOHN: But you don't do geographically? So you don't have, out of the 35 that there were six in the northwest, six in the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to. MS. STRAUSS: And look, Big Brothers, Big Sisters, all these places, there's only so much manpower, so there has to be some other way that we can scale and reach these kids in the masses, because these are massive numbers, to simply try something different. That's all I'm asking for.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well? MS. BARDEE: No. MS. MURTHA: Yes. MR. MAYERSOHN: I got a yes and a no. MS. MURTHA: We look at high school, middle, elementary. MR. MAYERSOHN: But you don't do geographically? So you don't have, out of the 35 that there were six in the northwest, six in the southeast; nothing like that? I'd like to see
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to. MS. STRAUSS: And look, Big Brothers, Big Sisters, all these places, there's only so much manpower, so there has to be some other way that we can scale and reach these kids in the masses, because these are massive numbers, to simply try something different. That's all I'm asking for. Because this is not working.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to see some of those tested to see and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one. The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well? MS. BARDEE: No. MS. MURTHA: Yes. MR. MAYERSOHN: I got a yes and a no. MS. MURTHA: We look at high school, middle, elementary. MR. MAYERSOHN: But you don't do geographically? So you don't have, out of the 35 that there were six in the northwest, six in the

#### 16 (Pages 61 to 64)

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 61		Page 63
1	at the last Audit Committee is that we test	1	enforcement or depending on what the threat is?
2	what's in the system. So from a completeness	2	MR. LOZANO: So when there is an imminent
3	standpoint, which I know is an audit word, but	3	threat it's immediate. So sometimes you have two
4	it's a concept, right, we test the population in	4	parallel processes going on at the same time.
5	the system. We don't do any sort of analytics.	5	When it's to the level of an imminent threat law
6	And I'm going off your point of should we be	6	enforcement usually leads because they have to
7	including those in the population at least on a	7	address the imminent threat, especially if it's
8	sample basis. We don't do, and I know I know	8	criminal, as well. So they're involved
9	the district does, any analysis on why are there	9	immediately. They do their separate reports.
10	no threat assessments at this school but there	10	They do all of theirs simultaneously while the
11	are several here and there are times two here?	11	school is conducting the documents that are
12	MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Well, again, from an	12	required through the threat assessment process.
13	audit standpoint, even if the numbers are broken	13	MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. But let's say there's
14	down and you said, hey, we've got 7,000-whatever	14	a serious, substantive threat that takes place,
15	threat assessments, here's the breakdown in	15	it's not imminent, but it's serious, potentially
16	quadrants, at least to look and identify, and	16	serious, does law enforcement then is it
17	this may be more for the district's analysis, but	17	referred to law enforcement as a criminal
18	from my perspective is I want to make sure	18	activity? Or depending upon what the activity
19	that there's at least some testing where I	19	is?
20	mean, obviously, I'd like to test every school,	20	I guess what I'm trying to do is address Mr.
21	but not every school may have a threat	21	De Meo's point where he said, you know, the
22	assessment, but at least testing to know that the	22	serious threats should be referred to law
23	person responsible for that, you know, the north	23	enforcement and it may not be they may be at
24	area superintendent is being tested to ensure	24	the table, but it may not be something that's
25	that there's training and things going on as well	25	referred to them depending upon what the activity
	Page 62		Page 64
1	as the central area, south area or wherever the	1	is.
2	new quadrants will be broken up in the future	2	MR. LOZANO: So all substantive threats are
3	going on so at least to know that that training	3	referred to them. Because, remember, if it's
4	process is taking place, which would identify to	4	if it's documented as a substantive threat, it's
5	say, you know, we would go back to the, what is	5	not resolved. So that means law enforcement, we
6	it here 39, that, you know, 33 percent failure,	6	usually call, they go do a home check, they go
7	and granted it's only three cases, but are all	7	do do things to ensure things outside of the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

T	as the central area, south area or wherever the
2	new quadrants will be broken up in the future
3	going on so at least to know that that training
4	process is taking place, which would identify to
5	say, you know, we would go back to the, what is
6	it here 39, that, you know, 33 percent failure,
7	and granted it's only three cases, but are all
8	three in the northeast or are all three in the
9	southwest? You know, where are they? So maybe
10	there's some training component there that
11	something's missing. So that's where that's
12	where, you know, I look at it.
13	I mean, I agree, obviously, with, you know,

14 the issues, and I guess Mr. Lozano, through the 15 Chair, if you can just -- because I know there 16 have been some issues concerning about law 17 enforcement's involvement, can you just explain 18 to us is that -- and, again, there's a behavioral 19 threat assessment team, which law enforcement is 20 included in, law enforcement has to sign off on 21 those documents. At what point does law 22 enforcement get involved with a report or is that 23 at law enforcement's discretion? So if there is 24 a high risk threat, does law enforcement 25 always -- is there always a referral to law

MR. LOZANO: So all substantive threats are referred to them. Because, remember, if it's -if it's documented as a substantive threat, it's not resolved. So that means law enforcement, we usually call, they go do a home check, they go do -- do things to ensure things outside of the school are happening. Are they following the checklist? Does this student have access to weapons? Is this student unsupervised outside of school time? So law enforcement immediately starts to begin all those processes as well.

Where I'd say where we've gotten -- where we've really improved as a district is our 93 charter schools this year. Because we have a significant amount of charter schools and my team has ensured through SIU and law enforcement that we have law enforcement being just as proactive with charter schools as our traditional schools. So, and, again, this is the group really. I

enjoy these days in these meetings because you help us get better and you help us, like even adding a document to document when we would call mental health and other community resources, that's going to be a value add to what we do as a

	Page 65		Page 67
1	_	1	health counselor. So there's been issues with
2	team, so I appreciate that. And, again, so, yes,	2	
3	to your question.	3	legalese on it, and, you know, sharing
4	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. And just one other	4	information, FERPA information, but the reality
5	thing and then we'll go back to where we were,	5	is until you're able to share that information
	but just to Ms. Strauss's point is that the		with agencies, getting back to 39 about, you
6	district does have family counseling services.	6	know, even internally, but even externally, I
7	They have it through the through Broward	7	mean, if there is a network that would allow, you
8	County they've got a, I want to say a grant of	8	know, if somebody comes from Tampa, you know,
9	1.6 million as well as through BBHC. So there	9	coming to Broward County, we don't have any idea
10	are those things placed. The real challenge is	10	about that student, it's only what's provided.
11	whether there's wraparound services. Because	11	MS. STRAUSS: I do believe that the
12	that's really where you go into the family	12	Department of Education is working on that and
13	strengthening and find out, you know, what	13	there is going to be a state something.
14	actually the issues are. Because it may be the	14	MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so
15	student, himself, an isolated situation, but when	15	MS. STRAUSS: He can comment. I don't know.
16	they go back home there may be a multitude of	16	MR. LOZANO: So I'll miss my friends on
17	reasons why they are acting out or providing you	17	Tuesday at the board workshop because I'll be up
18	know call it, I'll call it nontypical behavior	18	in Orlando with Sheriff Gualtieri and the MSD
19	or reaching out, challenging behavior. I mean,	19	Commission as part of the legislation that's in
20	we've had suicides, you know, in our city where	20	the state right now to implement a statewide
21	parents have said, I didn't realize that my child	21	threat assessment system across the State of
22	was that affected.	22	Florida and then build an interface overlay. So
23	And, to your point, yesterday there was a	23	just to what you said, a student moves from Tampa
24	threat made at Stoneman Douglas High School, it	24	to Broward, we're able to immediately access and
25	was a call made directly into BSO, they're still	25	know that information. So all that work has
	Page 66		Page 68
1	investigating it, but it was a very traumatic	1	started and I'll be up there on Tuesday and
2	issue for a lot of parents in the community,	2	Wednesday working on that work.
3	especially. We had a SAC meeting and I was	3	MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. But that's within the
4	driving down Holmberg Road and saw police cars	4	Department of Education not necessarily in other
5	lined up and fire engines. It was a little bit	5	agencies.
6	challenging, so but the district did	6	MS. STRAUSS: Well, that is for, I believe
7	MS. FERTIG: But going back to those	7	the legislation proposes within K-12 schools.
8	questions, Bob, that goes back to 5D and my	8	MR. MAYERSOHN: In the school; right. But if
9	question I wanted to ask the whole time is, is	9	you have a child that let's say is having
10	someone are we making sure that someone's	10	counseling at Henderson or some other entity or
11	documenting the services the child's receiving	11	in the legal you know, in the legal system,
12	and communicating with those services?	12	juvenile justice system, that information has to
13	MR. LOZANO: So they're documented in a	13	be shared, and currently it's not. And currently
14	different system. Those types of services are in	14	there's some legal challenges where, again, what
15	BASIS. Those types of services are in BASIS. We	15	do you share, what can you share and connecting
	don't necessarily document those in our EdPlan	16	all breaking down the silos is basically what
16		17	the intent is.
16 17	threat assessment database, but schools document		
	threat assessment database, but schools document the services provided to students in BASIS.	18	MS. STRAUSS: Right
17	the services provided to students in BASIS.	18 19	MS. STRAUSS: Right. MR LOZANO: So there is a Florida Safety
17 18	the services provided to students in BASIS. MS. FERTIG: And is there communication		MR. LOZANO: So there is a Florida Safety
17 18 19	the services provided to students in BASIS. MS. FERTIG: And is there communication between the two? Yes? Okay.	19 20	MR. LOZANO: So there is a Florida Safety School portal, and now all schools have the
17 18 19 20	the services provided to students in BASIS. MS. FERTIG: And is there communication between the two? Yes? Okay. MR. MAYERSOHN: And Mary, and I don't mean to	19 20 21	MR. LOZANO: So there is a Florida Safety School portal, and now all schools have the access when they do a threat assessment. Mental
17 18 19 20 21 22	the services provided to students in BASIS. MS. FERTIG: And is there communication between the two? Yes? Okay. MR. MAYERSOHN: And Mary, and I don't mean to interrupt anybody, but that goes back to, I know	19 20 21 22	MR. LOZANO: So there is a Florida Safety School portal, and now all schools have the access when they do a threat assessment. Mental health access and law enforcement and school
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the services provided to students in BASIS. MS. FERTIG: And is there communication between the two? Yes? Okay. MR. MAYERSOHN: And Mary, and I don't mean to interrupt anybody, but that goes back to, I know CSC and some other agencies were all trying to	19 20 21 22 23	MR. LOZANO: So there is a Florida Safety School portal, and now all schools have the access when they do a threat assessment. Mental health access and law enforcement and school administrators have access. So that is an
17 18 19 20 21 22	the services provided to students in BASIS. MS. FERTIG: And is there communication between the two? Yes? Okay. MR. MAYERSOHN: And Mary, and I don't mean to interrupt anybody, but that goes back to, I know	19 20 21 22	MR. LOZANO: So there is a Florida Safety School portal, and now all schools have the access when they do a threat assessment. Mental health access and law enforcement and school

### 18 (Pages 69 to 72)

	Page 69		Page 71
1	substantive level, schools and required personnel	1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, because I can shed
2	need to go into the Florida School Safety portal	2	light on I can answer that question, actually.
3	to see what prior information exists.	3	MR. DE MEO: No, I know the answer to the
4	MR. MAYERSOHN: Can we use that as an	4	question. It's obvious in the title of Mr.
5	attribute and make sure it's tested?	5	Lozano. It's embarrassing. A school district
6	MS. FERTIG: But that's what I thought we	6	245,000 kids, are you kidding?
7	were talking around all this time. I don't have	7	Number two, 5D, does anyone think the law
8	the it could be in this program, it could be	8	cited at the end of 5D prohibits them from
9	in this program, but when you're in crisis is the	9	communicating the necessary information with
10	time to, again, check and see what services	10	regard to these threat assessments? Is there a
11	they're getting and immediately be communicating	11	perception of that in your group or anybody
12	with them. And I just want to make sure we're	12	MR. LOZANO: No.
13	checking and making sure that's happening. I'm	13	MR. DE MEO: principals? Okay.
14	sure it is probably, but maybe not, and	14	And then, lastly, how do you know this was
15	MR. DE MEO: It doesn't look like it.	15	mentioned by the auditors, this is a very
16	Mr. Chair, I just have a few questions to	16	important thing. Some things are not auditable,
17	clarify Mr. Lozano.	17	but let's not get into that. How do you know
18	First of all, your title Chief of Staff Task	18	how does the district know that every reportable
19	Assigned. Who's assigned to the threat	19	threat was reported?
20	assessment? Who's in charge of the threat	20	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They don't.
21	assessment?	21	MR. LOZANO: So, again, we're only as good as
22	MR. LOZANO: So, technically, I still	22	the system, to your point. But I will tell you
23	oversee, but right now my main day-to-day person	23	my team, once it is reported, they scrub every
24	who handles it on a day-to-day basis is Kim	24	threat assessment.
25	Punzi-Elabiary, right here. I will tell you, I	25	MR. DE MEO: Oh, I know that. But I'm trying
	Page 70		Page 72
1	start every morning at 6:45 meeting with her,	1	to, are there like Mr. Mayersohn mentioned,
2	it's Kim and coffee every day to make sure you	2	are their principals that might view this as less
3	know, that's how I start my morning to make sure	3	important than another principal and therefore
4	she's ready to go, all things are in place.	4	the threat is a process that involves their
5	MS. STRAUSS: How long are you task assigned	5	judgment as to whether or not it should be
6	for?	6	reported, or is there some clear standard and
7	MR. LOZANO: So it's a six-month assignment	7	some means of reinforcing this, I know there's
8	and I started on September 12th.	8	education and so on, to make sure that every
9	MR. DE MEO: We're fortunate to have someone	9	threat contemplated by the policy, and common
10	like you two addressing this, but, honestly, the	10	sense to be honest with you, is addressed,
11	optics of that are horrible. There isn't a	11	documented and properly referred?
12	threat assessment person in charge?	12	MR. LOZANO: So we have 10 discipline codes
13	Ms. Marte, what is going on? Where is the	13	in this district that require a threat
14	superintendent? I'd like to ask the board. Why	14	assessment, and, again, we can ensure when those
15	don't we have a threat assessment chief? Call it	15	10 discipline incidents are entered that threat
16	what the hell you like. Unbelievable.	16	assessment is completed and done. It goes back
17	Unbelievable, number one.	17	to ongoing training with school leaders and
18	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Can I	18	staff, even community reporting threats.
19	MR. MEDVIN: Wait a minute. I've got two	19	We do have a system where schools report
20	other questions.	20	critical incidents. My team is on that channel
21	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I've been waiting more than	21	and they track them. So if we see an incident
22	patiently, which is not my strongest attribute,	22	immediately that would require a threat we reach
23	and I've had my hand up for like an hour.	23	out to the school to ensure they immediately
24	MR. DE MEO: All right. Let me finish and	24	start the threat assessment process. So we try
24	······		
24	then	25	to have as many checks and balances in place as

	Page 73		Page 75
1	we can, you know. But, again, we're not at every	1	let go on sample size. Because right now we
2	school site when those situations happen.	2	don't know how good what's going in is.
3	MR. DE MEO: Thank you, Dr. Lynch-Walsh, for	3	They also shed light on, you know, we only
4	letting me go ahead. Appreciate it.	4	know what's in the system, because I wrote this
5	MS. FERTIG: And you wanted to ask if they'd	5	down, the schools that aren't reporting any
6	give us a list of those 10; right?	6	threat assessments, that's how you would know,
7	MR. DE MEO: That's a good idea.	7	potentially, which, either the school has no
8	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So just to well,	8	threats or someone's not taking it seriously,
9	I'm going to work backwards. So I think everyone	9	which brings me to those quarterly reports that
10	in here is aware that we just got a new	10	we once passed a motion about, which all the
11	superintendent yesterday.	11	numbers that just got rattled off, that's the
12	MS. FERTIG: Can I just say that when I	12	information I think we passed a motion about one
13	walked in Dr. Wanza was walking her upstairs. I	13	or two meetings ago.
14	know several people have said, where is she? She	14	So it seems like we're going to need motions
15	said she'd be down when they finish something.	15	
16	So	16	on sample size, the scope, and the scope being
17		17	that we do want them to test for the
18	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The one that just got	18	appropriateness of the content of the files and
19	mutually separated wasn't at these meetings	19	the district's threat assessment process, itself,
20	either and I would imagine if you just became	20	which is what everyone's been talking about for
20	superintendent, and interim is not recognized by		the past half hour. And then getting back to
	the state, it's mid-February, interim is going to	21	being to 5D, because people are held accountable
22	be a while.	22	for adherence to policy, not to practice. So the
23	So, point being, we just got a new one	23	policy in here, what keeps nagging at me is in
24	yesterday, and, yes, the board did approve making	24	section 5 or where is notifying law enforcement?
25	the Executive Director of Behavioral Threat	25	Because all of 5 speaks to school-based community
	Dage 74		Dage 76
1	Page 74		Page 76
1	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff	1	and/or health care providers for mental health
2	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few	2	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm
2 3	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to	2 3	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then
2 3 4	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know	2 3 4	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is
2 3 4 5	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday,	2 3 4 5	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there
2 3 4 5 6	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by	2 3 4 5 6	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law
2 3 4 5	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic.	2 3 4 5	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in
2 3 6 7 8 9	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals. So we don't we do not know about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals. So we don't we do not know about the effectiveness, which is what everyone's been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place. Because the law that is appended to that, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals. So we don't we do not know about the effectiveness, which is what everyone's been talking about, and I agree with everyone's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place. Because the law that is appended to that, is cited, refers to whom the district can send
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals. So we don't we do not know about the effectiveness, which is what everyone's been talking about, and I agree with everyone's concerns, but we do not know, as they have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place. Because the law that is appended to that, is cited, refers to whom the district can send information and what kind of information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals. So we don't we do not know about the effectiveness, which is what everyone's been talking about, and I agree with everyone's concerns, but we do not know, as they have mentioned, that they are not speaking to where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place. Because the law that is appended to that, is cited, refers to whom the district can send information and what kind of information. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals. So we don't we do not know about the effectiveness, which is what everyone's been talking about, and I agree with everyone's concerns, but we do not know, as they have mentioned, that they are not speaking to where is it? Is it on page 3? Or the first page?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place. Because the law that is appended to that, is cited, refers to whom the district can send information and what kind of information. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. MR. DE MEO: And after reading it a few times
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals. So we don't we do not know about the effectiveness, which is what everyone's been talking about, and I agree with everyone's concerns, but we do not know, as they have mentioned, that they are not speaking to where is it? Is it on page 3? Or the first page? RSM did not test for the appropriateness of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place. Because the law that is appended to that, is cited, refers to whom the district can send information and what kind of information. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. MR. DE MEO: And after reading it a few times it's not real clear, but I'm pretty sure it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals. So we don't we do not know about the effectiveness, which is what everyone's been talking about, and I agree with everyone's concerns, but we do not know, as they have mentioned, that they are not speaking to where is it? Is it on page 3? Or the first page? RSM did not test for the appropriateness of the content of the files or the district's threat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place. Because the law that is appended to that, is cited, refers to whom the district can send information and what kind of information. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. MR. DE MEO: And after reading it a few times it's not real clear, but I'm pretty sure it includes law enforcement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that. This is a report from RSM on the compliance it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals. So we don't we do not know about the effectiveness, which is what everyone's been talking about, and I agree with everyone's concerns, but we do not know, as they have mentioned, that they are not speaking to where is it? Is it on page 3? Or the first page? RSM did not test for the appropriateness of the content of the files or the district's threat assessment process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals? Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either. MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place. Because the law that is appended to that, is cited, refers to whom the district can send information and what kind of information. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. MR. DE MEO: And after reading it a few times it's not real clear, but I'm pretty sure it includes law enforcement. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then, to your

### 20 (Pages 77 to 80)

	Page 77		Page 79
1		1	
2	Beyond when law enforcement is already there, is	2	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, you did say who. So I
3	5D, the thing that isn't auditable, supposedly,	3	guess it's time to ask that question again. Who decided, because it kind of sounded like
4	and I just want it actually, that's another	4	-
5	issue. Back to page the top of page 12,	5	you guys had a discussion but it wasn't clear who
6	because when it says it's not auditable, we had a whole discussion about everything being	6	actually decided, I have my own theory about it, who decided that these things were not auditable?
7	auditable, but it literally says here, and I	7	MS. FERTIG: Well, let's just move forward
8	thought it was just that they didn't have	8	and add it to the next to the next I mean,
9	records, but this says, were not auditable	9	is that your motion? We've been talking around
10	because those sections where goals, statements	10	it. Let's just add it.
11	and objectives that are general in nature and are	11	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we need
12	not subject to audit procedures. Huh?	12	MR. JABOUIN: Can I summarize
13	MS. FERTIG: Okay.	13	MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Jabouin, please.
14	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No. Wrong answer.	14	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we need at least three
15	Because, as we talked about earlier, any of this	15	motions just to keep them simple. The first is
16	is auditable. Did you, in fact is there a	16	has to do with sample size, which, basically,
17	signature? Is there proof that they referred it?	17	it sounds like we want 100 percent of the serious
18	MR. DE MEO: I don't think appropriateness	18	and very serious threats to be tested.
19	can be audited by RSM unless they demonstrate	19	MS. FERTIG: Can we just move on that so we
20	some human resource or some attribute, something	20	don't have to keep discussing it?
21	expertise within their group that speaks to, you	21	MR. MEDVIN: Let Mr. Jabouin speak, please.
22	know, behavioral activities. But I think there	22	MR. JABOUIN: If I can please capture,
23	are others.	23	because I'm taking notes on some of the
24	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, no, this is this	24	discussion?
25	is did they refer it? But they're saying that 5D	25	Several points mentioned by the committee, on
	Page 78		Page 80
1	Page 78	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 80 the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from
	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can	1	the sample size I have, all serious and very
2	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness.	2	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So
2 3	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can	2 3	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from
2 3 4	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that	2 3 4	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample
2 3 4 5	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things.	2 3 4 5	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size.
2 3 4 5 6	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about	2 3 4 5 6	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic
2 3 4 5 6	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records. MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket statement at the top as to why 3A, 3F, 5D and E</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue. MR. JABOUIN: so I'm capturing that a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket statement at the top as to why 3A, 3F, 5D and E are not auditable because those sections were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue. MR. JABOUIN: so I'm capturing that a little okay. It is a little I just want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket statement at the top as to why 3A, 3F, 5D and E are not auditable because those sections were goals statements and objectives. I don't know</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue. MR. JABOUIN: so I'm capturing that a little okay. It is a little I just want to make sure that that analysis, I have that on my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket statement at the top as to why 3A, 3F, 5D and E are not auditable because those sections were goals statements and objectives. I don't know who wrote that, but that's not that's not an</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location. MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue. MR. JABOUIN: so I'm capturing that a little okay. It is a little I just want to make sure that that analysis, I have that on my list.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket statement at the top as to why 3A, 3F, 5D and E are not auditable because those sections were goals statements and objectives. I don't know who wrote that, but that's not that's not an accurate statement.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: so I'm capturing that a little okay. It is a little I just want to make sure that that analysis, I have that on my list.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we asked for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records. MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket statement at the top as to why 3A, 3F, 5D and E are not auditable because those sections were goals statements and objectives. I don't know who wrote that, but that's not that's not an accurate statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: so I'm capturing that a little okay. It is a little I just want to make sure that that analysis, I have that on my list.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we asked for quarterly reports which would have those numbers.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records. MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket statement at the top as to why 3A, 3F, 5D and E are not auditable because those sections were goals statements and objectives. I don't know who wrote that, but that's not that's not an accurate statement. MR. DE MEO: Tagree with you. Tagree with you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: so I'm capturing that a little okay. It is a little I just want to make sure that that analysis, I have that on my list.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we asked for quarterly reports which would have those numbers. Like schools that have had no behavioral threat</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness. I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also we're not talking about appropriateness. This that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket statement at the top as to why 3A, 3F, 5D and E are not auditable because those sections were goals statements and objectives. I don't know who wrote that, but that's not that's not an accurate statement.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I agree with you. I agree with you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: so I'm capturing that a little okay. It is a little I just want to make sure that that analysis, I have that on my list.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we asked for quarterly reports which would have those numbers. Like schools that have had no behavioral threat assessment reports would have big fat zeros in</li> </ul>

			21 (Pages 81 to 84)
	Page 81		Page 83
1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I've never heard of	1	management system from the school, the logs of
2	such a place, but	2	their daily persons possessions check and all of
3	MR. JABOUIN: So I have that. In addition to	3	this data that was selected on the monitoring
4	that the testing on page 13, 5D and E, so I'll	4	plan to be reported out was shared with RSM
5	have a discussion with RSM on that to see what it	5	through OneDrive a OneDrive folder. So the
6	would take to put a yes in those particular	6	schools had to gather all their information
7	columns.	7	referred to CPS for child studies, collect the
8	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Also 3A and 3F, as in	8	data showing that they did do the daily persons
9	Frank. So 3A is, the District School Safety	9	possessions check, collect the data with a log
10	Specialist shall ensure compliance with the	10	that shows that they checked in with the student
11	policy.	11	daily. So all of that information was shared
12	MR. JABOUIN: 3A and 3F, as well. Thank you.	12	with RSM.
13	Also, either we get a new attribute or we	13	MR. JABOUIN: And one quick point of
14	expand on some of the attributes to talk about	14	clarification to Mr. Lozano and Ms. Punzi, if the
15	whether or not the police box was checked,	15	student was required to get like mental health
16	whether or not some other law enforcement	16	services, is that where that would be tested, as
17	organizations are referenced, and then and	17	well, in attribute number 37?
18	then I guess with respect to some of the	18	MR. LOZANO: So it would be for it would
19	follow-up that's done. Mr. Lozano mentioned this	19	be for if we provided it at the district,
20	had, though, when the data is identified in this	20	ourselves, with our mental health staff, with our
21	project as well as others as well, if there is	21	guidance counselors and et cetera, we have all
22	something that's urgent, like, for example, if a	22	that documentation. But, you know, the
23	behavioral plan was needed, those things are	23	attributes talks about when the student is
24	acted on immediately. So we constantly work live	24	receiving other community services, so that's an
25	with Mr. Lozano and the rest of the district. So	25	area that we can look at. Because at this time
	Page 82		Page 84
1	Page 82	1	Page 84
1	when something is identified we don't wait until	1	we don't kind of capture that information.
2	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and	2	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.
	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately	2 3	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that
2 3	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to	2 3 4	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's
2 3 4	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well.	2 3 4 5	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way
2 3 4 5	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want	2 3 4	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is
2 3 4 5 6	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments	2 3 4 5 6	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this
2 3 4 5 6 7	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even	2 3 4 5 6 7	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are
2 4 5 7 8 9	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be. MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be. MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about attribute number 37? Because I think we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're auditors; right? So when we have terms like</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about attribute number 37? Because I think we are talking about documenting services that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're auditors; right? So when we have terms like other agencies, which is kind of vague and would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about attribute number 37? Because I think we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're auditors; right? So when we have terms like other agencies, which is kind of vague and would require somebody like a professional in that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about attribute number 37? Because I think we are talking about documenting services that the students in the behavioral plan are getting. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're auditors; right? So when we have terms like other agencies, which is kind of vague and would require somebody like a professional in that arena to identify all other agencies or as it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about attribute number 37? Because I think we are talking about documenting services that the students in the behavioral plan are getting. And I think that's what that attribute was about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're auditors; right? So when we have terms like other agencies, which is kind of vague and would require somebody like a professional in that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about attribute number 37? Because I think we are talking about documenting services that the students in the behavioral plan are getting. And I think that's what that attribute was about. Can we describe to the committee what is done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're auditors; right? So when we have terms like other agencies, which is kind of vague and would require somebody like a professional in that arena to identify all other agencies or as it says in 5E, identify additional members, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about attribute number 37? Because I think we are talking about documenting services that the students in the behavioral plan are getting. And I think that's what that attribute was about. Can we describe to the committee what is done with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're auditors; right? So when we have terms like other agencies, which is kind of vague and would require somebody like a professional in that arena to identify all other agencies or as it says in 5E, identify additional members, the you can see that we are looking at several</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well. One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee. Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about attribute number 37? Because I think we are talking about documenting services that the students in the behavioral plan are getting. And I think that's what that attribute was about. Can we describe to the committee what is done with that? MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Okay. So attribute 37	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>we don't kind of capture that information. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're auditors; right? So when we have terms like other agencies, which is kind of vague and would require somebody like a professional in that arena to identify all other agencies or as it says in 5E, identify additional members, the you can see that we are looking at several different systems, pulling together, looking to</li> </ul>

		22 (10365 05 00	
	Page 85	Page	87
1 d	locumentation is there. What we don't know,	<sup>1</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Your microphone.	
	which we would welcome a teaming partner that we	<sup>2</sup> MS. FERTIG: Oh, thank you. Page 16, nur	nher
	could bring in to produce what you all are	<sup>3</sup> 39.	11001
	alking about, is appropriateness, additional	<sup>4</sup> Okay. So my question on this because yo	
	igencies and the like.	<ul> <li><sup>5</sup> have such a small sample size, how many stud</li> </ul>	
6 a	So we can audit what's there and we can tell		
7			a
8 <b>y</b>	ou if it's not there.	<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> serious or very serious threat?</li> <li><sup>8</sup> MB LOZANO: So that data Ldop't have</li> </ul>	
	What those kind of allude to, those	IVIR. LOZANO. SU INAL UALA I UUTT HAVE	
P	articular sections, is a little bit more		
3	ubjective, which is our hesitancy. But we can		
u u	lo that if it's the desire of the as well as	IVIN. LOZANO. WE Sule call.	
	ve can follow up and put a statement in the	IVIO. FERTIO. OKAY. NOW	
	eport that items that were noted were closed.	<sup>13</sup> MR. LOZANO: And when you say that, Mrs	
	Ve currently don't do that now, but we could.	<sup>14</sup> Fertig, you're talking about to one of our	
15	MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Dahl?	<sup>15</sup> alternative sites?	
16	MS. DAHL: I want to ask this question of Mr.	<sup>16</sup> MS. FERTIG: Well, that's my next question	
17 L	ozano. Aren't schools aren't all schools	<sup>17</sup> My next question is, when you transfer a studer	nt
<sup>18</sup> re	equired to do a child study team every month?	<sup>18</sup> out because of one of these threats, where are	•
19	MR. LOZANO: I'm going to defer to Dr.	<sup>19</sup> you transferring them to?	
<sup>20</sup> N	<i>l</i> ancini.	<sup>20</sup> MR. LOZANO: So it could be different	
21	DR. MANCINI: Yes, all schools should have a	<sup>21</sup> locations based on the, again, IEP of the	
<sup>22</sup> C	hild study team, but they their meeting is	<sup>22</sup> student, a manifestation determination. It could	b
<sup>23</sup> p	otentially more frequent than once a month.	<sup>23</sup> be you know, we have different discipline	
24	MS. DAHL: Okay. And that's what I thought.	sites to accommodate children. So we look at	
<sup>25</sup> B	Because I'm the chair of the On-Site Diversity	<sup>25</sup> which site meets the educational and safety ne	eds
	Page 86	Page	88
			88
	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and	<sup>1</sup> of that student.	
2 W	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell	<ol> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some</li> </ol>	
<sup>2</sup> W <sup>3</sup> Y	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some examples?</li> </ul>	1
<sup>2</sup> W <sup>3</sup> Y <sup>4</sup> S	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t</li> </ul>	1
2 W 3 y 4 S 5 m	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were</li> </ul>	1
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening.	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were only two schools. So two that failed were from</li> </ul>	O
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some</li> <li>examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t</li> <li>attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were</li> <li>only two schools. So two that failed were from</li> <li>Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R</li> </ul>	o un.
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> a	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every month. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment bout a school not having any referrals of any	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were</li> <li>only two schools. So two that failed were from Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R</li> <li>So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean</li> </ul>	o un.
2 w 3 y 4 s 5 m 6 a 7 8 a 9 k	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every month. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment bout a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were</li> <li>only two schools. So two that failed were from Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R</li> <li>So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean it didn't happen; right? So what happens when</li> </ul>	o un.
2 w 3 y 4 s 5 n 6 a 7 8 a 9 k 10 d	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment bout a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals,	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were only two schools. So two that failed were from Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R</li> <li>So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean it didn't happen; right? So what happens when students transfer from a traditional site to one</li> </ul>	o un.
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> a <sup>9</sup> k <sup>10</sup> d <sup>11</sup> tt	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment bout a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some</li> <li>examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t</li> <li>attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were</li> <li>only two schools. So two that failed were from</li> <li>Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R</li> <li>So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean</li> <li>it didn't happen; right? So what happens when</li> <li>students transfer from a traditional site to one</li> <li>of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting</li> </ul>	o un. ns
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> a <sup>9</sup> k <sup>10</sup> d <sup>11</sup> tt <sup>12</sup> e	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment bout a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit	1       of that student.         2       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some         3       examples?         4       MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t         5       attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were         6       only two schools. So two that failed were from         7       Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R         8       So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean         9       it didn't happen; right? So what happens when         10       students transfer from a traditional site to one         11       of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting         12       that happens where the two schools meet prior to the two school	o un. ns
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> a <sup>9</sup> k <sup>10</sup> d <sup>11</sup> th <sup>12</sup> e <sup>13</sup> th	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment bout a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit nem in a guidance office without writing a	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some</li> <li>examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t</li> <li>attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were</li> <li>only two schools. So two that failed were from</li> <li>Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R</li> <li>So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean</li> <li>it didn't happen; right? So what happens when</li> <li>students transfer from a traditional site to one</li> <li>of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting</li> <li>that happens where the two schools meet prior to</li> <li>the student enrolling in the nontraditional</li> </ul>	o un. ns
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> a <sup>9</sup> k <sup>10</sup> d <sup>11</sup> tt <sup>12</sup> e <sup>13</sup> tt <sup>14</sup> re	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two schools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit nem in a guidance office without writing a eferral, they sit them in and AP's office for	<ul> <li>of that student.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some</li> <li>examples?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t</li> <li>attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were</li> <li>only two schools. So two that failed were from</li> <li>Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R</li> <li>So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean</li> <li>it didn't happen; right? So what happens when</li> <li>students transfer from a traditional site to one</li> <li>of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting</li> <li>that happens where the two schools meet prior to</li> <li>the student enrolling in the nontraditional</li> <li>school where they go over all the information.</li> </ul>	o un. ns
2 w 3 yy 4 s 5 m 6 a 7 8 a 9 k 10 d 11 tr 12 e 13 tr 14 re 15 ti	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, hat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit hem in a guidance office without writing a eferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is	1       of that student.         2       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some         3       examples?         4       MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t         5       attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were         6       only two schools. So two that failed were from         7       Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R         8       So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean         9       it didn't happen; right? So what happens when         10       students transfer from a traditional site to one         11       of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting         12       that happens where the two schools meet prior to         13       the student enrolling in the nontraditional         14       school where they go over all the information.         15       In those meetings we it wasn't past practice	o un. ns
2 w 3 y 4 s 5 m 6 a 7 8 a 9 k 10 d 11 th 12 e 13 th 14 re 15 ti 16 a	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit nem in a guidance office without writing a eferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still	1       of that student.         2       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some         3       examples?         4       MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t         5       attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were         6       only two schools. So two that failed were from         7       Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R         8       So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean         9       it didn't happen; right? So what happens when         10       students transfer from a traditional site to one         11       of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting         12       that happens where the two schools meet prior to         13       the student enrolling in the nontraditional         14       school where they go over all the information.         15       In those meetings we it wasn't past practice         16       to specifically spell out behavior threat	o un. ns
2 w 3 y 4 s 5 m 6 a 7 8 a 9 k 10 d 11 th 12 e 13 th 14 re 15 ti 16 a 17 g	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit hem in a guidance office without writing a efferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still poing on. I don't care what Dr what Veda	1of that student.2MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some3examples?4MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t5attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were6only two schools. So two that failed were from7Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R8So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean9it didn't happen; right? So what happens when10students transfer from a traditional site to one11of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting12that happens where the two schools meet prior to13the student enrolling in the nontraditional14school where they go over all the information.15In those meetings we it wasn't past practice16to specifically spell out behavior threat17assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.	o un. ns
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> a <sup>9</sup> k <sup>10</sup> d <sup>11</sup> th <sup>12</sup> e <sup>13</sup> th <sup>14</sup> re <sup>15</sup> tii <sup>16</sup> a <sup>17</sup> g <sup>18</sup> H	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment bout a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit hem in a guidance office without writing a eferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still poing on. I don't care what Dr what Veda fudge says and what Dr. Cartwright said when they	1of that student.2MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some3examples?4MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t5attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were6only two schools. So two that failed were from7Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R8So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean9it didn't happen; right? So what happens when10students transfer from a traditional site to one11of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting12that happens where the two schools meet prior to13the student enrolling in the nontraditional14school where they go over all the information.15In those meetings we it wasn't past practice16to specifically spell out behavior threat17assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.18So Mrs. Strauss brought this up and spoke or	o un. ns
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> a <sup>9</sup> k <sup>10</sup> d <sup>11</sup> tt <sup>12</sup> e <sup>13</sup> tt <sup>14</sup> re <sup>13</sup> tt <sup>14</sup> re <sup>15</sup> tii <sup>16</sup> a <sup>17</sup> g <sup>18</sup> H <sup>19</sup> C	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit hem in a guidance office without writing a efferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still poing on. I don't care what Dr what Veda	1       of that student.         2       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some         3       examples?         4       MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t         5       attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were         6       only two schools. So two that failed were from         7       Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R         8       So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean         9       it didn't happen; right? So what happens when         10       students transfer from a traditional site to one         11       of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting         12       that happens where the two schools meet prior to         13       the student enrolling in the nontraditional         14       school where they go over all the information.         15       In those meetings we it wasn't past practice         16       to specifically spell out behavior threat         17       assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.         18       So Mrs. Strauss brought this up and spoke or         19       the transfer in the 72 hours in our previous	o un. ns
<sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup> y <sup>4</sup> s <sup>5</sup> m <sup>6</sup> a <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> a <sup>9</sup> k <sup>10</sup> d <sup>11</sup> tt <sup>12</sup> e <sup>13</sup> tt <sup>14</sup> re <sup>13</sup> tt <sup>14</sup> re <sup>15</sup> tii <sup>16</sup> a <sup>17</sup> g <sup>18</sup> H <sup>19</sup> c	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment bout a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit hem in a guidance office without writing a eferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still poing on. I don't care what Dr what Veda fudge says and what Dr. Cartwright said when they	1of that student.2MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some3examples?4MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t5attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were6only two schools. So two that failed were from7Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R8So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean9it didn't happen; right? So what happens when10students transfer from a traditional site to one11of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting12that happens where the two schools meet prior to13the student enrolling in the nontraditional14school where they go over all the information.15In those meetings we it wasn't past practice16to specifically spell out behavior threat17assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.18So Mrs. Strauss brought this up and spoke or	o un. ns
<ul> <li>2</li> <li>W</li> <li>3</li> <li>3</li> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>k</li> <li>10</li> <li>d</li> <li>11</li> <li>tf</li> <li>12</li> <li>e</li> <li>13</li> <li>tf</li> <li>14</li> <li>re</li> <li>15</li> <li>ti</li> <li>16</li> <li>aa</li> <li>17</li> <li>g</li> <li>18</li> <li>H</li> <li>19</li> <li>C</li> <li>20</li> <li>h</li> </ul>	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit hem in a guidance office without writing a eferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still poing on. I don't care what Dr what Veda fudge says and what Dr. Cartwright said when they ame to Diversity. It is still happening. I	1       of that student.         2       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some         3       examples?         4       MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t         5       attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were         6       only two schools. So two that failed were from         7       Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R         8       So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean         9       it didn't happen; right? So what happens when         10       students transfer from a traditional site to one         11       of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting         12       that happens where the two schools meet prior to         13       the student enrolling in the nontraditional         14       school where they go over all the information.         15       In those meetings we it wasn't past practice         16       to specifically spell out behavior threat         17       assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.         18       So Mrs. Strauss brought this up and spoke or         19       the transfer in the 72 hours in our previous         20       audit. Remember, this audit took place July,         21       August, September. So we were still staffing <th>o un. ns</th>	o un. ns
2       w         3       yy         4       s         5       m         6       a         7       a         8       a         9       k         10       d         11       th         12       e         13       th         14       re         15       ti         16       a         17       g         18       H         19       C         20       h         21       a	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, hat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit hem in a guidance office without writing a eferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still poing on. I don't care what Dr what Veda fudge says and what Dr. Cartwright said when they ame to Diversity. It is still happening. I have, you know, people I talk to. So that is	1of that student.2MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some3examples?4MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t5attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were6only two schools. So two that failed were from7Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R8So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean9it didn't happen; right? So what happens when10students transfer from a traditional site to one11of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting12that happens where the two schools meet prior to13the student enrolling in the nontraditional14school where they go over all the information.15In those meetings we it wasn't past practice16to specifically spell out behavior threat17assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.18So Mrs. Strauss brought this up and spoke or19the transfer in the 72 hours in our previous20audit. Remember, this audit took place July,	o un. ns
2       w         3       yy         4       s         5       m         6       a         7       a         8       a         9       k         10       d         11       th         12       e         13       th         14       re         15       ti         16       a         17       g         18       H         19       C         20       h         21       a	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment bout a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, hat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit nem in a guidance office without writing a eferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is ilso data that is not captured. And it is still oing on. I don't care what Dr what Veda Hudge says and what Dr. Cartwright said when they ame to Diversity. It is still happening. I have, you know, people I talk to. So that is ilso alarming, because no school, in my humble	1       of that student.         2       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some         3       examples?         4       MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in to         5       attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were         6       only two schools. So two that failed were from         7       Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R         8       So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean         9       it didn't happen; right? So what happens when         10       students transfer from a traditional site to one         11       of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting         12       that happens where the two schools meet prior to         13       the student enrolling in the nontraditional         14       school where they go over all the information.         15       In those meetings we it wasn't past practice         16       to specifically spell out behavior threat         17       assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.         18       So Mrs. Strauss brought this up and spoke or         19       the transfer in the 72 hours in our previous         20       audit. Remember, this audit took place July,         21       August, September. So we were still staffing	o un. ns D
2       W         3       yy         4       S         5       m         6       a         7       a         8       a         9       k         10       d         11       th         12       e         13       th         14       re         15       tii         16       a         17       g         18       H         19       C         20       h         21       a         22       O         23       O	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, hat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit hem in a guidance office without writing a eferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still poing on. I don't care what Dr what Veda tudge says and what Dr. Cartwright said when they ame to Diversity. It is still happening. I have, you know, people I talk to. So that is also alarming, because no school, in my humble opinion, is perfect.	1       of that student.         2       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some         3       examples?         4       MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t         5       attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were         6       only two schools. So two that failed were from         7       Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R         8       So for auditing purposes, no documentation mean         9       it didn't happen; right? So what happens when         10       students transfer from a traditional site to one         11       of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting         12       that happens where the two schools meet prior to         13       the student enrolling in the nontraditional         14       school where they go over all the information.         15       In those meetings we it wasn't past practice         16       to specifically spell out behavior threat         17       assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.         18       So Mrs. Strauss brought this up and spoke or         19       the transfer in the 72 hours in our previous         20       audit. Remember, this audit took place July,         21       August, September. So we were still staffing         22       this team, I was sti	o un. ns D
2       W         3       yy         4       S         5       m         6       a         7       a         8       a         9       k         10       d         11       th         12       e         13       th         14       re         15       tii         16       a         17       g         18       H         19       C         20       h         21       a         22       O         23       O	Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell ou the schools, we have noted already two chools that do not have child study teams every nonth. And these are large schools. And so that larmed us that that was not happening. And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any ind. There's a lot of schools out there that lon't report any kind of discipline referrals, nat their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit mem in a guidance office without writing a efferral, they sit them in and AP's office for meout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still poing on. I don't care what Dr what Veda dudge says and what Dr. Cartwright said when they mame to Diversity. It is still happening. I ave, you know, people I talk to. So that is also alarming, because no school, in my humble opinion, is perfect. MS. FERTIG: Can we is this a good time to	1       of that student.         2       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some         3       examples?         4       MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in t         5       attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were         6       only two schools. So two that failed were from         7       Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress R         8       So for auditing purposes, no documentation meal         9       it didn't happen; right? So what happens when         10       students transfer from a traditional site to one         11       of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting         12       that happens where the two schools meet prior to         13       the student enrolling in the nontraditional         14       school where they go over all the information.         15       In those meetings we it wasn't past practice         16       to specifically spell out behavior threat         17       assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.         18       So Mrs. Strauss brought this up and spoke or         19       the transfer in the 72 hours in our previous         20       audit. Remember, this audit took place July,         21       August, September. So we were still staffing         22       this team, I was stil	o un. ns D

	Page 89		Page 91
1	assessed whether so the schools would talk	1	MS. FERTIG: So, primarily, they're going to
2	about it, but this attribute specifically looked	2	nontraditional?
3	in the system if an administrator at one of those	3	MR. LOZANO: Correct.
4	nontraditional sites accessed the BTA or the	4	MS. FERTIG: Okay. But there are cases where
5	active monitoring plan.	5	they're going to traditional even if a parent
6	MS. FERTIG: Okay. But my question, just to	6	withdrew a student and enrolled them somewhere
7	get back, just to start, where are they being	7	else, you would pick up that it was going to a
8	transferred to? How many and where are they	8	traditional school. So I guess I guess what I
9	being transferred to? Are they all going to	9	would want to know if you were doing that, how
10	alternative sites? Is there ever a situation	10	you select the school? I understand the
11	where they go to another traditional high school?	11	reassignment, is that the only case that you can
12	MR. LOZANO: It could be, depending on the	12	think of or if they're in a magnet school they
13	situation.	13	would go back potentially to the home school, is
14	MS. FERTIG: And if that is the situation how	14	that the only cases? And can we get a
15	do you select that school?	15	MR. LOZANO: I don't think they're then I
16	MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that would be an	16	can't even think of an incident where we moved
17	administrative placement. So that's done, again,	17	traditional to traditional. If a parent does it
18	through a committee. Sometimes through	18	or it happens outside of us, then, again, the
19	discipline students are on a reassignment, they	19	system notifies when the school when that
20	may be placed back at their home school. So	20	parent shows up to register, the threat
21	there's multiple factors that go into that	21	assessment automatically shows up, the active
22	decision. It's not just an arbitrary decision	22	monitoring shows, the administrators get an email
23	where they pick a school.	23	that that student just enrolled. It's in the
24	MS. FERTIG: Okay. So	24	TERMS panel for the registrar to see. And that
25	MS. SHAW: And, Mary, what kind of follow-up	25	was the work of this committee that had us start
1	Page 90		Page 92
1		1	
2	is done when they're transferred to that other	1	putting those things in place. So all of those
2	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak	2	things happen now so the school knows immediately
3	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak	2 3	things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment
3 4	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I	2 3 4	things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.
3 4 5	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that.	2 3 4 5	things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan. MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?
3 4 5 6	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the</li> </ul>
3 4 5	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to	2 3 4 5	things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan. MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take? MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready
3 4 5 7 8	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan. MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take? MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student
3 4 5 6	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them	2 3 4 5 6	things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan. MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take? MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.
3 4 5 7 8 9	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school.</li> <li>What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school.</li> <li>What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move students from traditional to traditional. A lot</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by withdrawing their student and taking them to</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done? MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move students from traditional to traditional. A lot of times a parent will withdraw and look for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by withdrawing their student and taking them to another school, how would you would you accept</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school.</li> <li>What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move students from traditional to traditional. A lot of times a parent will withdraw and look for another school after that situation occurs.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by withdrawing their student and taking them to another school, how would you would you accept that or would you say, I'm sorry, they have to</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done? MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move students from traditional to traditional. A lot of times a parent will withdraw and look for another school after that situation occurs. Where we place mostly is traditional to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by withdrawing their student and taking them to another school, how would you would you accept that or would you say, I'm sorry, they have to go?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that. MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done? MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move students from traditional to traditional. A lot of times a parent will withdraw and look for another school after that situation occurs. Where we place mostly is traditional to nontraditional because of the severity of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by withdrawing their student and taking them to another school, how would you would you accept that or would you say, I'm sorry, they have to go?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: No, if they got placed through</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move students from traditional to traditional. A lot of times a parent will withdraw and look for another school after that situation occurs. Where we place mostly is traditional to nontraditional because of the severity of the and a lot of times it's not even the severity of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by withdrawing their student and taking them to another school, how would you would you accept that or would you say, I'm sorry, they have to go?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move students from traditional to traditional. A lot of times a parent will withdraw and look for another school after that situation occurs. Where we place mostly is traditional to nontraditional because of the severity of the and a lot of times it's not even the severity of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by withdrawing their student and taking them to another school, how would you would you accept that or would you say, I'm sorry, they have to go?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: No, if they got placed through the committee at an alternative site they have to enroll in the alternative site.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite I couldn't quite hear that.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move students from traditional to traditional. A lot of times a parent will withdraw and look for another school after that situation occurs. Where we place mostly is traditional to nontraditional because of the severity of the and a lot of times it's not even the severity of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student enrolls in that school.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is I have a lot of questions on this section.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: That's okay.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by withdrawing their student and taking them to another school, how would you would you accept that or would you say, I'm sorry, they have to go?</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: No, if they got placed through the committee at an alternative site they have to</li> </ul>

Page 93	Page 95
<sup>1</sup> geographically; programatically; how is it	<sup>1</sup> threat assessment
<sup>2</sup> selected?	<sup>2</sup> MS. FERTIG: Yeah. Right.
<sup>3</sup> MR. LOZANO: Both. Because we have you	<sup>3</sup> MR. MAYERSOHN: How do we know we don't
<sup>4</sup> know, Lanier-James, we have Cypress Run are our	<sup>4</sup> your system doesn't communicate with Palm Beach,
<sup>5</sup> two primarily discipline sites. We also have	<ul> <li>so how do you know what information except</li> </ul>
<sup>6</sup> other sites for our ESE children, but they're not	<sup>6</sup> calling up and asking Palm Beach for the
<sup>7</sup> general education sites. So, again, there's	<sup>7</sup> information? That's not within the 72 hours that
<sup>8</sup> multiple factors that go into, but primarily	<sup>8</sup> they may provide you with. Maybe that takes
<sup>9</sup> and Pine Ridge. So those are our primary	<sup>9</sup> them, you know, a week. So within that timeframe
<sup>10</sup> locations where students are placed at an	<sup>10</sup> that's a concern about how we then handle
<sup>11</sup> alternative site.	<sup>11</sup> students coming in without knowing any detail. I
<sup>12</sup> MS. FERTIG: Okay. And so I'm sorry,	<sup>12</sup> mean, I know, you know IEPs go back and forth the
<sup>13</sup> Rebecca, go ahead.	<ul> <li><sup>13</sup> same way, there's not one system that generates</li> </ul>
<sup>14</sup> MS. DAHL: I just have one other question. I	<sup>14</sup> that and nobody's, you know, speaking the same or
<sup>15</sup> have a question with that. When you have a child	<sup>15</sup> technology is not speaking the same language.
<sup>16</sup> going from an alternative school and they've	<sup>16</sup> MR. MEDVIN: So, fortunately, Palm Beach and
<sup>17</sup> completed whatever length of time they're	<sup>17</sup> Broward use the same system, so but across the
<sup>18</sup> supposed to be there and they go back to a	<sup>18</sup> 67 districts, to your point, that doesn't happen,
<sup>19</sup> traditional school because they're,	<sup>19</sup> which is part of the conversation next Tuesday
<sup>20</sup> quote-unquote, fixed, sorry, is there a meeting	<sup>20</sup> and Wednesday.
<sup>21</sup> that's supposed to happen when that child goes	<sup>21</sup> MR. MAYERSOHN: Up in Orlando.
up.	
WIN. LOZANO. TES, that same contect.	
<sup>25</sup> MS. DAHL: Okay.	<sup>25</sup> MS. STRAUSS: And, Bob, if you remember, when
Page 94	Page 96
	i dge 90
<sup>1</sup> MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?	<sup>1</sup> we started this conversation we even talked about
<sup>1</sup> MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?	<sup>1</sup> we started this conversation we even talked about
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that</li> <li>takes place.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that</li> <li>takes place.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance</li> <li>meeting if they're going back into main</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that</li> <li>takes place.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that</li> <li>takes place.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance</li> <li>meeting if they're going back into main</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that</li> <li>takes place.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance</li> <li>meeting if they're going back into main</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting</li> <li>when a student exits the nontraditional they</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that</li> <li>takes place.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance</li> <li>meeting if they're going back into main</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting</li> <li>when a student exits the nontraditional they</li> <li>schedule the meeting with the school that the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that</li> <li>takes place.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance</li> <li>meeting if they're going back into main</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting</li> <li>when a student exits the nontraditional they</li> <li>schedule the meeting with the school that the</li> <li>student is going to return to.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?</li> <li>MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and</li> <li>that was my next question.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that</li> <li>takes place.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance</li> <li>meeting if they're going back into main</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting</li> <li>when a student exits the nontraditional they</li> <li>schedule the meeting with the school that the</li> <li>student is going to return to.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the         16       MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the         16       MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the         16       MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it         17       here.         18       MR. LOZANO: No.	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the         16       MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it         17       here.         18       MR. LOZANO: No.         19       MS. FERTIG: No. Okay. That would just be a	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> <li>do better? Right?</li> <li>With all the tools that Mr. Mayersohn spoke</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the         16       MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it         17       here.         18       MR. LOZANO: No.         19       MS. FERTIG: No. Okay. That would just be a         20       concern that, you know, particularly how this all	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> <li>do better? Right?</li> <li>With all the tools that Mr. Mayersohn spoke</li> <li>about, wouldn't it make most sense to add more</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the         16       MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it         17       here.         18       MR. LOZANO: No.         19       MS. FERTIG: No. Okay. That would just be a         20       concern that, you know, particularly how this all         21       unfolds right now.	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> <li>do better? Right?</li> <li>With all the tools that Mr. Mayersohn spoke</li> <li>about, wouldn't it make most sense to add more</li> <li>resources, different types of resources, at those</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the         16       MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it         17       here.         18       MR. LOZANO: No.         19       MS. FERTIG: No. Okay. That would just be a         20       concern that, you know, particularly how this all         21       unfolds right now.         22       MR. MAYERSOHN: Mary, I would add it also the	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> <li>do better? Right?</li> <li>With all the tools that Mr. Mayersohn spoke</li> <li>about, wouldn't it make most sense to add more</li> <li>resources, different types of resources, at those</li> <li>locations before they go back to mainstream</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the         16       MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it         17       here.         18       MR. LOZANO: No.         19       MS. FERTIG: No. Okay. That would just be a         20       concern that, you know, particularly how this all         21       unfolds right now.         22       MR. MAYERSOHN: Mary, I would add it also the         23       other way, going down to whatever it is 39 or <th><ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> <li>do better? Right?</li> <li>With all the tools that Mr. Mayersohn spoke</li> <li>about, wouldn't it make most sense to add more</li> <li>resources, different types of resources, at those</li> <li>locations before they go back to mainstream</li> <li>schools?</li> </ul></th>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> <li>do better? Right?</li> <li>With all the tools that Mr. Mayersohn spoke</li> <li>about, wouldn't it make most sense to add more</li> <li>resources, different types of resources, at those</li> <li>locations before they go back to mainstream</li> <li>schools?</li> </ul>
1       MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?         2       MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to and         3       that was my next question.         4       MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that         5       takes place.         6       MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance         7       meeting if they're going back into main         8       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting         9       when a student exits the nontraditional they         10       schedule the meeting with the school that the         11       student is going to return to.         12       MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?         13       That's one of the things we're monitoring or         14       we're not monitoring it?         15       MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the         16       MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it         17       here.         18       MR. LOZANO: No.         19       MS. FERTIG: No. Okay. That would just be a         20       concern that, you know, particularly how this all         21       unfolds right now.         22       MR. MAYERSOHN: Mary, I would add it also the         23       other way, going down to whatever it is 39 or <th><ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> <li>do better? Right?</li> <li>With all the tools that Mr. Mayersohn spoke</li> <li>about, wouldn't it make most sense to add more</li> <li>resources, different types of resources, at those</li> <li>locations before they go back to mainstream</li> <li>schools?</li> </ul></th>	<ul> <li>we started this conversation we even talked about</li> <li>Broward County being the example, if we can get</li> <li>it right in this state, we could be the example</li> <li> what if a Broward County student goes to</li> <li>Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something</li> <li>not good there, well, we don't want to be in the</li> <li>news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta</li> <li>school and then something horrible happened</li> <li>there; right?</li> <li>So I think it's like a much larger problem to</li> <li>tackle but I think we need to get it right, here,</li> <li>first.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just</li> <li>sorry, really quickly, of these alternative</li> <li>schools and talking about, what is the capture</li> <li>point; what is the trigger point on where we can</li> <li>do better? Right?</li> <li>With all the tools that Mr. Mayersohn spoke</li> <li>about, wouldn't it make most sense to add more</li> <li>resources, different types of resources, at those</li> <li>locations before they go back to mainstream</li> <li>schools?</li> </ul>

# 25 (Pages 97 to 100)

		1	
	Page 97		Page 99
1	to add more resources to that school this year to	1	MS. DAHL: Because that really needs to be
2	support, because that concern that you just said	2	captured, too, because of course, I haven't
3	was brought up, can we add more resources to	3	been in the system for a while, but even children
4	support those schools? Because, again, Pine	4	that have been expelled and are not really
5	Ridge, Lanier-James, Cypress Run, have the	5	attending school, they come back, too.
6	majority of our students with our very serious	6	MS. STRAUSS: Yes, they do.
7	substantive threats. So how do we provide more	7	MS. DAHL: And I had a very serious incident
8	support to those school administrators and mental	8	with one of those. One of my APs got totally
9	health staff to support the needs of those	9	beaten up by the kid. Guess what? He got
10	students?	10	expelled again. So what. I mean, first time
11	MS. STRAUSS: But perhaps it's not just	11	didn't help him, I know the second time didn't
12	mental health. Perhaps it's deeper rooted than	12	help him.
13	that in addition to mental health. You don't	13	So I'd like to see some indication on, that
14	know. Because whatever is happening isn't	14	too, because most of the time when those kids
15	working. Let's face it.	15	come back in, I don't know if you all are
16	How many of the students that are in those	16	capturing them and whether or not you're doing a
17	three schools that you mentioned returned to a	17	meeting with that school to tell them this is why
18	mainstream school and have significant growth in	18	the child was expelled, that child has been
19	the positive in a positive direction? What is	19	expelled for beating up a school employee, and
20	our success rate with those children with the	20	the child did it again.
21	resources we're providing them? If we did ask	21	MR. MEDVIN: Okay. We're on a time
22	for extra money, what's the ROI; right? And	22	constraint. I'd like to wrap this up by 20 to
23	what's the impact on the child?	23	12. So if we could start
24	MS. DAHL: And the school.	24	MS. FERTIG: A motion?
25	MS. STRAUSS: And the school. Exactly.	25	MR. DE MEO: Mr. Chair, I'll make a motion,
	Page 98		Page 100
1	MS. FERTIG: And since you've added this,	1	because I have to leave.
2	since you've begun this in the last couple of	2	MR. MEDVIN: If we could start making our
3	years you've sent a new you sent a new	3	motions.
4	challenge to these nontraditional schools that	4	MR. DE MEO: I'll make the first motion.
5	they might not have handled in the past because	5	MR. MEDVIN: Okay.
6	now they are getting all of these serious and	6	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Can I just, before you do
7	very serious threats that you were not tracking	7	that, because page 5 we didn't talk about and
8	before and you're putting them all in one place.	8	this is actually a recommendation from the
9	And I just kind of wonder what tools, what you're	9	auditors. And I'm kind of curious as to why. It
10	saying, what tools are they giving them to assist	10	says, the district implemented Navigate360 in the
11	with this extra this I don't know what you	11	school year 21-22 for each school to document the
12	want to call it, but it's definitely a new and	12	monthly BTA team meetings. We recommend schools
13	much more intense challenge than what they've	13	enter more specific details concerning the cases
14	faced in the past.	14	discussed and action items taken each month and
15	So I would say that I think this is an	15	also include the date that each student's
16	area to do some more work on. I, myself, would	16	monitoring plan is closed and the rationale
17	like to know, and I don't know if the rest of you	17	behind the closure, when applicable.
18	feel the same way, and we'll add it to our motion	18	Additionally, we recommend that formal school
19	if you do, that we get the information on the	19	policies are adopted at the Centers, where
20	total number of transfers in every quarterly	20	physical checks and other daily safety measures
21	report and some indication of where they went	21	occur for all attending students. Adopting
22	traditional; nontraditional; out of system.	22	formal polices would alleviate the maintenance of
23	MS. STRAUSS: And back. Back and forth.	23	possession check logs, for example, for those
24	MS. DAHL: Back and forth.	24	students with monitoring plans requiring such
		25	
25	MS, FERTIG: Yeah	25	searcnes.
25	MS. FERTIG: Yeah.	25	searches.

	Page 101		Page 103
1	Isn't this addressed in the behavioral threat	1	school's policy and procedures for when students
2	assessment policy, itself? I mean, doesn't that	2	attend that school site it should specifically
3	apply to all schools?	3	say, you know, as a requirement of coming to this
4		4	school, you know, you you know, you're wanded,
5	I guess, through the Chair, it's a Mr. Lozano	5	
6	question.	6	you're all the requirements of there's no
7	MR. LOZANO: So I'll take the first	7	backpacks, whatever the requirements are at that
	paragraph. So we did implement Navigate360 for	8	school for all students, and that wasn't
8	all schools to log and monitor their BTA monthly		documented to the level of RSM's satisfaction.
9	meetings that were mandatory. What we did was we	9	So that's why they flagged that.
10	have one box where we ask schools to list student	10	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And where it says,
11	discussed and actions taken. Through this audit	11	alleviate the maintenance of possession check
12	process we saw some schools didn't provide the	12	logs, for example, for those students with
13	details specifically, so we adjusted the	13	monitoring plans, are these the BTA monitoring
14	template. So schools now have to list specific	14	plans?
15	students and the specific actions taken.	15	MR. LOZANO: So the students who have a daily
16	So I think that goes back to what Mr. Jabouin	16	personal possession check, the schools have to
17	said, where we start process improvement	17	keep a daily log of that. So what they are
18	immediately as we start seeing things come up.	18	saying, since that's a part of attending that
19	So we've already addressed the first piece of	19	school, the school wouldn't have to have the log
20	that.	20	if they had it in clear policy and procedure of
21	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And who where does	21	attending that school.
22	Navigate360 come from? Because the behavioral	22	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So where since they're
23	threat assessment is a PCG product; right?	23	checked, where is that information kept? Would
24	MR. LOZANO: Correct.	24	that be shared as part of the monitoring or
25	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is Navigate360 as well?	25	you're saying how would you know that they're
	Page 102		Page 104
1	MR. LOZANO: So Navigate360 is its own	1	doing what's in the monitoring plan if it's part
2	company and Navigate360 is where schools log	2	of going to the school and they're going to keep
3	their fire drills and their active assailant	3	that instead?
4	drills. So we use we use	4	MS. BARDEE: When we talked to the centers
5	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do they talk to each other?	5	every student entering that center gets backpack
б	Is this the thing that	6	checked, gets a personal possession check.
7	MR. LOZANO: No, those two don't.	7	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I get that, but how do
8	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, those are the two that	8	you where would that be documented so that if
9	don't talk to each other. Okay.	9	you were checking off that they're doing the
10	And then the second part where they're	10	monitoring plan you know that that's been done as
11	recommending formal school policies, we have a	11	part of them attending the school?
12	policy and there is a manual which suggests there	12	MS. BARDEE: What we're saying here is, if
13	is a procedure, which I think we would assume	13	they had a formal policy stating that from the
14	applies to all schools regardless of type. No?	14	school perspective that that's occurring, I would
15	MR. LOZANO: So so I think where RSM went	15	be all right with not seeing a log of that every
16	with this one is, when you go to one of these	16	day because it's policy to even enter the school.
17	sites, for the most part when you walk through	17	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But then you'd have to test
18	the front door you're automatically checked,	18	their policy to make sure they're actually doing
19	you're automatically searched, but the schools	19	it. So I don't know that that would alleviate,
20	don't have a signed form where parents and	20	because, yeah, sure, they could adopt a policy
21	students acknowledge that takes place. So	21	saying that they do it, but then you'd have to
22	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So it's not in the manual	22	test to make sure it's happening in order to
23	then?	23	check off that the monitoring plan that says they
24	MR. LOZANO: So that's that's specific to	24	need to be checked is happening.
		25	
25	each school and they were referencing in the	25	So I I hear you, but, unless you're going

	Page 105	Page 107
1		
2	to test that school's daily searching, we're	
3	right back to where we started, I think.	DR. LTNCH-WALSH. TOU SUILATE. TOU
	But, thank you, that clarifies it and I'm	
4	good.	MIR. LOZANO. CONECI. CONECI.
5	MR. DE MEO: I'm going to make a motion.	
6	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I've got like four motions.	6 was to have a
7	MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I know you've got a list	DR. LTNCH-WALSH. TO HEVEI LASK ASSIGN THAT
8	there of motions.	<sup>8</sup> person to anything else is the point of the
9	Just as we're concluding, just one thought	<sup>9</sup> motion.
10	for us as we move forward, you know, we see that	<sup>10</sup> MR. LOZANO: There you go.
11	more there's more compliance with a lot of	<sup>11</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That person should be
12	these issues, so when you do all the schools we	<sup>12</sup> solely responsible and ineligible for any other
13	don't know, but we don't really see any kind of	<sup>13</sup> task assignments.
14	impact on the trend of threats that we're having.	<sup>14</sup> MR. DE MEO: That's what I said. That's what
15	And I just hope some day we have that	<sup>15</sup> I said.
16	conversation to see if there's things that we can	<sup>16</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, you said hire or
17	do to strengthen it. And maybe not. Maybe this	<sup>17</sup> appoint and they're gonna be like, we already
18	is a societal issue and not something we can	have one. But just to drive the point home,
19 20	impact, but it doesn't look to me like we're	<sup>19</sup> don't ever task assign them. <sup>20</sup> MS_STRAUSS: Cap we amond that then to just
	seeing any kind of a downward trend in the number	NO. STICAOSO. Can we amend that then to just
21	of threats that you're getting, serious and very	say not
22	serious.	<sup>22</sup> MS. SHAW: I accept the friendly amendment.
23	MR. LOZANO: So I will say	<sup>23</sup> MS. STRAUSS: So to not be task assigned to
24	MS. FERTIG: In spite of all of these, we	<ul> <li>any other position.</li> <li>MS_EEPTIC: It sounds like Phyllis has an</li> </ul>
25	might be identifying things, but we're not really	<sup>25</sup> MS. FERTIG: It sounds like Phyllis has an
	Page 106	Page 108
1	mitigating things.	<sup>1</sup> amendment.
2		
	MR. DE MEO: So, Mr. Chair, I'll make a	<sup>2</sup> MS. SHAW: No, I was making what Nathalie's
3	MR. DE MEO: So, Mr. Chair, I'll make a motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board	NO. OF AW. TVO, TWOS THAKING WHAT NATHAlie S
3 4	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board	<sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.
	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management	<sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.
4	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose	<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> </ul>
4 5	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management	<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> </ul>
4 5 6	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat	<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li><sup>6</sup> senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li><sup>7</sup> individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> </ul>
4 5 6	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.	<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li><sup>6</sup> senior management level appropriately qualified</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program. MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and	<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li><sup>6</sup> senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li><sup>7</sup> individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li><sup>8</sup> threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program. MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the	<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li><sup>6</sup> senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li><sup>7</sup> individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li><sup>8</sup> threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li><sup>9</sup> be any clearer.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program. MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.	<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li><sup>6</sup> senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li><sup>7</sup> individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li><sup>8</sup> threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li><sup>9</sup> be any clearer.</li> <li><sup>10</sup> MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program. MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.	<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li><sup>5</sup> MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li><sup>6</sup> senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li><sup>7</sup> individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li><sup>8</sup> threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li><sup>9</sup> be any clearer.</li> <li><sup>10</sup> MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li><sup>11</sup> MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program. MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board. MR. MEDVIN: The school board.	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program. MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board. MR. MEDVIN: The school board. MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: The school board.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: The school board.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: There you go.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean,</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: The school board.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: There you go.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Can you repeat the words after</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean,</li> <li>that task assigned?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: The school board.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: There you go.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Can you repeat the words after responsibility, sir?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean,</li> <li>that task assigned?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: He has two jobs.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: The school board.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: There you go.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Can you repeat the words after responsibility, sir?</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The Audit Committee recommends</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean,</li> <li>that task assigned?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: He has two jobs.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: No, he's a good guy. He should</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: The school board.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: There you go.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Can you repeat the words after responsibility, sir?</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The Audit Committee recommends that the School Board of Broward County hire or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean,</li> <li>that task assigned?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: He has two jobs.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: No, he's a good guy. He should</li> <li>be it.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: There you go.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Can you repeat the words after responsibility, sir?</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The Audit Committee recommends that the School Board of Broward County hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean,</li> <li>that task assigned?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: He has two jobs.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: No, he's a good guy. He should</li> <li>be it.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: I know, but they took him out.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: The school board.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: There you go.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Can you repeat the words after responsibility, sir?</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The Audit Committee recommends that the School Board of Broward County hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean,</li> <li>that task assigned?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: He has two jobs.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: No, he's a good guy. He should</li> <li>be it.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: I know, but they took him out.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I'm reading these signs. You</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: The school board.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: There you go.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Can you repeat the words after responsibility, sir?</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The Audit Committee recommends that the School Board of Broward County hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean,</li> <li>that task assigned?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: He has two jobs.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: No, he's a good guy. He should</li> <li>be it.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: I know, but they took him out.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I'm reading these signs. You</li> <li>know, thank God I'm not involved with the school</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: The school board of Broward County.</li> <li>MS. SHAW: There you go.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Can you repeat the words after responsibility, sir?</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: The Audit Committee recommends that the School Board of Broward County hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So we have that position, so I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>saying into friendly amendment.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a</li> <li>senior management level appropriately qualified</li> <li>individual whose sole responsibility shall be the</li> <li>threat assessment program. I don't think I could</li> <li>be any clearer.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task</li> <li>assigned.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole</li> <li>responsibility.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean,</li> <li>that task assigned?</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: He has two jobs.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: No, he's a good guy. He should</li> <li>be it.</li> <li>MS. STRAUSS: I know, but they took him out.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: I'm reading these signs. You</li> <li>know, thank God I'm not involved with the school</li> <li>board. Task assigned, what the hell is that?</li> </ul>

	Page 109		Page 111
1	MS. DAHL: Well, let's finish that one and	1	again? I thought of something as you were
2	let's go on.	2	reading it.
3	MS. STRAUSS: So can you read that back?	3	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the
4	MR. JABOUIN: School Board of Broward County	4	entire population of serious and very serious
5	shall hire or appoint a senior management level	5	behavioral threat assessments
6	appropriately qualified individual whose sole	6	MS. FERTIG: On a quarterly basis.
7	responsibility shall be the threat assessment	7	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. On a quarterly basis
8	program. The person shall not be task assigned	8	and include a geographically-based assessment of
9	to any other position. That's the wording for	9	transient and unfounded threats.
10	the motion. I missed who Mr. De Meo, you're	10	MR. JABOUIN: I have that exact wording.
11	the one that who seconded Mr. De Meo?	11	MS. STRAUSS: I will second that. Or first
12	MS. SHAW: Phyllis.	12	it or second it or whatever.
13	MR. DE MEO: Ms. Shaw.	13	MR. MEDVIN: Any further comments?
14	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Phyllis.	14	(No response.)
15	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.	15	MR. MEDVIN: RSM, is this feasible for you?
16	MR. MEDVIN: Any further discussion?	16	MS. MURTHA: Yes, sir.
17	(No response.)	17	MR. MEDVIN: Okay.
18	MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?	18	MR. MAYERSOHN: They love the work. Come on.
19	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.	19	MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?
20	MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?	20	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Ave.
21	(No response.)	21	MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?
22	MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.	22	(No response.)
23	MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Progress.	23	MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.
24	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I've got okay. So none	24	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Next one speaks to
25	of these are actually that, so we're going good.	25	the process itself.
	Page 110		Page 112
		1	
1	So I'm going to do them individually, because	1	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness
1 2		1 2	
	So I'm going to do them individually, because		We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness
2	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise.	2	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a
2 3	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of	2 3	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly
2 3 4	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat	2 3 4	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please.
2 3 4 5	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically	2 3 4 5	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly
2 3 4 5 6	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis?
2 3 4 5 6	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can	2 3 4 5 6 7	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin
2 4 5 6 7 8 9	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert? MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion? MR. MEDVIN: Discussion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion. MR. MEDVIN: Discussion. MR. DE MEO: I love the idea and a like the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert? MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Thank you. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion? MR. MEDVIN: Discussion. MR. DE MEO: I love the idea and a like the thought, but I'm not sure that RSM can test
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert? MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes? Okay. Transient and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion? MR. MEDVIN: Discussion. MR. DE MEO: I love the idea and a like the thought, but I'm not sure that RSM can test effectiveness. Are you qualified, RSM, to test
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert? MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes? Okay. Transient and unfounded threats.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion? MR. MEDVIN: Discussion. MR. DE MEO: I love the idea and a like the thought, but I'm not sure that RSM can test effectiveness. Are you qualified, RSM, to test the effectiveness?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert? MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes? Okay. Transient and unfounded threats. MR. MEDVIN: Do I have a second?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion? MR. MEDVIN: Discussion. MR. DE MEO: I love the idea and a like the thought, but I'm not sure that RSM can test effectiveness. Are you qualified, RSM, to test the effectiveness? MS. MURTHA: We would have to partner with a qualified group. MR. DE MEO: Right. So and I think, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert? MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes? Okay. Transient and unfounded threats. MR. MEDVIN: Do I have a second? MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I'll second that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion? MR. MEDVIN: Discussion. MR. DE MEO: I love the idea and a like the thought, but I'm not sure that RSM can test effectiveness. Are you qualified, RSM, to test the effectiveness? MS. MURTHA: We would have to partner with a qualified group.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert? MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes? Okay. Transient and unfounded threats. MR. MEDVIN: Do I have a second? MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I'll second that. MS. STRAUSS: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion? MR. MEDVIN: Discussion. MR. DE MEO: I love the idea and a like the thought, but I'm not sure that RSM can test effectiveness. Are you qualified, RSM, to test the effectiveness? MS. MURTHA: We would have to partner with a qualified group. MR. DE MEO: Right. So and I think, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise. We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert? MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes? Okay. Transient and unfounded threats. MR. MEDVIN: Do I have a second? MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I'll second that. MS. STRAUSS: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis? MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. MS. FERTIG: Second. MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig. MR. DE MEO: Discussion? MR. MEDVIN: Discussion. MR. DE MEO: I love the idea and a like the thought, but I'm not sure that RSM can test effectiveness. Are you qualified, RSM, to test the effectiveness? MS. MURTHA: We would have to partner with a qualified group. MR. DE MEO: Right. So and I think, if that's the case, that we would want to be,

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

	Page 113	Pa	.ge 115
<sup>1</sup> qualifications of such person.	5	<sup>1</sup> please.	5
<sup>2</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 1 wo	uld want us involved	<sup>2</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM i	neludo
<sup>3</sup> Us involved.		<sup>3</sup> attributes that test Policy 4380 Section 3A,	
<sup>4</sup> MR. DE MEO: Yes. Becau	iso l'm pot suro who	$^{4}$ 5D and 5E.	ы, ,
5 is qualified to do that. I'm sure		5 MR. MEDVIN: RSM, is this something,	again
<sup>6</sup> we'll figure that out.	collectively	<ul> <li>that you feel you can comply with?</li> </ul>	again,
<ul> <li>MS. FERTIG: So could we</li> </ul>	just add one word to	<sup>7</sup> MS. MURTHA: If I may? 3A, the distric	t'e
<sup>8</sup> get us to the next meeting with		<ul> <li><sup>8</sup> school safety specialist shall ensure complia</li> </ul>	
<sup>9</sup> investigate this and then they o		<ul> <li><sup>9</sup> with the policy?</li> </ul>	ance
<sup>10</sup> how they would do it?		<sup>10</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So you're already	v testing
<sup>11</sup> MR. DE MEO: Okay. I'm o	hav with that	<sup>11</sup> compliance. What we need to establish is a	
<sup>12</sup> MS. FERTIG: Are you okay		<sup>12</sup> threshold level of compliance and see if the	
<sup>13</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: RSM		<sup>13</sup> that. This could be actually like the easiest	y m.
<sup>14</sup> MR. DE MEO: Or make a	-	<sup>14</sup> One ever.	
<sup>15</sup> MS. FERTIG: Yeah.		<sup>15</sup> MS. MURTHA: But it would include	
<sup>16</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Prop	oose testing I'll	<sup>16</sup> collaboration with this committee to underst	and
<sup>17</sup> change begin to propose?		<sup>17</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To quantify what	
<sup>18</sup> We move RSM propose or	develop a proposal to	<ul> <li><sup>18</sup> consider compliance.</li> </ul>	do we
<sup>19</sup> test the effectiveness of the dis		<sup>19</sup> MS. MURTHA: Exactly. Yes, ma'am.	Ves
<sup>20</sup> assessment process on a quar		<sup>20</sup> ma'am.	103,
<sup>21</sup> MS. FERTIG: Okay.		<sup>21</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But it is somethin	na vou can
<sup>22</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We	move RSM develop	<sup>22</sup> measure. And if you can measure it, you ca	
<sup>23</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Could you		<sup>23</sup> audit compliance with it.	
<sup>24</sup> Dr. Lynch-Walsh?	· · · ·	<sup>24</sup> MS. MURTHA: And the answer could b	e the
<sup>25</sup> MS. FERTIG: Well, I secor	nd it I'm fine	<sup>25</sup> report, itself.	
	Page 114		
	Page II4	Pa	ge 116
<sup>1</sup> We're good with that.	rage II4	<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>We're good with that.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wel</li> </ol>			
were good with that.		<sup>1</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I	
<sup>2</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We	ll, hold on. Let me just	<sup>1</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level h <sup>2</sup> hit of compliance in areas that we're	nave you
<sup>2</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wel <sup>3</sup> make sure I just type it first.	II, hold on. Let me just	<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level l</li> <li>hit of compliance in areas that we're</li> <li>particularly concerned about, too.</li> </ul>	nave you
<sup>2</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wel <sup>3</sup> make sure I just type it first. <sup>4</sup> We move RSM develop a p	II, hold on. Let me just	<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I</li> <li>hit of compliance in areas that we're</li> <li>particularly concerned about, too.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you</li> </ul>	nave you
<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wel</li> <li><sup>3</sup> make sure I just type it first.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> We move RSM develop a p</li> <li><sup>5</sup> effectiveness of the district's the</li> </ul>	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment	<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I</li> <li>hit of compliance in areas that we're</li> <li>particularly concerned about, too.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you</li> <li>name, but</li> </ul>	nave you ır
<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li><sup>3</sup> make sure I just type it first.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> We move RSM develop a p</li> <li><sup>5</sup> effectiveness of the district's th</li> <li><sup>6</sup> process on a quarterly basis.</li> </ul>	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment	<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I</li> <li>hit of compliance in areas that we're</li> <li>particularly concerned about, too.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you</li> <li>name, but</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.</li> </ul>	nave you ır
<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>make sure I just type it first.</li> <li>We move RSM develop a p</li> <li>effectiveness of the district's th</li> <li>process on a quarterly basis.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. F</li> </ul>	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded	<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level f</li> <li>hit of compliance in areas that we're</li> <li>particularly concerned about, too.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you</li> <li>name, but</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.</li> <li>7 MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of</li> </ul>	nave you ır
<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>make sure I just type it first.</li> <li>We move RSM develop a p</li> <li>effectiveness of the district's the</li> <li>process on a quarterly basis.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. F</li> <li>that.</li> </ul>	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded pnded it.	<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level f</li> <li>hit of compliance in areas that we're</li> <li>particularly concerned about, too.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you</li> <li>name, but</li> <li>MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.</li> <li>MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of</li> <li>they determine, how do they determine that</li> </ul>	nave you ur can
<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>make sure I just type it first.</li> <li>We move RSM develop a p</li> <li>effectiveness of the district's th</li> <li>process on a quarterly basis.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. I</li> <li>that.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second</li> </ul>	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded anded it. discussion?	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their	nave you ur can
<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>make sure I just type it first.</li> <li>We move RSM develop a p</li> <li>effectiveness of the district's th</li> <li>process on a quarterly basis.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. I</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Any further</li> </ul>	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded unded it. discussion?	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.	nave you ur can
<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li><sup>3</sup> make sure I just type it first.</li> <li><sup>4</sup> We move RSM develop a p</li> <li><sup>5</sup> effectiveness of the district's th</li> <li><sup>6</sup> process on a quarterly basis.</li> <li><sup>7</sup> MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. F</li> <li><sup>8</sup> that.</li> <li><sup>9</sup> MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second</li> <li><sup>10</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Any further</li> <li><sup>11</sup> (No response.)</li> </ul>	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded onded it. discussion?	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put	nave you ur can sponsible
<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>make sure I just type it first.</li> <li>We move RSM develop a p</li> <li>effectiveness of the district's the</li> <li>process on a quarterly basis.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. If</li> <li>that.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Any further</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Call the que</li> </ul>	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded onded it. discussion?	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level f         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a	nave you ur can sponsible
<ul> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well</li> <li>make sure I just type it first.</li> <li>We move RSM develop a p</li> <li>effectiveness of the district's th</li> <li>process on a quarterly basis.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. I</li> <li>that.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Any further</li> <li>(No response.)</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Call the que</li> <li>favor?</li> </ul>	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded anded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye.	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. I         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the que         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded anded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye.	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. If         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the que         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)         17       MR. MEDVIN: Motion carried	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded onded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye.	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I         17       think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. I         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the quest         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)         17       MR. MEDVIN: Motion carri         18       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Next	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded onded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye. ies. t? This one has to do	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I         17       think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.         18       MURTHA: Yes, sir.	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. I         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the quest         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)         17       MR. MEDVIN: Motion carri         18       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Next         19       with attributes, the ones that w	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded onded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye. ies. t? This one has to do eren't auditable.	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I         17       think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.         18       MURTHA: Yes, sir.         19       MR. DE MEO: It wouldn't be fair to you. A	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. f         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the quest         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)         17       MR. MEDVIN: Motion carri         18       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Next         19       with attributes, the ones that w         20       We move RSM include attributes	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded onded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye. ies. t? This one has to do eren't auditable. ibutes that test	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I         17       think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.         18       MURTHA: Yes, sir.         19       MR. DE MEO: It wouldn't be fair to you. A         20       it wouldn't be the results would be at best	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. I         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the quest         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)         17       MR. MEDVIN: Motion carri         18       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Next         19       with attributes, the ones that w         20       We move RSM include attri         21       Policy 4380, Section 3A, 3F, 51	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded anded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye. ies. t? This one has to do eren't auditable. ibutes that test D and 5E.	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I         17       think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.         18       MURTHA: Yes, sir.         19       MR. DE MEO: It wouldn't be fair to you. A         20       it wouldn't be the results would be at best         21       murky, I think.	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. F         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the que         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)         17       MR. MEDVIN: Motion carri         18       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Next         19       with attributes, the ones that w         20       We move RSM include attri         21       Policy 4380, Section 3A, 3F, 51         22       MS. FERTIG: Second.	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded anded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye. es. t? This one has to do eren't auditable. ibutes that test D and 5E.	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I         17       think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.         18       MS. MURTHA: Yes, sir.         19       MR. DE MEO: It wouldn't be fair to you. A         20       it wouldn't be the results would be at best         21       murky, I think.         22       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we can deterd	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. If         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I secco         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the que         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)         17       MR. MEDVIN: Motion carri         18       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Nex         19       with attributes, the ones that w         20       We move RSM include attri         21       Policy 4380, Section 3A, 3F, 51         22       MS. FERTIG: Second.         23       MR. MEDVIN: Second by I	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded anded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye. ies. t? This one has to do eren't auditable. ibutes that test D and 5E. Mary.	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I         17       think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.         18       MS. MURTHA: Yes, sir.         19       MR. DE MEO: It wouldn't be fair to you. A         10       it wouldn't be the results would be at best         11       murky, I think.       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we can detern         12       but it's pulling from the policy. So the policy	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. I         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I second         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the quest         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)         17       MR. MEDVIN: Motion carri         18       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Next         19       with attributes, the ones that w         20       We move RSM include attri         21       Policy 4380, Section 3A, 3F, 51         22       MS. FERTIG: Second.         23       MR. MEDVIN: Second by I         24       Any comments?	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded onded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye. ies. t? This one has to do eren't auditable. ibutes that test D and 5E. Mary.	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I         17       think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.         18       MS. MURTHA: Yes, sir.         19       MR. DE MEO: It wouldn't be fair to you. A         20       it wouldn't be the results would be at best         21       murky, I think.         22       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we can detern         23       but it's pulling from the policy. So the policy </td <td>nave you ur can sponsible</td>	nave you ur can sponsible
2       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well         3       make sure I just type it first.         4       We move RSM develop a p         5       effectiveness of the district's th         6       process on a quarterly basis.         7       MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. F         8       that.         9       MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I secco         10       MR. MEDVIN: Any further         11       (No response.)         12       MR. MEDVIN: Call the que         13       favor?         14       COMMITTEE MEMBERS:         15       MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?         16       (No response.)         17       MR. MEDVIN: Motion carri         18       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Nex         19       with attributes, the ones that w         20       We move RSM include attri         21       Policy 4380, Section 3A, 3F, 51         22       MS. FERTIG: Second.         23       MR. MEDVIN: Second by I	II, hold on. Let me just proposal to test the preat assessment Fertig had seconded onded it. discussion? estion. All in Aye. ies. t? This one has to do eren't auditable. ibutes that test D and 5E. Mary.	1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level I         2       hit of compliance in areas that we're         3       particularly concerned about, too.         4       MR. DE MEO: But I'm sorry, I forget you         5       name, but         6       MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.         7       MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how of         8       they determine, how do they determine that         9       someone ensured the policy was followed?         10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're re         11       for the compliance. So if they're doing their         12       job, then there would be compliance.         13       MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put         14       them in a position where they have to draw a         15       conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.         16       So I think we need to refine that. And I         17       think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.         18       MS. MURTHA: Yes, sir.         19       MR. DE MEO: It wouldn't be fair to you. A         10       it wouldn't be the results would be at best         11       murky, I think.       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we can detern         12       but it's pulling from the policy. So the policy	nave you ur can sponsible

	.9
<sup>1</sup> language is bad <sup>1</sup> student intake form.	
<sup>2</sup> MR. DE MEO: Yeah, yeah. <sup>2</sup> MS. FERTIG: Okay. Second.	
<sup>3</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: but we can at least sort <sup>3</sup> MR. JABOUIN: One more time, please?	
<sup>4</sup> of quantify some objectives to make them <sup>4</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM break down	
<sup>5</sup> measurable in terms of ensuring. Ensuring may <sup>5</sup> compliance attributes associated with the student	
<sup>6</sup> not be the best word, but that is what's in <sup>6</sup> intake form.	
<sup>7</sup> policy. <sup>7</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Okay.	
<sup>8</sup> MS. FERTIG: Can't they have a checklist and <sup>8</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Do we have a second?	
<sup>9</sup> go down it and check, called law enforcement; <sup>9</sup> MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I seconded it.	
<sup>10</sup> called this; filed that? <sup>10</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Second by Mary Fertig.	
<sup>11</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Like monitoring <sup>11</sup> Any comments?	
<sup>12</sup> needs to get down well, monitoring. Right now <sup>12</sup> (No response.)	
<sup>13</sup> we're seeing 33 percent fail on monitoring and 34 <sup>13</sup> MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?	
<sup>14</sup> on transfers. Ensuring compliance we could say <sup>14</sup> COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.	
<sup>15</sup> in these particular areas, like this quarter <sup>15</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?	
<sup>16</sup> we're concerned about this, and see if it can be <sup>16</sup> (No response.)	
<sup>17</sup> reduced by X percent, something that's feasible, <sup>17</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.	
<sup>18</sup> that's attainable, and they should be able to <sup>18</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I'm going to skip	
<sup>19</sup> knock it down. I mean, the monitoring is kind of <sup>19</sup> the one on monitoring because I was writing these	
<sup>20</sup> key. <sup>20</sup> while you guys were talking, so if someone had	
<sup>21</sup> MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think we need some <sup>21</sup> something on monitoring, I didn't get any further	
<sup>22</sup> agreed-upon language. <sup>22</sup> than follow up on monitoring.	
<sup>23</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. We can agree later, <sup>23</sup> The other one I had, and I don't know if this	
<sup>24</sup> but I'm just trying to kick the can. <sup>24</sup> is a motion, but it has to do with the prior	
<sup>25</sup> MS. MURTHA: Perhaps a proposal in this <sup>25</sup> motion about quarterly reports. So I was going	
Page 118 Page 12	20
<sup>1</sup> attribute as well. What would that look like? <sup>1</sup> to recommend we move the chief auditor retrans	nit
<sup>2</sup> What could that look like? <sup>2</sup> the Audit Committee motion to the board related	
<sup>3</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Propose We move RSM <sup>3</sup> to quarterly reports. Because we did pass a	
<sup>4</sup> propose attributes that test I'll just, <sup>4</sup> motion and nothing's	
<sup>5</sup> instead of "include", "propose". <sup>5</sup> MS. FERTIG: Aren't we doing quarterly	
<sup>6</sup> We move RSM propose attributes that test <sup>6</sup> reports?	
<sup>7</sup> Policy 4380 Sections 3A, 3F, 5D and 5E. <sup>7</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, staff.	
<sup>8</sup> MS. FERTIG: All right. Second. <sup>8</sup> District-based. Like we just got the stats from	
<sup>9</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Any comments? <sup>9</sup> Lozano, but we should have had a report.	
<sup>10</sup> (No response.) <sup>10</sup> MS. FERTIG: Oh, right, right, right.	
<sup>11</sup> MR. MEDVIN: All in favor? <sup>11</sup> I see. Okay.	
<sup>12</sup> COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye. <sup>12</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, but to clarify, relate	d
<sup>13</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Opposed. <sup>13</sup> to district quarterly reports.	
<sup>14</sup> (No response.) <sup>14</sup> MR. LOZANO: So the school board gets thos	е
<sup>15</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries. <sup>15</sup> reports. I don't know if Audit Committee gets	
<sup>16</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you. The next one <sup>16</sup> those reports. So we do	
<sup>17</sup> had to do with the student intake form. It <sup>17</sup> MS. DAHL: We asked for them.	
<sup>18</sup> sounded like people wanted that broken down <sup>18</sup> MR. LOZANO: the data I just shared, we do	)
<sup>19</sup> within the attribute, like the different <sup>19</sup> report that quarterly to the school board.	
<sup>20</sup> components of the student intake form. Because <sup>20</sup> MS. FERTIG: Which makes it public record, s	0
there's the signature for law enforcement, <sup>21</sup> it's easy to send us a copy. I mean	
there's a lot in the student intake form and it's MS. DAHL: Yeah, we asked for it.	
<sup>23</sup> just one attribute right now. <sup>23</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I don't think we	
<sup>24</sup> So I was just going to say, we move RSM break <sup>24</sup> would have made that motion. I think we had this	
<sup>25</sup> down compliance attributes associated with the <sup>25</sup> exact discussion and we asked for the reports.	

Page 121	Page 123
<sup>1</sup> MS. STRAUSS: Months ago.	<sup>1</sup> MS. FERTIG: I think I think that's a
<sup>2</sup> MR. DE MEO: Yeah.	<sup>2</sup> pretty important topic to include in our overall
<sup>3</sup> So we don't need a motion, just give us the	<sup>3</sup> report. It may not I mean, it's just a line
<sup>4</sup> report.	<sup>4</sup> on the report, maybe three lines, but I think it
5 MS. STRAUSS: Per our request previously that	<sup>5</sup> should be included there.
<sup>6</sup> was documented.	<sup>6</sup> MR. DE MEO: Lagree.
<sup>7</sup> MS. FERTIG: I know we're going to run out of	<sup>7</sup> MR. JABOUIN: We'll immediately send what
<sup>8</sup> time, so just in the interest of time, did you	<sup>8</sup> went out and then we'll have to work with them on
<sup>9</sup> put down my request or do I need to put in a	<sup>9</sup> getting the specific line, Ms. Fertig.
<sup>10</sup> motion that we get quarterly the number of	<sup>10</sup> MR. MEDVIN: Okay. My quick comment is, I
<sup>11</sup> students who transferred out of who are	<sup>11</sup> want to thank RSM for their work.
<sup>12</sup> transferred as a result of serious or very	<sup>12</sup> MS. DAHL: Yes, thank you.
<sup>13</sup> serious threats.	<sup>13</sup> MR. MEDVIN: And I want to thank the
<sup>14</sup> MR. LOZANO: Mr. Jabouin do you get them,	<sup>14</sup> committee for their diligence in getting into
<sup>15</sup> that report?	<sup>15</sup> this and their passion, our passion, about a
<sup>16</sup> MR. JABOUIN: I will look for them. So if	<sup>16</sup> very, very important issue. And it seems that we
<sup>17</sup> I'm on the distribution list for that	<sup>17</sup> will be working together with RSM, Mr. Lozano and
<sup>18</sup> MR. LOZANO: Kim can send you them today.	<sup>18</sup> his team to make sure this procedure continues to
<sup>19</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, if she can resend them to	<sup>19</sup> improve. So I thank everybody for their efforts
<sup>20</sup> me that will make it easier to look at them.	<sup>20</sup> in that area.
<sup>21</sup> MS. FERTIG: And not by name of school but by	<sup>21</sup> MS. STRAUSS: And I want to say thank you,
<sup>22</sup> type of where they go.	<sup>22</sup> Mr. Lozano and your team, and I hope that your
<sup>23</sup> MR. LOZANO: And we have elementary,	<sup>23</sup> task assignment ends.
<sup>24</sup> middle	<sup>24</sup> MS. DAHL: Or that he gets
<sup>25</sup> MS. FERTIG: Traditional, alternative	<sup>25</sup> MR. DE MEO: Or begins. Or begins; right?
Page 122	Page 124
<sup>1</sup> transfers.	<sup>1</sup> Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> <li>Kim.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> <li>Kim.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> <li>Kim.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk</li> <li>about what you want in the report, because right</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> <li>Kim.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk</li> <li>about what you want in the report, because right</li> <li>now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from Kim.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk about what you want in the report, because right now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to the next meeting and look at it and define the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> <li>Kim.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk</li> <li>about what you want in the report, because right</li> <li>now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to</li> <li>the next meeting and look at it and define the</li> <li>parameters.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> <li>Kim.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk</li> <li>about what you want in the report, because right</li> <li>now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to</li> <li>the next meeting and look at it and define the</li> <li>parameters.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> <li>Kim.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk</li> <li>about what you want in the report, because right</li> <li>now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to</li> <li>the next meeting and look at it and define the</li> <li>parameters.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just</li> <li>interested in that total number.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> <li>MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> <li>Kim.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk</li> <li>about what you want in the report, because right</li> <li>now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to</li> <li>the next meeting and look at it and define the</li> <li>parameters.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just</li> <li>interested in that total number.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> <li>MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done</li> <li>today.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>transfers.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from</li> <li>Kim.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk</li> <li>about what you want in the report, because right</li> <li>now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to</li> <li>the next meeting and look at it and define the</li> <li>parameters.</li> <li>MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just</li> <li>interested in that total number.</li> <li>MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're</li> <li>producing now so you have them.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> <li>MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done</li> <li>today.</li> </ul>
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> <li>MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done</li> <li>today.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: It's on the agenda.</li> </ul>
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> <li>MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done</li> <li>today.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.</li> <li>MR. MAYERSOHN: It's on the agenda.</li> </ul>
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were nine transfers that they followed, but	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> <li>MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done</li> <li>today.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda. Don't waste</li> <li>more time.</li> </ul>
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were nine transfers that they followed, but         16       I'm just out of your 20 or whatever sample.	1       Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next         2       to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem         3       to be on top of these things. And thank you,         4       RSM. You did a nice job on the report.         5       MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time         6       constraints we have to go on.         7       Mr. Jabouin?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the         9       Big 3 discussion.         10       MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done         11       today.         12       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         13       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         14       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         15       more time.
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were nine transfers that they followed, but         16       I'm just out of your 20 or whatever sample.	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> <li>MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done</li> <li>today.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.</li> </ul>
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were nine transfers that they followed, but         16       I'm just out of your 20 or whatever sample.         17       I'm just interested in how many total there are.         18       MR. LOZANO: Yeah.	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> <li>MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done</li> <li>today.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda. Don't waste</li> <li>more time.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So I just wanted to</li> <li>mention to the committee that Ms Mrs. Marte</li> </ul>
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were nine transfers that they followed, but         16       I'm just out of your 20 or whatever sample.         17       I'm just interested in how many total there are.         18       MR. LOZANO: Yeah.         19       MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to double-check.	<ul> <li>Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next</li> <li>to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem</li> <li>to be on top of these things. And thank you,</li> <li>RSM. You did a nice job on the report.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time</li> <li>constraints we have to go on.</li> <li>Mr. Jabouin?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the</li> <li>Big 3 discussion.</li> <li>MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done</li> <li>today.</li> <li>MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So I just wanted to</li> <li>mention to the committee that Ms Mrs. Marte</li> <li>needs to leave at 12:15, she's already cancelled</li> <li>two meetings already.</li> </ul>
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were nine transfers that they followed, but         16       I'm just out of your 20 or whatever sample.         17       I'm just interested in how many total there are.         18       MR. LOZANO: Yeah.         19       MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to double-check.	1       Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next         2       to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem         3       to be on top of these things. And thank you,         4       RSM. You did a nice job on the report.         5       MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time         6       constraints we have to go on.         7       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the         9       Big 3 discussion.         10       MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done         11       today.         12       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         13       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         14       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         15       more time.         16       MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So I just wanted to         17       mention to the committee that Ms Mrs. Marte         18       needs to leave at 12:15, she's already cancelled         19       two meetings already.         20       MS. DAHL: We agreed to one thing.
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were nine transfers that they followed, but         16       I'm just interested in how many total there are.         17       I'm just interested in how many total there are.         18       MR. LOZANO: Yeah.         19       MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to double-check.         20       Obviously, you'll get me, Kim, the reports that         21       went out to the board, but as far as Mrs.	1       Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next         2       to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem         3       to be on top of these things. And thank you,         4       RSM. You did a nice job on the report.         5       MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time         6       constraints we have to go on.         7       Mr. Jabouin?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the         9       Big 3 discussion.         10       MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done         11       today.         12       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         13       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         14       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         15       more time.         16       MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So I just wanted to         17       mention to the committee that Ms Mrs. Marte         18       needs to leave at 12:15, she's already cancelled         19       two meetings already.         19       MS. DAHL: We agreed to one thing.         19       MS. FERTIG: No, but we're only talking
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were nine transfers that they followed, but         16       I'm just interested in how many total there are.         17       I'm just interested in how many total there are.         18       MR. LOZANO: Yeah.         19       MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to double-check.         20       Obviously, you'll get me, Kim, the reports that         21       went out to the board, but as far as Mrs.	1       Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next         2       to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem         3       to be on top of these things. And thank you,         4       RSM. You did a nice job on the report.         5       MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time         6       constraints we have to go on.         7       Mr. Jabouin?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the         9       Big 3 discussion.         10       MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done         11       today.         12       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         13       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         14       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         15       more time.         16       MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So I just wanted to         17       mention to the committee that Ms Mrs. Marte         18       needs to leave at 12:15, she's already cancelled         19       two meetings already.         10       MS. DAHL: We agreed to one thing.         12       MS. FERTIG: No, but we're only talking
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were nine transfers that they followed, but         16       I'm just out of your 20 or whatever sample.         17       I'm just interested in how many total there are.         18       MR. LOZANO: Yeah.         19       MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to double-check.         20       Obviously, you'll get me, Kim, the reports that         21       went out to the board, but as far as Mrs.         22       Fertig's specific request, do the reports address	1       Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next         2       to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem         3       to be on top of these things. And thank you,         4       RSM. You did a nice job on the report.         5       MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time         6       constraints we have to go on.         7       Mr. Jabouin?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the         9       Big 3 discussion.         10       MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done         11       today.         12       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         13       MR. MAYERSOHN: It's on the agenda.         14       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         15       more time.         16       MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So I just wanted to         17       mention to the committee that Ms Mrs. Marte         18       needs to leave at 12:15, she's already cancelled         19       two meetings already.         19       MS. DAHL: We agreed to one thing.         19       MS. FERTIG: No, but we're only talking         19       he's only mentioning something. We're not
1       transfers.         2       MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from         3       Kim.         4       MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk         5       about what you want in the report, because right         6       now, and maybe that's we bring what we have to         7       the next meeting and look at it and define the         8       parameters.         9       MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just         10       interested in that total number.         11       MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're         12       producing now so you have them.         13       MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report         14       there were I mean in this quarter's report         15       there were I mean in this quarter's report         16       I'm just out of your 20 or whatever sample.         17       I'm just interested in how many total there are.         18       MR. LOZANO: Yeah.         19       MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to double-check.         10       Obviously, you'll get me, Kim, the reports that         19       MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to double-check.         10       Obviously, you'll get me, Kim, the reports address         12       went out to the board, but	1       Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next         2       to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem         3       to be on top of these things. And thank you,         4       RSM. You did a nice job on the report.         5       MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time         6       constraints we have to go on.         7       Mr. Jabouin?         8       MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the         9       Big 3 discussion.         10       MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done         11       today.         12       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         13       MR. MAYERSOHN: It's on the agenda.         14       MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.         15       more time.         16       MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So I just wanted to         17       mention to the committee that Ms Mrs. Marte         18       needs to leave at 12:15, she's already cancelled         19       two meetings already.         10       MS. DAHL: We agreed to one thing.         17       MS. DAHL: We agreed to one thing.         18       needs to leave at 12:15, she's already cancelled         19       two meetings already.         10       MS. FERTIG: No, but we'

	- 105		- 105
	Page 125		Page 127
1	Thank you, Ms. Marquardt.	1	MS. FERTIG: Okay.
2	MS. FERTIG: Move to transmit with all the	2	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know, but, respectfully,
3	motions.	3	I know I know a painful amount about this and
4	MR. MAYERSOHN: Second.	4	we just lost
5	MR. MEDVIN: Second. All in favor?	5	MS. FERTIG: We lost I understand. So can
6	COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.	6	we.
7	MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?	7	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So do we have anything to
8	(No response.)	8	gain from hearing this today?
9	MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.	9	MS. FERTIG: So can we write or our I'm
10	MS. FERTIG: Are you just making you're	10	just trying to cut through this. Can we just
11	just making a brief comment on the Big 3 Audit,	11	write our things, our points in to you and
12	you're not getting into it?	12	then
13	MR. JABOUIN: There is no motion for the Big	13	MR. JABOUIN: You could, but I do think that
14	3. It is to as RSM who's on the phone will	14	I'd like to give the members of the committee
15	they've taken advice already from the Facilities	15	that are here an understanding as to the scope of
16	Task Force, from the Diversity Committee, from	16	the work, because I
17	the Bond Oversight Committee, and so they're	17	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is it a performance audit?
18	going to go over the key points of those	18	MR. JABOUIN: Yes, it is a performance audit
19	discussions.	19	and I would like to have that communication
20	So there is no motion, no need to approve.	20	because I want to make sure too many people
21	So if we lose quorum on that one, there's nothing	21	are waiting for the results of this work that I
22	for the committee to do.	22	want to make sure that there's at least a public
23	MS. STRAUSS: Well, I'm leaving.	23	discussion of it as has been done with the
24	MS. FERTIG: Can we just can we just get	24	different committees.
25	one thing on here? One thing I'd like them to	25	So if I could just ask Mr. Blondell to just
	Page 126		Page 128
1		1	
2	look at	2	briefly describe
3	MR. JABOUIN: The Big 3? The Big 3? MS. FERTIG: Yeah.		MS. FERTIG: But if we don't have quorum
4		5	DB I VNCH WAI SH: Wa dep't have apough people
		3	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We don't have enough people
5	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on	1	to have
	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the	4	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything.
5	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also	4	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on.
5	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well.	4 5 6	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything.
5	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell?	4 5 6	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today
5 6 7 8	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the	4 5 6 7 8	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time.
5 6 7 8 9	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to	4 5 6 7 8 9	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done.	4 5 7 8 9 10	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not?	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole? MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They already started.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole? MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it already.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They already started. MS. FERTIG: Oh, they did?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole? MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it already. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not true. That was
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They already started.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole? MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it already. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not true. That was not the focus of the grand jury investigation at
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They already started. MS. FERTIG: Oh, they did? MR. JABOUIN: No, they do need to move forward with	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole? MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it already. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not true. That was not the focus of the grand jury investigation at all. It was something they backed into because
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They already started. MS. FERTIG: Oh, they did? MR. JABOUIN: No, they do need to move	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole? MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it already. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not true. That was not the focus of the grand jury investigation at all. It was something they backed into because of safety, but they absolutely that was not a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They already started. MS. FERTIG: Oh, they do need to move forward with MR. MEDVIN: We're not doing any voting.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole? MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it already. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not true. That was not the focus of the grand jury investigation at all. It was something they backed into because of safety, but they absolutely that was not a grand jury convened to look at the Big 3 and the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They already started. MS. FERTIG: Oh, they did? MR. JABOUIN: No, they do need to move forward with MR. MEDVIN: We're not doing any voting. This is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole? MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it already. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not true. That was not the focus of the grand jury investigation at all. It was something they backed into because of safety, but they absolutely that was not a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well. Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell? MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes that it took to get these projects done. Do we have quorum or not? MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five. MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then? DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They already started. MS. FERTIG: Oh, they did? MR. JABOUIN: No, they do need to move forward with MR. MEDVIN: We're not doing any voting. This is MR. MAYERSOHN: This is informational.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to have MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on. MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything. MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole? MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it already. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not true. That was not the focus of the grand jury investigation at all. It was something they backed into because of safety, but they absolutely that was not a grand jury convened to look at the Big 3 and the SMART Program and it would not be looking at what

		1	
	Page 129		Page 131
1	is this is your if we wait two weeks until	1	MR. JABOUIN: One quick moment, Mr. Blondell.
2	when are we meeting, March 2nd?	2	Just one moment.
3	MR. JABOUIN: March 2nd.	3	MR. DE MEO: Let me just get this first one
4	MS. FERTIG: Yeah, so that's isn't it like	4	out. So that memo, I think this is related, that
5	two weeks away?	5	says the grand jury and the state already looked
6	MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Chair, we approved the	6	into this matter, and, therefore, it's not going
7	agenda as is. This is on the agenda.	7	to go any further, was that someone, staff's
8	MR. MEDVIN: Absolutely. We have to go	8	conclusion, or was that something they wrote us?
9	through with it.	9	MR. JABOUIN: So that is I wrote that. So
10	MR. MAYERSOHN: Let him let him say what	10	we don't have any a report to send them. We
11	he has to say. For those that can stay, stay;	11	could, potentially, do that after this work is
12	for those that can't, can't.	12	done. But, in the references that I have made
13	MS. FERTIG: Okay.	13	recently, for example, the caps and gowns, we had
14	MR. MAYERSOHN: I mean, we can't make a	14	a report that we gave them, we explained it and
15	motion to defer it; dispense with it; to do	15	so forth.
16	whatever it is.	16	So we don't have anything to send either to
17	MS. FERTIG: The thing is, we can't vote on	17	the Broward State Attorney, the statewide grand
18	anything, so I was just going to say it's two	18	jury.
19	weeks away.	19	MR. DE MEO: What if I just contacted one of
20	MR. MAYERSOHN: It's on the agenda. Let him	20	DeSantis' chiefs of staff and said, hey, you
21	say what he has to say. It shouldn't take more	21	know, this stinks, did you guys look at it?
22	than, I don't know, five ten minutes.	22	MR. JABOUIN: That could be done. Yes.
23	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: This would be the time to	23	MS. FERTIG: You could. You could. But if
24	take yeah, the less time.	24	you have the data from this, if we give the data
25	MR. DE MEO: Before he does that will you	25	points to collect, then you're going to have
	Page 130		Page 132
1	5	1	
1 2	give us a little background about what they're	1 2	something to hand over as opposed to asking them
	give us a little background about what they're doing?		something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have
2	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any	2	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a
2 3	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and	2 3	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first.
2 3 4	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there	2 3 4	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work
2 3 4 5	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked	2 3 4 5	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to
2 3 4 5 6	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we	2 3 4 5 6	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at.	2 3 4 5 6 7	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee asked us to go to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee and Facilities Task Force and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee asked us to go to the Diversity Committee and Facilities Task Force and the Bond Oversight Committee, and we have done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't know if you can, I'm just jumping in, okay, would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee and Facilities Task Force and the Bond Oversight Committee, and we have done that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't know if you can, I'm just jumping in, okay, would be comparing the bathroom remodeling projects of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee and Facilities Task Force and the Bond Oversight Committee, and we have done that. And so Mr. Blondell has sort of captured the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't know if you can, I'm just jumping in, okay, would be comparing the bathroom remodeling projects of the Big 3 and other schools, I would like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee and Facilities Task Force and the Bond Oversight Committee, and we have done that. And so Mr. Blondell has sort of captured the essence of that to share with the committee. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't know if you can, I'm just jumping in, okay, would be comparing the bathroom remodeling projects of the Big 3 and other schools, I would like to having seen some of those, some of the finished
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee and Facilities Task Force and the Bond Oversight Committee, and we have done that. And so Mr. Blondell has sort of captured the essence of that to share with the committee. And if we can please have him speak to that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't know if you can, I'm just jumping in, okay, would be comparing the bathroom remodeling projects of the Big 3 and other schools, I would like to having seen some of those, some of the finished products, I think it would be worthwhile to audit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee and Facilities Task Force and the Bond Oversight Committee, and we have done that. And so Mr. Blondell has sort of captured the essence of that to share with the committee. And if we can please have him speak to that? MR. DE MEO: And one other question related	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't know if you can, I'm just jumping in, okay, would be comparing the bathroom remodeling projects of the Big 3 and other schools, I would like to having seen some of those, some of the finished products, I think it would be worthwhile to audit what was spent and what was done at different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee asked us to go to the Diversity Committee and Facilities Task Force and the Bond Oversight Committee, and we have done that. And so Mr. Blondell has sort of captured the essence of that to share with the committee. And if we can please have him speak to that? MR. DE MEO: And one other question related to that. That memo that says the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't know if you can, I'm just jumping in, okay, would be comparing the bathroom remodeling projects of the Big 3 and other schools, I would like to having seen some of those, some of the finished products, I think it would be worthwhile to audit what was spent and what was done at different schools. That's an example.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	give us a little background about what they're doing? MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we we put it on the radar of an area to look at. Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee and Facilities Task Force and the Bond Oversight Committee, and we have done that. And so Mr. Blondell has sort of captured the essence of that to share with the committee. And if we can please have him speak to that? MR. DE MEO: And one other question related to that. That memo that says the MR. BLONDELL: Good morning. This is Matt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first. MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth. MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago. MS. FERTIG: This isn't this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions. Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't know if you can, I'm just jumping in, okay, would be comparing the bathroom remodeling projects of the Big 3 and other schools, I would like to having seen some of those, some of the finished products, I think it would be worthwhile to audit what was spent and what was done at different schools. That's an example.

		,	34 (Pages 133 to 136)
	Page 133		Page 135
1	that have taken years and are still ongoing.	1	Blondell to speak?
2	I'll give you another example of something	2	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do they have something in
3	I'd like to know. I'd like to know if when they	3	writing, though, that we then that would have
4	finish the project at schools other than the Big	4	been a lot easier than verbal. I'm not a very
5	3 they painted their classroom doors when they	5	auditory person. I like to read it.
6	walked out. I have pictures I can show you. Or	6	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Blondell, if you can start?
7	was that only not done in certain schools?	7	MR. BLONDELL: Yes, so, as Mr. Jabouin
8	I know Nathalie probably has a whole host of	8	mentioned, we have, you know, had the previous
9	these because she's walked we've probably	9	communications with at Audit Committee, the
10	walked different schools, but things we've seen,	10	Facilities Task Force, the Diversity Committee
11	and I want to know, these don't seem important,	11	and the Bond Oversight Committee and have used
12	but they're very important to when it goes to a	12	those discussions to help guide the scope
13	child walking in school and what they see every	13	elements that we are intending to include. And
14	day.	14	so, essentially, what our plan to do is,
15	And if they weren't done the same way, if	15	currently, is to, again, talk with those
16		16	
17	they weren't finished the same way, I kind of	17	committees and school administrators, the program manager, the Office of Capital Programs and then
18	would like to know why. And that goes to your question	18	any design and construction professionals for
19	question. MR. JABOUIN: And we do have Dr.	19	these Big 3 projects, including GOB renovation
20		20	
21	Lynch-Walsh's comments from the Facilities Task	21	components as well as the cafeteria or new
22	Force and they are very good worthy comments on	22	additions and renovations. I know for Stranahan
23	there and we have some other comments as well.	23	and Northeast there are multiple projects that
23	And then I'm going to ask Mr. Blondell, did he	24	kind of fall within those. And what we intend to
25	pick up what Ms. Fertig said, and if we could	25	do is start as far back as we can from the
	please ask him to speak?		beginning to look at the school deficiency
	Page 134		Page 136
1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, when you say "my	1	listing and to, essentially, map where where
2	comments", because I'm going to send what we	2	scope from that was modified throughout the
3	codified at the Facilities Task Force, which are	3	timeline of the projects. So starting with the
4	basically everything we said I said here,	4	deficiency listings from 2014, again,
5	which had to do with the impact of a lack of	5	understanding what other analyses were was
6	long-term planning, which they've already opined	6	also performed by the district to guide the scope
7	on when it came to roofing. So they were able to	7	of what those projects, right, which we're going
8	opine on roofing. Whether they followed best	8	to look, which would have included the Castaldi
9	practices, destructive testing, Castaldi, not a	9	analysis, destructive testing, whether or not
10	word that was said out loud when it should have	10	those were performed or how those were
11	been in 2014, lifecycle analysis.	11	incorporated into the scope development, how it
12	MS. FERTIG: Well, I object to that because	12	went from the deficiency listing to, you know, a
13	the tapes are going to reveal that we said it out	13	charter, how it went from a charter to a design
14	loud.	14	scope, how it went from a design scope to a
15	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But, I mean, none	15	construction scope and then what's actually been,
16	of staff was allowed to say that word out loud.	16	you know, completed as far as construction. The
17	MR. MEDVIN: All right. Let's can we	17	intent is to kind of map that entire process out,
18	can we	18	if there was anything that dropped off of either
19	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Compliance with SREF,	19	the scope in any of those, you know, kind of
	EdSpec, district standards, CPT's	20	touch points, we're going to be looking at all of
20		21	
20 21	-	21	The board S minutes and meetings to see advintion
	MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, if those were	22	the board's minutes and meetings to see anything from 2014 to now, if these schools or the scopes
21	MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, if those were the items on your agenda, RSM has those.		from 2014 to now, if these schools or the scopes
21 22	MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, if those were the items on your agenda, RSM has those. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Just making sure.	22	from 2014 to now, if these schools or the scopes of these projects were ever discussed by the
21 22 23	MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, if those were the items on your agenda, RSM has those.	22 23	from 2014 to now, if these schools or the scopes

		1	
	Page 137		Page 139
-	discussed and changed or if it wasn't discussed	1	massage all of those deficiency line items into
	or if it was changed or anything, again, that	2	each school and call it a project. You would do
	showed how the scope was modified between that	3	long-term planning, which is you may have noticed
	initial deficiency listing to all the different	4	what they're starting to do now except the
	control points to where we are currently in	5	steering committee has never met.
	construction.	6	So that needs to be addressed. And that's
	That, essentially, sums up what our plan is.	7	why we asked about best practices being adhered
	I did hear Ms. Fertig's comments about looking at	8	to, because no teacher goes into a classroom that
	timing. We can certainly incorporate some of	9	I'm aware of without a lesson plan. Because you
	that as well in the analysis and try to quantify	10	could have chaos without a lesson plan. You have
	if there were differences in how these schools	11	to know what you're teaching on any given day and
	were, you know, finished. I understand, like you	12	you have to follow the standards.
	said, with the painting of the doors and other	13	The standards in this case are the district's
	things like that, what kind of the scope might	14	design standards, EdSpec and SREF, and not to
	look like in comparison to some of the other	15	mention, Florida Building Code. So these are all
	larger projects in the district.	16	components that they need to be looking at with
	MS. FERTIG: And I think it would be I	17	these projects. But life safety and electrical,
	don't know how you do this, but it would be good	18	because there's switchgear that's now being
	to get some community perspective on this. I	19	replaced, Blanche Ely, for example, which was not
	mean, I just know because I've photographed the	20	part of the scope. So when we're looking at
	Big 3 extensively over the years and then when I	21	scope changes, are there scope changes?
	walk in other schools and see some of the	22	Absolutely, because in some cases there was
	finishes you note the difference. I don't know	23	one school where I think they were redoing the
	how you pick that up, though. I'm happy to share	24	HVAC and found that they needed to replace
	pictures. I'm sure Dr. Lynch-Walsh is happy to	25	electrical associated with the HVAC but that
	Page 138		Page 140
		1	
	share pictures.	1	wasn't in the scope. So there needs to be a
	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, Dr. Lynch-Walsh is	4	
	have been a set of the state of the second	2	distinction between, you know, adding gold plated
	happy to ask about whether you're going to be	3	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope,
	testing to make sure that where they did life	4	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the	4	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was	4 5 6	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things	4	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC.
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget.	4 5 6 7 8	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical	4 5 6 7 8 9	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that	4 5 7 8 9 10	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done.
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled. So that's the type of thing that needs to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done. So at Northeast, remember, they needed a new
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled. So that's the type of thing that needs to come out. And also from a big picture	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done.
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled. So that's the type of thing that needs to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done. So at Northeast, remember, they needed a new
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled. So that's the type of thing that needs to come out. And also from a big picture	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done. So at Northeast, remember, they needed a new roof, but they were getting told at one point
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled. So that's the type of thing that needs to come out. And also from a big picture standpoint, RSM's roofing review made mention,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done. So at Northeast, remember, they needed a new roof, but they were getting told at one point they would get roof repairs.
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled. So that's the type of thing that needs to come out. And also from a big picture standpoint, RSM's roofing review made mention, their second observation, about the lack of a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done. So at Northeast, remember, they needed a new roof, but they were getting told at one point they would get roof repairs. So, yes, some television did involve
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled. So that's the type of thing that needs to come out. And also from a big picture standpoint, RSM's roofing review made mention, their second observation, about the lack of a long-term plan, as did the Council of Great City	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done. So at Northeast, remember, they needed a new roof, but they were getting told at one point they would get roof repairs. So, yes, some television did involve practically setting KCW on fire to get the right
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled. So that's the type of thing that needs to come out. And also from a big picture standpoint, RSM's roofing review made mention, their second observation, about the lack of a long-term plan, as did the Council of Great City Schools scathing PPO review. Because in every	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done. So at Northeast, remember, they needed a new roof, but they were getting told at one point they would get roof repairs. So, yes, some television did involve practically setting KCW on fire to get the right thing done. I will say that
	testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget. I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled. So that's the type of thing that needs to come out. And also from a big picture standpoint, RSM's roofing review made mention, their second observation, about the lack of a long-term plan, as did the Council of Great City Schools scathing PPO review. Because in every other district, especially ones that might be	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC. MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously or was it just DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done. So at Northeast, remember, they needed a new roof, but they were getting told at one point they would get roof repairs. So, yes, some television did involve practically setting KCW on fire to get the right thing done. I will say that MS. FERTIG: I'm just going to say, I guess

	Page 141		Page 143
<sup>1</sup> is.	Some things were never going to pass	1	that maybe it might be used as a tool to go
<sup>2</sup> bu	ilding code. Because they're changing out HVAC	2	forward. To that extent, I think that makes a
<sup>3</sup> an	d you need certain components in order to do	3	lot of sense.
<sup>4</sup> tha	at. Because you have to bring it up to code	4	But I've got to tell you, I'm going to defer
⁵ wh	en you hit a certain point in terms of	5	to you guys. My sentiment is, this is a waste of
<sup>6</sup> rer	novations. So it might not have been in the	6	time. There's a better way to go about it. And
7 SC	ope, because that was all just line items, they	7	we need people like you to keep the school board
<sup>8</sup> did	In't contemplate in the building condition	8	straight. And, you know, I'm not a
<sup>9</sup> as	sessment, because they only did step 1, which	9	MS. FERTIG: Well, just on a couple of these
<sup>10</sup> wa	is the building condition assessment with the	10	things that we've talked about, for example, if
<sup>11</sup> list	of deficiencies, but they didn't sit there	11	in the bathrooms, if they were finished one way
<sup>12</sup> an	d go, okay, do we do we have Castaldis on	12	in school A and they were done another way in
<sup>13</sup> the	ese? Is it cheaper to renovate or replace?	13	school B, that's something that can be addressed.
14	That analysis that should have taken place	14	MR. DE MEO: There's no doubt in my mind that
<sup>15</sup> OV	er the next year never happened. So a lot of	15	that occurred. Now what?
16 the	e cock-ups over the years are the result of	16	MS. FERTIG: Well, it needs to be you
<sup>17</sup> pe	ople realizing, oops, we're putting in a new	17	know, in my mind they need to rectify it.
<sup>18</sup> H∖	AC and we need these additional pieces. They	18	MR. DE MEO: Okay. So you want it improved?
<sup>19</sup> dis	cover mold, that was not part of it. I have	19	Or do you want to excoriate somebody that left
<sup>20</sup> pe	ople on tape when we've asked whether mold	20	nine years ago?
<sup>21</sup> rer	mediation was part of the SMART Program and	21	MS. FERTIG: At some point at some point
<sup>22</sup> the	ey said, no.	22	we used these schools to get this money and at
23	MR. DE MEO: So, you know, I can't help	23	some point there has to be a recognition in this
<sup>24</sup> bu	t I feel compelled to make these comments.	24	that it wasn't handled the way it should have
<sup>25</sup> Fir	st, the gentleman from RSM?	25	been.
	Page 142		Page 144
1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.	1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I think we're getting
2	MR. DE MEO: Any procedures they intend to	2	to that. So I actually kind of agree with you,
<sup>3</sup> pe	rform I would like to have in advance. Before	3	Mr. De Meo, because at this point, the last
	ey begin their audit, I'd like to see those	4	person well, we're almost there, but the
	ocedures.	5	person there was a move so I spent many
6	MS. FERTIG: In writing.	6	years getting beat up by two superintendents
	MR. DE MEO: In writing. And I'd like to	7	because I kept pointing out that we didn't do
	ow the purpose. And these two members are so	8	long-term planning, we didn't follow EdSpec, we
	owledgeable about the district and I have the	9	didn't follow SREF, you know all of these
	nost respect. And so I would like this to be a	10	violations.
	eaningful endeavor.	11	MR. DE MEO: How dare you.
	However, I think I could predict that there	12	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: How dare I point these
	ere gross violations of everything Dr.	13	things out.
	nch-Walsh said. I know for a fact if Ms.	14	But we're getting past that, and so, yeah,
	rtig said doors were painted in one place and	15	there will be no surprises in here, and I think
	ey weren't painted in another, there's no doubt	16	there is a willingness on the board's part, and I
	my mind we're going to find that.	17	think that's why Lori Alhadeff made that motion
	Okay. Now what?	18	to settle, because at the time she made it it was
	What is the purpose of that? Is there	19	still we were still there were people still
	meone here that is responsible that we can	20	pretending that there was no problem with the
<sup>21</sup> fire		21	SMART Program, it was just a little bit you
	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, they're all gone.	22	know, moving a little slowly when everything
	MR. DE MEO: They're all gone.	23	about it was wrong and AECOM is really just
		1	-
24	So I'm not clear on why we would spend	24	trying to snatch some victories from the jaws of
24	So I'm not clear on why we would spend sources on something that's so obvious, except	24 25	trying to snatch some victories from the jaws of defeat. We got funding for Markham. We got

	Page 145	Page 14	47
1			I/
2	funding now for Parkway. Bethune is next.	they got everything through procurement to get	
3	So we are rectifying while trying not to	everybody under contract and their nothing was	
4	necessarily bankrupt the district, but to	linoving.	
5	prioritize the things that went horribly wrong.		
6	We can't fix all of them. Plantation High and		
7	McArthur just got the CMAR contracts cancelled		
8	yesterday. And my concern with those is to make	KHOW, JUST T CONTENHOW.	
9	sure that the scopes make sense so that you're		a
10	not just putting, you know, some like	jury report, actually, at the Task Force we	
11	carpeting in the media center of Plantation High	Started going inforgin their observations because	
12	is not all that's needed there. But that's what		
12	staff and the whole thing with school		
14	administrators, there have been school	observations because notie of the people assigned	
15	administrators that have messed up scopes of work		
16	because they don't know SREF, EdSpecs or design		
17	standards. And because there was like so many		
18	things going on at once, they were like, oh, what		
19	do you need? I have heard of principals being		
20	thrown the culinary arts catalog to say what do		
21	you want from here? Because things have been		
22	going so haphazardly.		
23	But we're trying to make sure that as things		
24	come to the board now that the scope makes sense	<ul> <li>failing or do you think it was internally</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It was the board. The</li> </ul>	
25	so that you're doing as much as possible with what we have instead of piecemealing it. Because	<sup>25</sup> board the superintendent cannot go down the	
	what we have instead of piecemeaning it. Decause	board the superintendent cannot go down the	
	Page 146	Page 14	48
1	that that's what would happen if nobody's	<sup>1</sup> wrong road without the board's approval. And it	
2	watching.	<sup>2</sup> was and staff had been there have been	
3	But to your point, I kind of agree that	<sup>3</sup> people scared to admit to things. It made staff,	
4	MS. FERTIG: Well, I think we all agree we	<sup>4</sup> the staff that was here, look less capable	
5	can't go back nine years, but	<sup>5</sup> because they were forced to go along with these	
6	MR. DE MEO: I mean, there may be some value	<sup>6</sup> things. I have been in a room full of architects	
7	in codifying our past mistakes.	<sup>7</sup> who spoke candidly, but I'm afraid, even now to	
8	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we don't repeat them.	<sup>8</sup> some extent, to ever mention what day, date and	1
9	MR. DE MEO: But I'm more of a let's move on	<sup>9</sup> meeting it was, because they were talking about	
10	here. I mean	<sup>10</sup> the violations, some of the violations that I	
11	MS. FERTIG: And I think we all are, too, but	<sup>11</sup> mentioned and having been forced to do them.	
12	I would just like to say that when I I would	<sup>12</sup> MS. FERTIG: I'm getting worried that we're	
13	like to take you to some of these. It's not an	<sup>13</sup> getting into stuff that could come before us in	
14	audit thing.	<sup>14</sup> this audit, so I'm wondering	
15	MR. DE MEO: I'm appalled. I told you, you	<sup>15</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's informational.	
16	saw the emotion I had. If I were around I was	<sup>16</sup> MR. DE MEO: No, no, no, we're just we're	
17	around. I was gone for a couple years and I came	<sup>17</sup> not do you think it's the board's failing?	
18	back. You know, I don't speak unless it's	<sup>18</sup> MS. FERTIG: I think many times the board w	as
19	MS. FERTIG: I know and that's just are	<sup>19</sup> not given the information they needed to make th	ie
20	we okay talking about this? We made a	<sup>20</sup> decision they needed to make.	
21	recommendation to the board against those CMAR	<sup>21</sup> DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they were given the	е
22	contracts. It went nowhere.	<sup>22</sup> information from the Task Force and ignored.	
23	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then they came back in	<sup>23</sup> MS. FERTIG: I think if you were to look at	
24	2016, but that's not the problem with that	<sup>24</sup> some major decisions that happened in this	
25	CMAR contract was done in 2017. It's just that	<sup>25</sup> district, they were not given the proper	
		1	

information. But as I think there also has been       information. But as I think there also has been         information. But as I think there also has been       information. But as I think there also has been         information. But as I think there also has been       information. But as I think there also has been         information. But as I think there also has been       information. But as I think there also has been         information. But as I think there also has been       information. But as I think there also has been         information. But as I think there also has been       information. But as I think there also has been         information. But as I think there also has been       information. But as I think there also has been         information. But as I think there also has been       information. But as         information. But as       information. But as         information. But as		Page 149		Page 151
bean         is         bean	1	information. But as I think there also has	1	example, you'll find they won't put a name next
MR. MAYERSOPHX: So, Mr. – through the Chair,       was definitely discussed at that meeting, which         MR. JABOUIN: So, doviously, just like any       probabby would have been a \$9 million cost and         didn't happen for another       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Several years.         million cost and this audit?       MR. JABOUIN: So, doviously, just like any         million cost and didn't happen for another       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Several years.         million cost and didn't happen for another       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Balpark.         DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Balpark.       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Balpark.         MR. JABOUIN: I don't know if Mr. Biondell       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, if the wants to chime         min metrage. Do you feel comfortable on       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, if the wants to chime         min metrage. Do you feel comfortable on       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, if the wants to chime         min metrage. Do you feel comfortable on       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, the main statististististististististististististist	2		2	
4     Mr. Jabouin, what is it costing the district for this audi?     4     probably would have been a SE million cost and dicht happen for another DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Several years.       7     MR. JABOUIN: So, obviously, just like any other project, after RSM absorts it they've got anticipate for the work and what the billing rate is.     5     MR. JABOUIN: So, obviously, just like any other project, after RSM absorts it they've got is.     7     MS. FERTIG: eight years and the cost, bask and look. They anticipate for the work and what the billing rate is.     7     MS. FERTIG: eight years and the cost, bask and out of there scope validation. You can go the the range. Doy tele controtable on the tent and they say that further analysis is mended on the cafeteria. They mention the diming portable or the tent and they say that further analysis is mended on the cafeteria. And then because we had to adjust the budget it became about, when Heery and the alsoustion on it, because these of the public discussion on it, because these of the the ord mutiny at	3		3	
it is sudi?       idin't happen for another         MR. JABOUIN: So, obviously, just like any other project, after RSM absorbs it they've got to look into the amount of time that they       is.         DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Balgark.       is.         DR. LNNCH-WALSH: Balgark.       is.         MR. JABOUIN: I don't know if Mr. Blondell       is.         MR. JABOUIN: I don't know if Mr. Blondell       is.         in on the range. Do you feal comfortable on thist, Mr. Blondel?       is.         MR. BLONDELL: I don't hink at this time I'm comfortable saying that, but it's something that       is.         MR. JABOUIN: Ckay. I vant to mention, through, from an audit standpoint, it is ownthy project that RSM and I taiked about before some of the public discusse these.       is.         MR. JABOUIN: Ckay. I vant to mention, three projects are not complete. I think - I       is.       out to say with indese indiculus budgets, so he told the board in 2018, I timk, even, that you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get just as you would not replace when you can get is standaps.         Page 150       Page 152         fund thappen for any went the boar	4		4	
4       MR. JABOUIN: So, obviously, just like any other project, after RSM absorbs it theyy og other project, after RSM absorbs it theyy anticipate for the work and what the billing rate is.       7       MS. FERTIG: eight years and the cost, basically, more than doubled. So I don't know that you quantify stuff like that and DR. L'NCH-WALSH: Well, the thing is, the cafeteria in the scope validation from the original architect, they punted the cafeteria         11       DR. L'NCH-WALSH: Balipark.       11       DR. L'NCH-WALSH: Well, the thing is, the cafeteria in the scope validation from the original architect, they punted the cafeteria         12       MR. JABOUIN: I don't know if Mr. Blondell       12       Do. L'NCH-WALSH: Well, the thing is, the cafeteria. They they don't really address to adjust the same about, when rheers are the cafeteria. And then because we had to adjust the budget it became about, when rheers we could pull together fairly quickly.       12       or the tent and they say that further analysis is needed on the cafeteria. And then because we had to adjust the budget it became about, when rheers are verybody was petified that they had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so the quick and the savet bed rescame about, when rheer are consolid, it is a worthy though, from an audit standpoint, it is a worthy though, from an audit standpoint, it is a worthy though, from an audit standpoint, it is a worthy the project that RSM and one. Lonk New Yell work are envined on the calevine of the public discussion on it, because these       13       good with a renovation, which of course, turned out to not be true, because they were having         12       project that RSM and the budget is a worthy apetrentage. So either way this is going to a sub and the c	5		5	
2     other project, after RSM absorbs it hey/ve got     3     MS, FERTIG:	6	MR. JABOUIN: So, obviously, just like any	6	
<ul> <li>to look into the amount of time that they</li> <li>anticipate for the work and what the billing rate</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Balipark.</li> <li>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Charge and the policit of the scope validation. You can go</li> <li>back and look. They - they don't really address</li> <li>the cafeteria. They mention the dining portable</li> <li>or the tran and the say that this time I'm</li> <li>controtable saying that, but it's something that</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: Okay. I want to mention,</li> <li>through, from an audit standpoint, it is a worthy</li> <li>project that RSM and Liaked about before some</li> <li>don't creall the exact percentages. One of them</li> <li>s further along and another one is another</li> <li>foundation issues -</li> <li>throe projects are not complete. I think - 1</li> <li>s further along and another one is another</li> <li>project. One is, where are we now?</li> <li>foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.</li> <li>percentage. So either way this is going to be a</li> <li>a follow-up or a second audit, but if</li> <li>s fourther along and another one is another</li> <li>cases you do these audits, the project has</li> <li>a follow-up or a second audit, but if</li> <li>s a follow-up or a second audit, but if</li> <li>s a follow-up or a second audit, but if</li> <li>s a follow-up or a second audit, but if</li> <li>s fourther ways this is going to be a</li> <li>a may be the ways to the are mone?</li> <li>MR. JABOUIN: And we sphose to that at the</li> <li>project charter meeting in the fail of 2015,</li> <li>s follow-up or a second audit, but if</li> <li>so low or a second audit, but if</li> <li>s follow-up or a</li></ul>	7		7	
9     anticipate for the work and what the billing rate     9     that you quantify stuff like that and       10     DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ballpark.     10     DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, the thing is, the       11     DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ballpark.     11     cafteria in the scope validation from the       12     MR, JABOUIN: I don't know if Mr. Blondell     12     original architect, they punct the cafteria       13     has had a chance to put that together, because     12     to - out of there scope validation from the       14     MR. JABOUIN: I don't know if Mr. Biondell     12     to - out of there scope validation from the diring portable       14     the stand a chance to put that together, because     13     to - out of there scope validation. You can go       15     that M. Blondell?     14     to adjust the budget it became about, when Heery       16     orificial architect, they punch the distandpoint, it is a worthy     14     thad to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so       16     mark JABOUIN: Ckay. I want to monion,     14     thad to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so       17     the public discussion on it, because these     12     you would not replace when you can get just as       16     the reprojects are not complete. I think 1     14     don't recall the exact percentages. One of them       16     function issues -     15     foundation issues -     16 </td <td>8</td> <td></td> <th>8</th> <td></td>	8		8	
10       is.       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Belgrark.       10       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, the thing is, the cafeteria in the scope validation from the original architect, they punted the cafeteria.         11       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Idon't fixe with together, because       10       cafetria in the scope validation from the cafeteria.         12       MR. JABOUIN: I don't think at this time fm       10       cafetria in the scope validation from the cafeteria.         13       in on the range. Do you feel comfortable on that, Mr. Biondell?       10       comfortable saying that, but it's something that         14       MR. BLONDELL: I don't think at this time fm       10       noeded on the cafeteria. And then because we had         15       MR. JABOUIN: Ckay. I want to mention,       10       noeded on the cafeteria.       not the cafeteria.         16       MR. JABOUIN: Ckay. I want to mention,       10       norderable saying that, but it's something that       10         16       the public discussion on it, because these       10       understand that everybody was petified that they         17       mR. JABOUIN: Ckay. I want to mention,       12       understand that everybody was petified that they         16       the public discussion on it, because these       12       understand that everybody was petified that they         16       the public discussion on it, because these       12       understand that	9	-	9	
11       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Balipark.       11       cafeteria in the scope validation from the         12       Mas. JABOUIN: I don't know if Mr. Blondell       12       original architect, they punted the cafeteria         13       has had a chance to put that together, because       12       or - out of there scope validation. You can go         14       this meeting was part of it, if he wants to chime       14       the cafeteria. They mention the dining portable         15       that, Mr. Blondell?       15       the cafeteria. And then because we had         16       comfortable saving that, but it's something that       16       the cafeteria. And then because we had         16       comfortable saving that, but it's something that       17       needed on the cafeteria. And then because we had         17       MR. BLONDELL: I don't think at this time I'm       16       to aljust the budget it became about, when Heery         18       we could pull cogether fairy quickly.       19       the cafeteria. And then because we had         19       we could pull cogether fairy quickly.       19       that they find that they         14       to aljust the budget it became about, when Heery       to aljust the budget it became about, when Heery         16       they public discussion on it, because these       10       understand that costoptery had         24	10	is.	10	
11       has had a chance to put that together, because this meeting was part of it, if he wants to chime in on the range. Do you feel comfortable on that, Mr. Blondell?       11       to - out of there scope validation. You can go back and look. They - they don't really address the cafeteria. And there scope validation. You can go back and look. They - they don't really address the cafeteria. They mention the dining portable or the tent and they say that further analysis is needed on the cafeteria. And then because we had to a dijust the budget it became about, when Heery came on board, Rob Corbin is on tape, and understand that everyhody was petrified that they had to stay within these ridiculus budgets, so he told the board in 2018, I think, even, that you would not replace when you can get just as good with a renovation, which, of course, turned out to not be true, because they were having         12       Page 150         13       foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.         14       And, two, when were done, I don't knowil this already been done and so forth.       1         14       foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.       1         15       is further along and another one is another percentage. So either way this is going to be a the operat project. One is, where are we now?       1         15       is a follow-up or a second audit, but it wasn't - you know, we have to - in a lot of already been done and so forth.       1         16       constituents and the constituents already been done and so forth.       1         17       maybe the community and utimatet, ther second and another one is another prele	11	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ballpark.	11	cafeteria in the scope validation from the
interformation of production of the interformation in the range. Do you feel comfortable on               interformation interformation interformation             intenentatexplormation             i	12	MR. JABOUIN: I don't know if Mr. Blondell	12	original architect, they punted the cafeteria
in a metang was part of in the wark of the cafeteria. They mention the dining portable         in a metang was part of in, the wark of the cafeteria. They mention the dining portable         in the range. Do you feel comfortable on         in the range on the range band for the partice on the you cange ton         in the range on the	13	has had a chance to put that together, because	13	to out of there scope validation. You can go
in drives       bit Mr. Blockel?         if the Mr. Blockel?       if the called and they say that it utines analysis is or the tent and they say that it utines analysis is or the tent and they say that it utines analysis is or different and they say that it utines analysis is a meeded on the called and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a meeded on the called and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a meeded on the called and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines analysis is a different and they say that it utines and they and utines and the or in a lot of for a say they been and so forth.         if washt - you know, we have to - in a lot of for maybe there was a reliance of that - you know, id on think, Ms. Fertig, there are some a difficulty be board approved something publication is that was flawed and so some of that - and I washt there say that further analysis is a different and they say that they many difficulty.         if washt - DR, LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that - and I washt the the tim, that there are pro	14	this meeting was part of it, if he wants to chime	14	back and look. They they don't really address
1100, Mr. BLONDELL: 1 don't think at this time I'm       12         12       MR. BLONDELL: 1 don't think at this time I'm       12         13       we could pull together fairly quickly.       12         14       12       adjust the budget i became about, when Heery came on board, Rob Corbin is on tape, and understand that everybody was petrified that they had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so the told the board in 2018, I think, even, that you would not replace when you can get just as good with a renovation, which, of course, turned out to not be true, because these         15       we cauld pull together fairly quickly.         16       three projects are not complete. I think1       12         17       Is further along and another one is another       13         18       is further along and another one is another       14         19       washin to wait this       14         10       hey along and another one is another       15         11       is further along and another one is another       16         12       foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.         14       And, two, when were done, I don't know if this       16         15       is a follow-up or a second audit, but it       17         16       washin - you know, we have to in a lot of       18         17       casees you do these audits, the project has	15	in on the range. Do you feel comfortable on	15	the cafeteria. They mention the dining portable
Page 150       Page 150         2       Page 150         3       Row, when we're done, i don't know if this         4       And, two, when we're done, i don't know if this         5       is a follow-up or a second audi, but it         4       So either way this is going to be a         5       is a follow-up or a second audi, but it         5       sa follow-up or a second audi, but it         6       project charter meeting in the fail of 2015, specificaly, personaly, myself to Rob Corbin, case syou do these audits, th	16	that, Mr. Blondell?	16	or the tent and they say that further analysis is
bit of the solution of the solution of the solution of the public discussion on it, because these         is a worthy           in the public discussion on it, because these         is due the everybody was petrified that they had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so           in the public discussion on it, because these         is due the everybody was petrified that they had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so           in the public discussion on it, because these         is due the everybody was petrified that they had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so           is further along and another one is another         is follow we spoke to that at the project charts meeting in the fail of 2015, specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin, so I -         Page 152           is a follow-up or a second audit, but it         is specifically personally, myself to Rob Corbin, so I -         DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board and told them otherwise and that's what they           ie expectations from constituents and the community and utimately the board approved something and maybe three was a reliance of that +         information that was flawed and so some of that +           if that mean?         Image: Image	17	MR. BLONDELL: I don't think at this time I'm	17	needed on the cafeteria. And then because we had
a       MR. JABOUIN: Ckay. I want to mention,       a         a       MR. JABOUIN: Ckay. I want to mention,       a         a       understand that everybody was petrified that they         project that RSM and I talked about before some       a         of the public discussion on it, because these       a         a       good with a renovation, which, of course, turned         don't recall the exact percentages. One of them       a         b       further along and another one is another       a         c       percentage. So either way this is going to be a       foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.         c       And, two, when were done, I don't know if this       foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.         a follow-up or a second audit, but it       specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,       so I         c       cases you do these audits, the project has       a       and told them otherwise and that's what they         a       nay ub moyee there was a reliance of       fooring in the media center floor, my       understanding, they are on their second set of         file       mash + was the time, that there       fooring in the media center, it's not solid       concrete, the foundation of the board         a       and told them otherwise and that son part of       the board approved something and       fooring in the media c	18	comfortable saying that, but it's something that	18	to adjust the budget it became about, when Heery
1       though, from an audit standpoint, it is a worthy       1       had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so         22       of the public discussion on it, because these       22       had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so         23       of the public discussion on it, because these       23       had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so         24       three projects are not complete. 1 think 1       24       you would not replace when you can get just as         25       don't recall the exact percentages. One of them       26       you would not replace when you can get just as         26       don't recall the exact percentages. One of them       26       you would not replace when you can get just as         27       percentage. So either way this is going to be a       4       And speak foundation issues         3       two-part project. One is, where are we now?       3       MR. JABOUIN: And we spoke to that at the         3       is a follow-up or a second audit, but it       5       specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,       5         4       And, two, we have to in a lot of       6       50 1       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board         4       already been done and so forth.       7       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board         6       already been done and so forth.       7 <t< td=""><td>19</td><td>we could pull together fairly quickly.</td><th>19</th><td>came on board, Rob Corbin is on tape, and</td></t<>	19	we could pull together fairly quickly.	19	came on board, Rob Corbin is on tape, and
arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these         arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these         arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these         arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these         arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these         arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the public discussion on it, because these         arrow of the public discussion on it, because these       arrow of the maximum these inductos dugits, but it       arrow of the maximum these inductos dugits, but it         arrow of the method of the model of the method of the met	20	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. I want to mention,	20	understand that everybody was petrified that they
Project with rule functional relations basic ba	21	though, from an audit standpoint, it is a worthy	21	had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so
4       the pack backbox are not complete. Think + 1       4         25       don't recall the exact percentages. One of them       24         25       don't recall the exact percentages. One of them       25         26       Fage 150       Page 152         27       is further along and another one is another       1       foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.         28       percentage. So either way this is going to be a       2       And speak foundation issues         30       two-part project. One is, where are we now?       3       MR. JABOUIN: And we spoke to that at the         4       And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this       5       specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,         5       is a follow-up or a second audit, but it       5       specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,         6       wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of       7       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board         7       Now, I do think, MS. Fertig, there are some       9       relied upon because this was the consultant they         9       Now, I do think, MS. Fertig, there are some       9       relied upon because this woat the project something and         11       and told them otherwise and tha community       10       understanding, they are on their seacod set of         12       f	22	project that RSM and I talked about before some	22	he told the board in 2018, I think, even, that
23       Under projects are not complete. Think et it       and the project are not complete. Think et it         23       don't recall the exact percentages. One of them       23       out to not be true, because they were having         Page 150         1       is further along and another one is another       1       foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.         2       percentage. So either way this is going to be a       2       foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.         3       two-part project. One is, where are we now?       3       M. JABOUIN: And we spoke to that at the         4       And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this       5       is a follow-up or a second audit, but it         6       wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of       6       specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,         7       cases you do these audits, the project has       7       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board         8       already been done and so forth.       9       Rob that media center at Stranahan and         9       Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some       9       relied upon because this was the consultant they         14       maybe the community       10       11       understanding, they are on their second set of         15       noft tag or maybe there was a reliance of       12	23	of the public discussion on it, because these	23	you would not replace when you can get just as
Page 150       Page 152         1       is further along and another one is another       1         2       percentage. So either way this is going to be a       2         3       two-part project. One is, where are we now?       3         4       And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this       2         5       is a follow-up or a second audit, but it       5         6       wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of       6         7       cases you do these audits, the project has       7         8       already been done and so forth.       6         9       Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some       7         9       Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some       7         10       expectations from constituents and the community       10         11       and ultimately the board approved something and       11         12       maybe the communication back to the constituents       12         13       didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of       13         14       information that was flawed and so some of       14         15       that       7       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, So I believe         14       that and I wasn't here at the time, that there       17       all smoke and mirr	24	three projects are not complete. I think I	24	good with a renovation, which, of course, turned
1is further along and another one is another1foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.2percentage. So either way this is going to be a2And speak foundation issues3two-part project. One is, where are we now?3MR. JABOUIN: And we spoke to that at the4And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this4project charter meeting in the fall of 2015,5is a follow-up or a second audit, but it5specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,6wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of6so I7cases you do these audits, the project has7DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board8already been done and so forth.6and told them otherwise and that's what they9Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some9relied upon because this was the consultant they14and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of15information that was flawed and so some of14flooring in the media center at Stranahan and16maybe the communication back to the constituents16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's16may have been expectations from different17all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is16flawed.18flawed.17MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe1618MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe1619may have been expectations from different2010may have been	25	don't recall the exact percentages. One of them	25	out to not be true, because they were having
In the ability and another one is anotherIn the ability and another one is anotherapercentage. So either way this is going to be a2And speak foundation issuesatwo-part project. One is, where are we now?3MR. JABOUIN: And we spoke to that at the4And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this4project charter meeting in the fall of 2015,5is a follow-up or a second audit, but it5specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,6wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of6so I7cases you do these audits, the project has7DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board8already been done and so forth.8and told them otherwise and that's what they9Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some9relied upon because this was the consultant they10expectations from constituents and the community10had hired. The media center floor, my11and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15thatDR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does1616MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe16MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of <td></td> <td>Page 150</td> <th></th> <td>Page 152</td>		Page 150		Page 152
2percentage. So either way this is going to be a2And speak foundation issues3two-part project. One is, where are we now?3MR. JABOUIN: And we spoke to that at the4And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this4project charter meeting in the fall of 2015,5is a follow-up or a second audit, but it5specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,6wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of6so I7cases you do these audits, the project has7DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board8already been done and so forth.8and told them otherwise and that's what they9Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some9relied upon because this was the consultant they10expectations from constituents and the community10had hired. The media center at Stranahan and11and uttimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15thatDR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's16MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of16may	1	is further along and another one is another	1	foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.
4And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this4project chatter meeting in the fall of 2015,5is a follow-up or a second audit, but it5specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,6wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of6so I7cases you do these audits, the project has7DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board8already been done and so forth.8and told them otherwise and that's what they9Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some9relied upon because this was the consultant they10expectations from constituents and the community10had hired. The media center floor, my11and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media center, it's16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there20DR. LYNCH-WALSH:	2	_	2	-
5is a follow-up or a second audit, but it5specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,6wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of6so I7cases you do these audits, the project has7DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board8already been done and so forth.8and told them otherwise and that's what they9Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some9relied upon because this was the consultant they10expectations from constituents and the community10had hired. The media center floor, my11and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media you16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20Bowl grant maybe.21DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22	3	two-part project. One is, where are we now?	3	MR. JABOUIN: And we spoke to that at the
6Wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of5Specifically, personally, hysen to Nob Corbin,7cases you do these audits, the project has7DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board8already been done and so forth.8and told them otherwise and that's what they9Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some9relied upon because this was the consultant they10expectations from constituents and the community10had hired. The media center floor, my11and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media you16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's17that mean?17all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of10may have been expectations from different20Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was, <t< td=""><td>4</td><td>And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this</td><th>4</th><td>project charter meeting in the fall of 2015,</td></t<>	4	And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this	4	project charter meeting in the fall of 2015,
7cases you do these audits, the project has7DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board8already been done and so forth.8and told them otherwise and that's what they9Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some9relied upon because this was the consultant they10expectations from constituents and the community10had hired. The media center floor, my11and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media you16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,21problem.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the2223but I don't know about the structural issues.23but I don't know about the structural issues.	5	is a follow-up or a second audit, but it	5	specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin,
BitDr. LTNCH-WALSH. But the Well to the Data8already been done and so forth.8and told them otherwise and that's what they9Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some9relied upon because this was the consultant they10expectations from constituents and the community10had hired. The media center floor, my11and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media you16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	6	wasn't you know, we have to in a lot of	6	so I
and add y been done and so form.Image: some of the and so form.Image: some of the and so form.9Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some expectations from constituents and the community9relied upon because this was the consultant they10expectations from constituents and the community10had hired. The media center floor, my11and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media center, it's16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21constituents on what was to be done.21Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24 <td>7</td> <td>cases you do these audits, the project has</td> <th>7</th> <td>DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board</td>	7	cases you do these audits, the project has	7	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board
10expectations from constituents and the community10had hired. The media center floor, my11and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media center, it's16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's17that mean?17all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20Bowl grant maybe.21DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	8	already been done and so forth.	8	and told them otherwise and that's what they
11and ultimately the board approved something and11understanding, they are on their second set of12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media you16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's17that mean?17all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	9	Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some	9	relied upon because this was the consultant they
12maybe the communication back to the constituents12flooring in the media center at Stranahan and13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media you16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's17that mean?17all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	10	expectations from constituents and the community	10	had hired. The media center floor, my
13didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of13probably potentially going for a third because14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media you16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's17that mean?17all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21constituents on what was to be done.21Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	11	and ultimately the board approved something and	11	understanding, they are on their second set of
14information that was flawed and so some of14it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid15that15concrete, the foundation of the media you16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's17that mean?17all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21constituents on what was to be done.21Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.		maybe the communication back to the constituents		flooring in the media center at Stranahan and
15that15concrete, the foundation of the media you16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's17that mean?17all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21constituents on what was to be done.21Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	13	didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of	13	probably potentially going for a third because
16DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's17that mean?16know, the beautifully redone media center, it's18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21constituents on what was to be done.21Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.				
17that mean?17all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is18MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe18flawed.19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21constituents on what was to be done.21Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	14		14	it's hollow. I think it's wood it's not solid
18       MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe       18       flawed.         19       that and I wasn't here at the time, that there       19       MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of         20       may have been expectations from different       20       the bond program, but I think that was an Orange         21       constituents on what was to be done.       21       Bowl grant maybe.         22       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the       22       DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the         23       problem.       23       but I don't know about the structural issues.         24       MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at       24       MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.		information that was flawed and so some of		
19that and I wasn't here at the time, that there19MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21constituents on what was to be done.21Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	15 16	information that was flawed and so some of that	15 16	concrete, the foundation of the media you
20may have been expectations from different20the bond program, but I think that was an Orange21constituents on what was to be done.21Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,23problem.23but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	15 16 17	information that was flawed and so some of that DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that mean?	15 16 17	concrete, the foundation of the media you know, the beautifully redone media center, it's
21constituents on what was to be done.21Bowl grant maybe.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the problem.22DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was, but I don't know about the structural issues.24MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at24MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	15 16 17 18	information that was flawed and so some of that DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that mean? MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe	15 16 17 18	concrete, the foundation of the media you know, the beautifully redone media center, it's all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is flawed.
22     DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the     22     DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,       23     problem.     23     but I don't know about the structural issues.       24     MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at     24     MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	15 16 17 18 19	information that was flawed and so some of that DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that mean? MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe that and I wasn't here at the time, that there	15 16 17 18 19	concrete, the foundation of the media you know, the beautifully redone media center, it's all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is flawed. MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of
23       problem.       23       but I don't know about the structural issues.         24       MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at       24       MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	15 16 17 18 19 20	information that was flawed and so some of that DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that mean? MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe that and I wasn't here at the time, that there may have been expectations from different	15 16 17 18 19 20	concrete, the foundation of the media you know, the beautifully redone media center, it's all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is flawed. MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of the bond program, but I think that was an Orange
<sup>24</sup> MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at <sup>24</sup> MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	information that was flawed and so some of that DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that mean? MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe that and I wasn't here at the time, that there may have been expectations from different constituents on what was to be done.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concrete, the foundation of the media you know, the beautifully redone media center, it's all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is flawed. MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of the bond program, but I think that was an Orange Bowl grant maybe.
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	information that was flawed and so some of that DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that mean? MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe that and I wasn't here at the time, that there may have been expectations from different constituents on what was to be done. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concrete, the foundation of the media you know, the beautifully redone media center, it's all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is flawed. MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of the bond program, but I think that was an Orange Bowl grant maybe. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was,
The project charter meeting for Stranahan, for     25     Biondell a lot of luck.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	information that was flawed and so some of that DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that mean? MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe that and I wasn't here at the time, that there may have been expectations from different constituents on what was to be done. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the problem.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	concrete, the foundation of the media you know, the beautifully redone media center, it's all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is flawed. MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of the bond program, but I think that was an Orange Bowl grant maybe. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was, but I don't know about the structural issues.
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 24	information that was flawed and so some of that DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that mean? MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe that and I wasn't here at the time, that there may have been expectations from different constituents on what was to be done. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the problem. MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	concrete, the foundation of the media you know, the beautifully redone media center, it's all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is flawed. MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of the bond program, but I think that was an Orange Bowl grant maybe. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was, but I don't know about the structural issues. MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr.

	Page 153		Page 155
1	MR. DE MEO: Let's see those procedures.	1	the walkways I had a report from somebody
2	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. At the next	2	on staff
3	meeting?	3	MS. FERTIG: They were supposed to be
4	MR. DE MEO: Yeah. And I think you were	4	included in the original scope, all the walkways.
5	trying to tell us that there was some lack of	5	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Somebody took them out. I
6	communication, lack of understanding, lack of	6	know who. And it was the person that was task
7	expectation, expectation gaps.	7	assigned in charge of facilities at the point in
8	MR. JABOUIN: There could be.	8	time. And a lot of walkway main street, I have a
9	MR. DE MEO: All of that points to what I was	9	report from somebody that's at the school, it's
10	talking about. If there's value in going back	10	all leaking, and none of that was in the scope.
11	nine years or 300 years or 400 years and writing	11	MS. FERTIG: It was originally in the scope.
12	a report and beating ourselves up, I'm all for	12	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, and
13	it. I don't see the value. I don't see the	13	MS. FERTIG: You don't need a school board
14	value, but	14	member to tell you that.
15	MR. JABOUIN: Ultimately, there could be	15	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So the issue here, though,
16	another bond and so we want to make sure that	16	the way they got it out is there was confusion
17	some of the lessons from this bond are addressed.	17	over whether some of those walkways were part of
18	MR. DE MEO: Now, you're making music; okay?	18	the reroofing or not. And so those are things
19	But so let's look at these procedures, because	19	that can be rectified. But you can't like now
20	I do think there is some value in going forward.	20	replace Stranahan in the short-term.
21	I hope there's some value. And I hope that we	21	MR. MAYERSOHN: So, Mr. Chair, I've got a
22	can, to the extent we can correct and remediate	22	question for through the Chair to Ms. Marte.
23	some of the problems, I don't know, is there	23	Is there strategically a plan to go out for
24	still an opportunity to do that or is it passed?	24	another bond for construction?
25	MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I think there's some	25	MRS. MARTE: Not that I'm aware of.

1 1 opportunity. But the other thing would be, these DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There isn't even a steering 2 2 three schools are just, you know, symptomatic of committee meeting that's happened yet. many schools that did not have a voice. And ٦ ٦ MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I just go back to that, 4 Nathalie's mentioned some others today, there's a as years go on, schools will begin to age, and 5 5 long list of them, but they were the ones that the lessons at least that we've discussed here, 6 were highlighted to get the money. And of them, 6 how do we get to the point where, to Mr. De Meo's 7 7 two of them had a Castaldi and were supposed to point, I don't know if an audit is going to 8 be rebuilt and they weren't. So we can't go back 8 change unless there are systems in place to 9 9 prevent these things from happening. over any of that. The only thing that helps is 10 10 to focus and make sure that everybody gets what 11 11 they are supposed to get so that they can educate 12 12 their children in an equitable manner. 13 13 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So you can 14 14 mitigate, at Stranahan, for example, the 15 15 cafeteria, there's going to be a new cafeteria. 16 Because, once again, the budget that was 16 17 17 promised, which that's now several million over long-range plan. 18 18 what certain staff members were running around MRS. MARTE: I misspoke. 19 19 telling board members it would be, there is --20 20 the old walkway that will connect the new just clarifying. 21 21 cafeteria to the existing building, not planned 22 22 for replacement, and one of the board members 23 23 asked if it could be included in the scope 24 24 without impacting price, I'm like, yeah, I don't making them in the future. And I agree with Dr. 25 25 think so, but the bigger issue there is, none of

> United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 156

MRS. MARTE: My opinion, I think it should move forward. Because I do think it's important that we learn from whatever mistakes have happened. And to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point, we do have a long-range plan, the steering committee will meet shortly, I have to get the letters out. DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we don't have a

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm just clarifying. I'm MRS. MARTE: We are in the process of developing that. And I think it is important to look at some of the mistakes of the past to avoid

	Page 157		Page 159
1		1	
2	important, in fact. Because there has been a lot	2	go through that. I don't know what it is,
3	of turn, a lot of turnover, a lot of even in	3	something more than having an option to create a
4	my short time involved when I came back to the	4	public records request. So
5	district in April and got much more intimately	5	MR. MEDVIN: Good point. Thank you.
6	involved in the day-to-day work, you know,	6	With that, anything else?
7	certainly than my roll as CFO where I was not	7	MR. JABOUIN: No. Thank you for coming in
8	involved, I've learned a lot that I did not	8	and having a special meeting. MR. MEDVIN: Is there more?
9	understand with the public conversations that were happening in the past.	9	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, there is one thing,
10	So I think it's important that we go through	10	which actually related to that. Thank you for
11	this. I do.	11	jogging my memory.
12	MR. DE MEO: With the auditors?	12	There is an RFP that was sitting out there
13	MRS. MARTE: With the auditors. Absolutely.	13	that was done I think in 2018, '19, that
14	MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Any other comments?	14	selected, and none of us were on the selection
15	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On this matter?	15	committee, selected, I think, five firms for this
16	MR. MEDVIN: Anything more from RSM?	16	construction, IT and all of that, and there was
17	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Mr. Blondell. Thank	17	an attempt to give it to Carr, Riggs, Ingraham to
18	you, Ms. Marte.	18	do the grand jury review that got removed and I
19	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I guess I'll send the	19	don't anticipate seeing that back again without
20	PCG documents that are needed for our next	20	that RFP going out, because, when they responded,
21	meeting rather than verbally go through them.	21	there was no grand jury report, so I don't know
22	MS. FERTIG: Could you just send them to him	22	how they could have ever asserted that they were
23	and we'll get them beforehand?	23	qualified to do grand jury reviews.
24	MR. MAYERSOHN: And, Mr. Chair, I know we're	24	So I I'm just going to say it as bluntly
25	not we don't have a quorum to make any	25	as I don't want to see that on a board agenda
	Page 158		Page 160
1	Page 158 decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's	1	Page 160 that I have to kill again until there's been a
1 2		1 2	
	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's		that I have to kill again until there's been a
2	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know	2	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of
2 3	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear	2 3	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the
2 3 4	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or	2 3 4	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal
2 3 4	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things	2 3 4 5	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related,
2 3 4 5 6	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request	2 3 4 5 6	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is
2 3 4 5 6	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting	2 3 4 5 6	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action because it's an old RFP that predates the grand jury.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests. I just think it's I just think from an optics standpoint it's a bad look when I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action because it's an old RFP that predates the grand jury.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests. I just think it's I just think from an optics standpoint it's a bad look when I mean, if I were to request something that, you know, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action because it's an old RFP that predates the grand jury. But that would have gotten approved by the board and then we would have had a firm, the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests. I just think it's I just think from an optics standpoint it's a bad look when I mean, if I were to request something that, you know, in asking Mr. Jabouin he says, no, it has to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action because it's an old RFP that predates the grand jury. But that would have gotten approved by the board and then we would have had a firm, the same firm that did caps and gowns and that you'll see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests. I just think it's I just think from an optics standpoint it's a bad look when I mean, if I were to request something that, you know, in asking Mr. Jabouin he says, no, it has to go through the committee and we're not meeting for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action because it's an old RFP that predates the grand jury. But that would have gotten approved by the board and then we would have had a firm, the same firm that did caps and gowns and that you'll see the issues with on PCG was going to be handed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests. I just think it's I just think from an optics standpoint it's a bad look when I mean, if I were to request something that, you know, in asking Mr. Jabouin he says, no, it has to go through the committee and we're not meeting for another four weeks, but I need the information to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action because it's an old RFP that predates the grand jury. But that would have gotten approved by the board and then we would have had a firm, the same firm that did caps and gowns and that you'll see the issues with on PCG was going to be handed grand jury review to the tune of, I think, 135
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests. I just think it's I just think from an optics standpoint it's a bad look when I mean, if I were to request something that, you know, in asking Mr. Jabouin he says, no, it has to go through the committee and we're not meeting for another four weeks, but I need the information to prepare, we shouldn't have to necessarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action because it's an old RFP that predates the grand jury.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests. I just think it's I just think from an optics standpoint it's a bad look when I mean, if I were to request something that, you know, in asking Mr. Jabouin he says, no, it has to go through the committee and we're not meeting for another four weeks, but I need the information to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal staff. When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. And it happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action because it's an old RFP that predates the grand jury. But that would have gotten approved by the board and then we would have had a firm, the same firm that did caps and gowns and that you'll see the issues with on PCG was going to be handed grand jury review to the tune of, I think, 135

	Page 161	
1	approved it, but the fact that it actually got	
2	that far speaks volumes to me.	
3	And that's it. I'm good. Thank you.	
4	MR. MEDVIN: Thank you everybody.	
5	MR. JABOUIN: Thanks for your time everybody.	
6	(Meeting was adjourned at 12:29 p.m.)	
7		
8		
9 10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
21		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 162	
1	Page 162 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
1 2		
2 3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD	
2 3 4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary	
2 3 4 5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large,	
2 3 4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did	
2 3 4 5 6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and	
2 3 4 5 6 7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. JATAMA TIMOTHY R. BASS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. JATAMA TIMOTHY R. BASS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. JATAMA TIMOTHY R. BASS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. JATAMA TIMOTHY R. BASS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. JATAMA TIMOTHY R. BASS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. JATAMA TIMOTHY R. BASS	

A	92:4,4,7 102:3	advance 142:3	amendment 106:8	148:1
<b>A-rated</b> 55:15,19	activities 77:22	advice 125:15	107:22 108:1,3	approve 5:6 7:16
<b>A-Taleu</b> 55.15,19 <b>A.M</b> 1:17	activity 63:18,18,25	advisory 2:22 6:3	amount 64:16 126:10	18:18 19:1 73:24
<b>ability</b> 47:23	actual 37:15 50:16	advocating 140:12	127:3 149:8	125:20
able 23:12 28:11,13	add 23:7 24:14 25:12	<b>AECOM</b> 144:23	analyses 136:5	approved 5:14 7:21
42:23 43:7 67:4,24	28:25 64:25 79:8,10	afraid 148:7	analysis 60:11 61:9	12:7,8 129:6 150:11
42.25 45.7 07.4,24 117:18 134:7 158:9	88:24 94:22 96:20	age 156:4	61:17 80:10,18	160:19 161:1
<b>absent</b> 130:3	97:1,3 98:18 106:24	agencies 43:12 44:17	134:11 136:9	approving 9:5,6
absolutely 27:21	113:7 140:5	66:23 67:5 68:5	137:10 141:14	approximately 22:20
46:14 51:9 57:23	add-on 80:2	76:4 78:10 84:9,18	151:16	April 10:24 157:4
59:10 78:12 128:21	added 26:20 98:1	84:20 85:5	analytics 61:5	<b>APs</b> 99:8
129:8 139:22	adding 64:23 140:2	agency 41:19	and/or 38:8 76:1	arbitrary 89:22
157:13	addition 24:21,22	<b>agenda</b> 5:5,6,14 6:13	<b>Andrew</b> 2:2 4:25	architect 151:12
absorb 82:7	81:3 97:13	16:14 18:16 124:12	Andrews 1:24	architects 148:6
	additional 8:10 19:15	124:13,14 129:7,7	annual 6:2	area 19:24 56:20,20
absorbs 149:7	35:15 43:3 84:21	129:20 134:22,25	anomalies 80:12	59:7 61:24 62:1,1
abuse 38:13	85:4 141:18	159:25 160:14	answer 22:18 25:13	83:25 98:16 123:20
academic 2:15,15	Additionally 100:18	ago 32:23 74:3 75:13	30:11 42:6 71:2,3	130:8 138:11
11:1 12:23 14:12	additions 135:21	121:1 130:4 132:11	77:14 78:25 115:24	areas 42:24 116:2
accept 92:18 107:22	address 22:24 31:22	143:20 160:9	answered 12:3	117:15
accepted 23:14	34:23 40:2,10 63:7	agree 33:23 48:4	Anthony 2:4 4:18	arena 84:20
accepting 8:12	63:20 122:22	59:10 62:13 74:17	anticipate 149:9	<b>Arlotta</b> 2:9 17:12,12
access 13:14 64:9	151:14	78:21,21 117:23	159:19	ARP 48:19
67:24 68:21,22,23	addressed 15:17	123:6 144:2 146:3,4	anybody 50:19 66:22	arts 145:19
accessed 89:4	34:12,24,25 72:10	156:24	71:11	Ashley 2:11 17:6
accommodate 87:24	101:1,19 139:6	agreed 124:20	anyway 110:9	asked 35:14 41:16
accomplishing 34:19	143:13 153:17	agreed-upon 117:22	<b>AP's</b> 86:14	44:13,14 54:10
account 147:21	160:12	agreement 6:19	apologize 8:20	78:24 80:20 120:17
accountable 34:6	addresses 31:19	ahead 28:19 73:4	appalled 146:15	120:22,25 130:6,12
75:21	32:11 40:8	82:12 93:13 130:24	apparently 13:14	120:22,25 130:0,12
accountant 24:24	addressing 53:19	AICPA 23:13	54:8	141:20 154:23
Accountants' 7:20	70:10	alarmed 86:6	<b>appended</b> 76:16	asking 11:19 34:5
accounting 24:23	adhered 139:7	alarming 57:22 86:21	applicable 100:17	45:7 53:9 58:20
accounts 11:9	adherence 75:22	<b>Alberti</b> 56:6,10	applies 102:14	95:6 132:1 158:21
<b>accuracy</b> 5:18	adhering 138:7	<b>Alhadeff</b> 130:9,10	apply 101:3	assailant 102:3
accurate 78:20	adjourned 161:6	144:17	appoint 106:4,20	asserted 159:22
ACEVDEO 2:11	adjust 151:18	Allegiance 4:6	107:17 109:5	assessed 89:1
<b>Acevedo</b> 17:6,6	adjusted 101:13	alleviate 100:22	appointing 19:11	assessment 2:17
acknowledge 102:21	ADMINISTRATI	103:11 104:19	appreciate 22:11	16:25 19:16,20 20:7
acknowledgment 6:10	1:12	allow 5:21 67:7	23:16 65:1 73:4	20:15 24:6 25:21
	administrative 8:5	134:25	approach 46:1 57:19	26:12,23 32:6,9
acquired 158:15	89:17	<b>allowed</b> 134:16	appropriate 20:11	50:2,25 52:9 55:24
acted 27:3 81:24	administrator 89:3	allows 158:25	22:15 23:22 32:22	61:22 62:19 63:12
acting 47:21 65:17	administrators 68:23	<b>allude</b> 85:8	48:25	66:17 67:21 68:21
action 34:7 35:24	91:22 97:8 135:16	alternative 57:9	appropriately 106:5	68:25 69:20,21
51:21 92:5 100:14	145:13,14	87:15 88:11 89:10	106:20 108:6 109:6	70:12,15 71:24
136:24 160:16	admit 15:5 148:3	90:12 92:22,23,25	appropriateness	72:14,16,24 74:23
162:14	adopt 104:20	93:11,16 96:15	20:13 26:11,22	75:18 80:23 88:17
actions 41:2,12	adopted 100:19	121:25	74:21 75:17 77:18	90:23 91:21 92:3
101:11,15	Adopting 100:21	amend 106:9 107:20	78:3,8 85:4	95:1 101:2,23 106:7
active 29:6 50:4	adults 58:1	amended 19:1 140:9	approval 5:4 18:16	106:22,25 108:8
54:18,22 89:5 91:21		······································	"PP10, 01.5, 7 10, 10	100.22,25 100.0
	1	1	1	1

#### 109:7 111:8 112:2 41:9 81:14 83:23 August 88:21 balances 72:25 68:6 126:14 150:18 authorities 31:17 112:10 113:20 114:19,20 115:3 Ballpark 149:11 **believed** 23:21 114:5 138:24 141:9 118:4,6,25 119:5 32:22 bankrupt 145:3 beneficial 20:20 authorized 162:6 141:10 audit 1:5 2:11 5:16 Bardee 2:25 18:10,10 **best** 32:15 43:25 74:3 assessments 24:18 6:12,21,21,25 7:10 automatically 91:21 21:15 22:3 25:5 116:20 117:6 132:5 26:2 35:1 38:11 7:15,24 8:9 11:25 102:18,19 31:21,25 32:15 134:8 139:7 160:16 48:21 49:24 61:10 14:16 16:4 17:16,17 available 15:13 58:12 36:14 39:8,11,21 **Bethune** 145:1 61:15 71:10 74:1 19:19,20 20:5,17 87:9 49:10 51:13 52:22 **better** 5:22 10:1,2 75:6 110:5,13 111:5 21:16 23:1,15,23 **Avenue** 1:13,24 52:25 60:14 104:4 14:19 28:20 30:15 **assign** 16:24 107:7,19 26:6 27:5,22 28:12 **avoid** 156:23 104:12 34:3 54:24 57:4,19 assigned 2:16,17 32:8 33:13 34:9.19 aware 19:10 73:10 **based** 6:2 20:16 64:22 96:18 143:6 13:25 16:22 69:19 34:21,23,25 35:2,15 139:9 155:25 87:21 **Bevond** 77:1 **basic** 140:6 69:19 70:5 74:1 35:16 38:22 45:4 **awful** 36:10 **big** 12:17 58:15,15 107:23 108:12,16 50:1 52:19 53:18 Ave 5:11 19:5 109:19 basically 22:1 68:16 80:23 88:11,25 108:23 109:8 61:1.3.13 74:11 111:20 114:14 79:16 134:4 151:8 124:9 125:11.13 126:2,2 128:14,22 147:13 155:7 77:12 78:3 85:6 118:12 119:14 **basis** 28:4 61:8 66:15 assignment 70:7 88:20,20 101:11 125:6 66:15,18,25 69:24 130:7 132:18 133:4 123:23 106:3,18 110:3,11 82:25 111:6,7 112:6 135:19 137:21 B assignments 107:13 111:3 115:23 120:2 112:10 113:20 138:17 **b** 37:6 38:10 39:1,3 assist 98:10 120:15 124:23 114:6 bigger 154:25 40:21 41:6,15 assistance 40:19 125:11 127:17,18 **Bass** 1:23,23 3:1 **billing** 149:9 143:13 162:4.19 bit 28:18 37:3 66:5 84:10 130:10,12,14 back 7:2 8:9.13 10:8 assists 5:20 132:15,20 135:9 bathroom 132:17 85:9 144:21 associated 39:19 142:4 146:14 11:20 13:4,25 14:5 bathrooms 143:11 **black** 54:25 16:11 17:14 25:23 148:14 149:5,21 blah-blah-blah 35:20 118:25 119:5 **BBHC** 65:9 29:21 36:25 37:10 Beach 94:25 95:4,6 139:25 150:5 156:7 **Blanche** 139:19 37:14 38:5,22 45:6 **assume** 102:13 Audit's 24:17 95:16 **blanket** 78:15 46:6 50:10 54:19,20 Atlanta 96:5.7 auditable 37:14 **beat** 144:6 **blend** 80:11 56:6,10 58:22 59:12 attached 6:23 41:20,21,23 42:2,4 beaten 99:9 Blondell 2:21 126:6.8 attainable 117:18 42:5,6,7,15 43:16 62:5 65:4,16 66:7,8 **beating** 99:19 153:12 127:25 128:25 66:22 67:5 72:16 attempt 159:17 44:14 51:4,5 71:16 beautifully 152:16 130:18,23,24 131:1 75:20 77:4 86:24 attend 103:2 77:2,5,7,9,16 78:13 **BECON** 44:4 133:23 135:1,6,7 89:7,20 91:13 93:18 **attendance** 2:1 18:24 78:17 79:6 114:19 beginning 10:13 25:9 149:12,16,17 93:22 94:7 95:12 attended 134:24 audited 15:1,2 27:23 59:13 74:12 135:25 152:25 157:17 96:22 98:23,23,24 attending 6:15 99:5 77:19 begins 9:9 123:25,25 **bluntly** 159:24 99:5,15 101:16 100:21 103:18,21 auditing 23:5 26:4 **begun** 98:2 **board** 1:3,12 5:17 6:2 105:2 109:3 113:9 **behave** 47:24 104:11 39:2 88:8 94:16 6:18,24,25 7:1,2,7,8 135:24 146:5,18,23 attention 33:12 132:9 **behavior** 43:4 59:24 7:16 8:11 9:1,15,16 150:12 151:14 attorney 131:17 auditor 2:7,8 4:9 7:22 65:18,19 88:16 12:7 28:13 67:17 153:10 154:8 156:3 162:11,12 17:5,7,9,11,13 18:2 behavioral 2:17 70:14 73:24 74:4 157:3 158:1 159:19 **attribute** 31:18 32:10 19:22 23:21 33:11 16:24 19:16,19 20:6 82:7 106:3,9,10,11 backdated 11:2 34:22 35:5 45:2 32:21 35:2 39:12 24:6 25:21 38:15 106:12,13,19 **backed** 128:20 40:7,25 41:5,8,14 112:24 120:1 52:9 62:18 73:25 108:23 109:4 120:2 background 24:23 44:15 51:10 52:23 Auditor's 7:17 8:4 77:22 80:22,25 120:14,19 122:21 53:11 69:5 70:22 35:11 130:1 81:23 82:19 101:1 130:9 136:24,25 backpack 104:5 76:10 77:20 81:13 auditors 8:24 11:2 101:22 110:4,13 143:7 145:23 backpacks 103:6 82:17,20,23 83:17 28:3 71:15 84:17 111:5 146:21 147:24,25 backtrack 76:10 84:4 88:5,5,25 89:2 100:9 157:12.13 **believe** 9:8 23:17 24:7 148:18 150:11 **backwards** 73:9 74:9 118:1,19,23 auditory 135:5 24:21 30:24 35:14 151:19,22 152:7 bad 117:1 158:19 **attributes** 32:4 38:23 audits 2:9,10 19:24 42:20 50:13 54:16 154:19,22 155:13 **bag** 160:12 38:25 39:18,19 40:5 28:2,17 150:7 54:16,24 57:3 67:11 159:25 160:10,20

160:25	141:2,8,10 154:21	Cartwright 86:18	<b>change</b> 18:23 113:17	55:1
board's 136:21	built 28:9	160:11	156:8	circumvented 92:16
144:16 147:22	<b>bulk</b> 147:15	Cartwright's 160:15	changed 137:1,2	cited 71:8 76:17
148:1,17	bullets 88:24	<b>case</b> 33:7,11 45:25	140:24	city 65:20 138:20
<b>Bob</b> 66:8 95:25	<b>burden</b> 29:3,9 30:12	46:19 91:11 112:23	changes 25:10 139:21	clarification 83:14
<b>bodies</b> 24:4	55:3,10	139:13	139:21	clarifies 105:3
<b>bond</b> 125:17 130:16	Business 2:21	cases 9:18 36:22 62:7	changing 12:19 141:2	clarify 8:8 51:13
135:11 138:23		91:4,14 100:13	channel 72:20	69:17 120:12
152:20 153:16,17	C	139:22 150:7	<b>chaos</b> 139:10	<b>clarifying</b> 156:19,20
155:24	<b>c</b> 2:11 37:6 38:12	<b>Castaldi</b> 134:9 136:8	charge 69:20 70:12	classroom 133:5
book 27:22	39:1,3 40:21 41:6	154:7	155:7	139:8
bothering 33:24	41:15	Castaldis 141:12	chart 40:3 42:13	clear 12:11 53:9 56:4
<b>bottom</b> 37:9,16,21	cafeteria 135:20	catalog 145:19	45:14	72:6 76:21 79:4
Bowl 152:21	151:2,11,12,15,17	catching 30:8	<b>charter</b> 64:15,16,19	103:20 108:5
box 52:25 57:18	154:15,15,21	cause 162:11	136:13,13 150:25	142:24
81:15 101:10	call 4:10 16:8 52:16	ceiling 138:14	150:15,15 150:25	<b>clearer</b> 108:9
brand 10:23	54:11,14 55:4 64:6	celebrated 36:8,9	<b>cheaper</b> 141:13	Clearinghouse 7:25
break 16:10 39:11	64:23 65:18,18,25	center 1:12 104:5	check 64:6 69:10 83:2	Clerk 2:11
84:3 118:24 119:4	70:15 98:12 114:12	145:10 152:10,12	83:9 100:23 103:11	closed 85:13 100:16
breakdown 36:22	139:2	152:16	103:16 104:6,23	<b>closure</b> 100:17
61:15	called 39:5 43:17	centers 25:7 100:19	117:9	clunky 110:2
breaking 68:16	51:1 54:7,17,23	104:4	checked 52:25 81:15	<b>CMAR</b> 145:6 146:21
brief 125:11	55:1 117:9,10	central 62:1	83:10 102:18	146:25
briefly 128:1	calling 95:6	certain 33:9 42:22	103:23 104:6,24	cock-ups 141:16
bring 16:13,17 33:11	campus 54:15	133:7 141:3,5	checking 69:13 104:9	code 54:6,7,9,10,17
85:3 122:6 141:4	cancelled 124:18	154:18	checklist 64:9 117:8	54:23,25 55:4,5
brings 75:9	145:6	certainly 14:4 36:20	checks 72:25 100:20	139:15 141:2,4
broken 21:22 61:13	candidly 148:7	112:24 137:9 157:6	<b>CHERI</b> 3:4	codes 72:12
62:2 118:18	capable 22:13 26:5	CERTIFICATE	chief 2:7,8,15,15,16	codified 134:3
Brothers 58:15	31:6 35:13 148:4	162:1	2:16 4:9 8:4 10:25	codifying 146:7
brought 37:1,2 42:18	Capital 135:17	certificates 26:16	12:23 14:12 16:22	coffee 70:2
88:18 97:3	caps 131:13 160:21	certified 8:2	17:5,7,9,11,13	coincidence 130:11
brouhaha 12:17	capture 44:25 79:22	certify 162:6,10	19:22 23:21 33:10	<b>COKER</b> 2:18
Broward 1:3 65:7	84:1 96:16	<b>cetera</b> 83:21	34:22 35:5,10 45:2	collaboration 115:16
67:9,24 95:17 96:2	captured 86:16 99:2	<b>CFO</b> 157:6	56:6,10 69:18 70:15	
96:4,7 106:13,19	130:18	<b>chair</b> 2:2,2 5:23 8:5	74:1,2 112:24 120:1	0
109:4 131:17 162:3	capturing 80:16	16:3 22:20 58:24	<b>chiefs</b> 131:20	<b>collect</b> 83:7,9 131:25
162:16	99:16	62:15 69:16 84:15	child 35:22 65:21	collected 82:25
<b>BSO</b> 65:25	care 24:10 33:25 38:9	85:25 99:25 101:4	68:9 83:7 85:18,22	collectively 113:5
BTA 32:16 38:7 39:1	47:11 76:1 86:17	106:2 129:6 130:10	86:4 93:15,21 97:23	<b>color</b> 55:5
39:5 43:2,12 44:16	carpeting 145:10	149:3 155:21,22	99:18,18,20 133:13	colors 56:7
89:4 100:12 101:8	<b>Carr</b> 159:17	157:24 158:14	<b>child's</b> 66:11	<b>column</b> 39:7 40:4,5
103:13	carried 11:15	chairs 12:19	<b>children</b> 24:7 47:4	80:24
<b>budget</b> 13:7 96:25	carries 19:8 109:22	<b>challenge</b> 65:10 98:4	57:6 58:3 86:11	columns 81:7
138:7,8 151:18	111:23 114:17	98:13	87:24 93:6 97:20	come 8:8 44:1 99:5
154:16	118:15 119:17	<b>challenges</b> 60:3 68:14	99:3 154:12	99:15 101:18,22
budgeting 10:21 11:9	125:9	challenging 65:19	children's 54:5	111:18 113:9
budgets 151:21	carryover 10:20	66:6	chime 40:18 149:14	138:17 145:23
<b>build</b> 67:22	<b>cars</b> 66:4	champion 57:24	<b>Chris</b> 2:22 126:7	148:13
building 54:19,21,21	Carter-Lynch 2:3	chance 57:10,11 90:2	<b>circle</b> 46:12	comes 13:3 16:14
139:15 140:11	4:11,12 18:21 19:12	149:13	circumstances 9:25	24:6 67:8 132:4
		-		
			1	•

	(0.11.71.0	105.0	4 1 4 22 12	4 150 0
comfortable 149:15	69:11 71:9	concluding 105:9	contribute 33:12	create 159:2
149:18	communication 66:19	conclusion 116:15	contributing 32:24	creates 11:8
coming 11:18 17:3	84:6,7,8 127:19	131:8	<b>control</b> 33:1 53:22	<b>CRI</b> 11:2 12:21 14:5
34:25 47:7,18 67:9	150:12 153:6	concrete 152:15	137:5	160:10
94:24 95:11 103:3	communications	<b>concur</b> 24:13 27:8	controls 2:24 7:17	crime 14:14,14 52:4
140:12 159:6	135:9	<b>condition</b> 138:24	14:20 18:3	<b>criminal</b> 63:8,17
160:15	<b>community</b> 3:4 38:8	141:8,10	convened 128:22	<b>crisis</b> 38:13,15,16
<b>comment</b> 27:20 33:2	39:15 43:4 58:2,11	conducting 63:11	conversation 20:17	69:9
33:18 35:11 45:23	64:24 66:2 72:18	conferences 29:7	95:19 96:1 105:16	critical 44:20 72:20
46:4 54:1 67:15	75:25 83:24 137:19	conflict 6:11	conversations 43:1	84:7
86:7 123:10 125:11	150:10	confusion 155:16	50:25 157:8 158:12	<b>CSC</b> 66:23
commentary 130:4	company 102:2	connect 154:20	coordinate 43:14	culinary 145:19
comments 8:7 18:17	comparing 132:17	connected 162:13	44:19 78:12	cured 82:3
19:15 21:4 30:19,21	comparison 137:15	connecting 68:15	coordinating 9:14	<b>curious</b> 100:9
53:20 59:4,22 82:7	compelled 141:24	consensus 33:10	<b>copy</b> 50:15,22 120:21	current 12:15 49:6
82:12,15 110:24	<b>complete</b> 20:11 51:7	<b>consider</b> 32:14 80:6	<b>Corbin</b> 151:19 152:5	currently 36:12 50:4
111:13 114:24	53:2 149:24 162:8	115:18	<b>correct</b> 21:10 32:19	50:6 57:13 68:13,13
118:9 119:11	completed 72:16	constantly 81:24	50:8,25 51:2 52:10	85:14 135:15 137:5
133:20,21,22 134:2	84:24 93:17 132:25	constituents 150:10	91:3 93:24 101:24	<b>cut</b> 9:17 127:10
137:8 141:24	136:16	150:12,21	107:4,4 153:22	<b>Cypress</b> 88:7 93:4
157:14	completed/signed	constraint 99:22	corrected 30:6,7 74:5	97:5
Commission 67:19	20:11	constraints 124:6	74:6	D
committee 1:5 2:1	completely 27:8	construction 17:17	correctly 47:21	
5:11,17 6:3,12,21	completeness 38:12	18:3 135:18 136:15	<b>cost</b> 151:4,7	<b>D</b> 37:6 41:17,18,18
6:21,25 7:2,10,15	61:2 84:24	136:16 137:6	costing 149:4	55:17 76:4
8:9 16:5 18:22,24	completing 132:23	155:24 159:16	Council 138:20	<b>Dahl</b> 2:3 4:16,17
19:5 20:4,17,24	<b>compliance</b> 7:18 20:5	construction-related	<b>counsel</b> 162:11	17:23 29:16 37:11
21:2,5 23:1,4,9,15	27:14 74:11,11	160:6	<b>counseling</b> 39:24 65:6	38:1,3 45:5,6,21
23:23 26:6 27:6	81:10 105:11 115:8	consultant 152:9	68:10	46:18,24 55:18
28:12 35:3 61:1	115:11,12,18,23	consulted 23:20 24:3	counselor 67:1	80:25 85:15,16,24
79:25 82:5,14,21	116:2,11,12,24	26:7	counselors 83:21	93:14,25 94:2 97:24
86:1 89:18 91:25	117:14 118:25	Consulting 2:21,23	count 19:13	98:24 99:1,7 109:1
92:22 106:3,18	119:5 134:19	3:3 17:16 18:1,6	County 1:3 65:8 67:9	120:17,22 123:12
109:19 111:20	<b>comply</b> 115:6	<b>contact</b> 43:12 44:16	96:2,4 106:14,19	123:24 124:10,20
114:14 115:16	component 62:10	52:14,14 78:9	109:4 162:3,16	<b>Dailey</b> 2:11 17:8,8
118:12 119:14	components 118:20	contacted 131:19	<b>couple</b> 21:3 24:15	<b>daily</b> 83:2,8,11
120:2,15 123:14	135:20 139:16	contacting 52:5	30:21 59:22 98:2	100:20 103:15,17
124:17 125:6,16,17	140:5 141:3	contemplate 141:8	143:9 146:17	105:1
125:22 126:6	computer 76:12	contemplated 72:9	course 9:1 15:8 78:23	dangerous 44:21,22
127:14 130:12,14	concept 61:4 132:9	<b>content</b> 26:11,22	99:2 151:24	dare 144:11,12
130:15,16,19 135:9	concern 8:25 38:21	74:22 75:17	<b>court</b> 1:22 3:1 40:13	<b>data</b> 50:1,2 80:12,14
135:10,11 139:5	92:10,14 94:20	contents 20:14	160:16 162:4,19	81:20 82:25 83:3,8
156:2,14 158:10,22	95:10 97:2 145:7	<b>continue</b> 47:21,24	<b>cover</b> 14:13 18:23	83:9 86:16 87:8
159:15 160:4,7	<b>concerned</b> 22:7 47:25	continued 158:11	coverage 20:20	120:18 131:24,24
committee's 7:14	116:3 117:16	continues 123:18	<b>covered</b> 41:4,9 76:9	<b>database</b> 66:17,24
committees 127:24	128:24	continuous 56:8	covering 14:15	date 10:14 11:4 43:18
135:16	concerning 56:25	<b>contract</b> 7:6 8:23 9:6	<b>CPA</b> 18:1 24:22	51:8,18 53:5 100:15
common 72:9	62:16 100:13	9:7,12 11:4 14:6,8	<b>CPS</b> 83:7	148:8
communicate 35:6	concerns 21:5 23:19	18:4 146:25 147:2	<b>CPT's</b> 134:20	dated 20:22 162:15
66:25 95:4	29:19 74:12,18	contracts 145:6	<b>cracks</b> 34:13	dates 8:24 14:7 20:19
communicating 66:12	concludes 8:4	146:22	<b>crazy</b> 138:7	daughter 35:22
			l	

<b>day</b> 8:21 22:16 33:24	deficiencies 141:11	132:21 133:10	147:11 148:25	downward 105:20
34:18 35:21 57:16	deficiency 53:22	137:4 150:20	149:4 157:4	Dr 2:4,15 4:10,20,22
70:2 92:8 104:16	135:25 136:4,12	differently 39:17	district's 4:9 6:1	5:8,9 6:25 8:6,7,19
105:15 133:14	137:4 139:1	diligence 123:14	20:14 26:12 61:17	9:21 10:1,12,19
139:11 148:8	define 122:7	dining 151:15	74:22 75:18 112:9	11:22 12:2 13:6,11
162:15	definitely 56:9 98:12	direction 24:17 36:15	113:19 114:5 115:7	14:22 15:21,23 16:9
day-to-day 69:23,24	151:3	45:13 97:19	139:13	16:15 17:1 19:3
157:5	degreed 24:23	directly 65:25	District-based 120:8	22:6 24:12,13 25:3
days 64:21	delay 35:12,12,14	director 2:18,23	districts 95:18	25:11,12,18 29:10
<b>De</b> 2:4 4:18,19 30:20	demonstrate 77:19	12:24 73:25 106:4	<b>dive</b> 88:4	29:11 33:15,16
30:21 31:5,14,16,24	Department 7:23,24	106:25	Diversity 85:25 86:19	36:24,25 37:8,13,21
32:1,10,20 36:7	67:12 68:4	directors 106:9	125:16 130:15	37:23 38:4 39:10,16
37:1 41:15,17,20	depending 63:1,18,25	disagree 30:24 35:17	135:10	40:1,11,20 41:6,11
42:3 43:12,23 44:2	89:12	discipline 72:12,15	diverted 11:6	41:18,25 42:13
44:8,12 45:22 46:6	depends 140:25	86:10 87:23 89:19	document 13:4 37:19	43:20,25 44:6 46:8
50:10 51:24 52:5,13	<b>Deputy</b> 2:14,14 16:20	90:24 93:5	52:7 64:23,23 66:16	54:3 59:12,17 60:8
52:16,19,24 53:9,13	DeSantis' 131:20	disclaimer 26:10	66:17 100:11	70:18,21 71:1,20
63:21 69:15 70:9,24	describe 82:21 128:1	discover 141:19	136:25	73:3,8,13,17 76:14
71:3,13,25 73:3,7	described 33:3	discovered 15:7	documentation 19:11	76:19,23 77:14,24
76:12,15,20 77:18	design 135:18 136:13	discretion 22:25 23:8	20:6 38:11 42:22	78:6,15,23 79:1,11
78:2,14,21,24 99:25	136:14 139:14	23:14 62:23	43:6 50:11 82:24	79:14 80:6,15,20
100:4 105:5 106:2	145:15	discussed 10:23 11:5	83:22 84:13 85:1	81:1,8 85:19,21
106:13,18 107:14	designed 24:19	31:10,16 41:21	88:8	86:17,18 96:25
108:5,15,18,21	desirable 35:23	100:14 101:11	documented 6:22	100:6 101:21,25
109:10,11,13	desire 23:15 28:8	136:23 137:1,1	41:2,13 64:4 66:13	102:5,8,22 103:10
112:14,16,22 113:4	85:11	151:3 156:5	72:11 103:8 104:8	103:22 104:7,17
113:11,14 116:4,7	destructive 134:9	discussing 12:9 79:20	121:6	105:6 106:11 107:2
116:13,19 117:2,21	136:9	discussion 7:3,10,11	documenting 66:11	107:7,11,16 108:4
121:2 123:6,25	detail 95:11	8:14 20:2 77:6 79:4	82:18	108:17,24 109:14
128:8,16 129:25	detailed 10:14	79:24 81:5 84:5	documents 8:10	109:24 110:7,11,18
130:21 131:3,19	details 100:13 101:13	109:16 112:14,15	37:19 62:21 63:11	111:3,7,24 112:5,8
132:8 141:23 142:2	determination 87:22	114:10 120:25	157:20	113:2,13,16,22,24
142:7,23 143:14,18	determine 20:8 21:16	124:9 127:23 132:7	doing 10:16 21:18	114:2,18,25 115:2
144:3,11 146:6,9,15	32:6 116:8,8,22	149:23 160:2	26:5,17,21,24,25	115:10,17,21 116:1
147:4,22 148:16	determined 41:23	discussions 42:21	29:23 30:7,15,18	116:10,22 117:3,11
153:1,4,9,18 156:6	42:4	43:8 125:19 135:12	34:14,20 36:11	117:23 118:3,16
157:12	determining 33:7	dispense 129:15	41:14,15 45:20	119:4,18 120:7,12
deal 31:1	112:25	distinction 140:2	50:20 91:9 99:16	120:23 126:17
December 46:22	develop 113:18,22	distraction 12:4	104:1,9,18 116:11	127:2,7,17 128:3,10
49:14,18	114:4	distribution 121:17	120:5 126:21 130:2	128:18 129:23
<b>decide</b> 138:24	developing 156:22	district 2:13 6:16 8:3	145:24 147:6	133:19 134:1,15,19
<b>decided</b> 79:3,5,6	development 136:11	21:6 22:17,19 29:9	<b>door</b> 102:18	134:21,23 135:2
decision 89:22,22	<b>died</b> 76:12	43:6 50:4 55:16,17	doors 133:5 137:13	137:25 138:2,2
148:20	difference 137:23	56:7 58:11 61:9	142:15	140:14,25 142:1,13
decisions 148:24	differences 137:11	64:14 65:6 66:6	double 36:25 37:9	142:22 144:1,12
158:1	different 24:4 30:8	71:5,18 72:13 76:17	38:5	146:8,23 147:8,24
deck 12:19	39:23 57:19,20	81:9,25 83:19	double-check 122:19	148:15,21 149:11
<b>deeper</b> 97:12	58:20 66:14 84:23	100:10 112:2	doubled 151:8	150:16,22 151:6,10
defeat 144:25	87:20,23 90:24	120:13 134:20	doubt 142:16 143:14	152:7,22 153:2
defer 42:8,10 85:19	96:21 108:24,25	136:6 137:16	Douglas 55:18,23	154:13 155:5,12,15
100 15 142 4	118:19 127:24	138:22 142:9 145:3	65:24	156:1,13,16,19,24
129:15 143:4	110.19 127.24	130.22 142.7 143.3	03.24	150.1,15,10,17,24

157:15,19 158:1,4	employee 99:19	66:3 68:25 138:22	explained 10:20	fat 80:23
159:9	162:12	essence 130:19	12:21 131:14	<b>favor</b> 5:10 19:4
<b>draw</b> 116:14	employees 5:17	essentially 41:15	explicitly 76:6	109:18 111:19
dressing 152:22	endeavor 142:11	135:14 136:1 137:7	express 23:18	114:13 118:11
drills 102:3,4	ended 14:25	<b>ESSER</b> 13:18,19	extensively 137:21	119:13 125:5
drive 107:18	ends 123:23	48:19	extent 143:2 148:8	feasible 111:15
driving 66:4	enforcement 32:5,8	establish 115:11	153:22	117:17
dropped 136:18	50:11,23 51:7 54:10	established 6:3 38:7	externally 67:6	<b>February</b> 1:16 46:23
due 15:19 158:11	62:19,20,22,24 63:1	et 83:21	extra 35:20 97:22	49:23 162:15
<b>uue</b> 15.17 150.11	63:6,16,17,23 64:5	evaluation 76:2	98:11	<b>Federal</b> 7:24
Ε	64:11,17,18 68:22	158:14	<b>extreme</b> 50:20	fee 27:25
E 37:6 41:25,25	75:24 76:3,5,7,22	event 152:24	eye 55:14	feel 22:3 47:20 58:5,6
42:17 78:7,16,23	76:25 77:1 81:16	everybody 8:11	cyc 55.14	58:7,13 98:18 115:6
81:4	117:9 118:21	11:12 12:7,17 45:3	F	141:24 149:15
earlier 14:23 77:15	enforcement's 62:17	123:19 128:11	<b>F-rated</b> 55:17	<b>FERPA</b> 67:3
early 12:13	62:23	147:2 151:20	face 97:15	<b>Fertig</b> 2:2 5:23,24,25
easier 121:20 135:4	engage 38:15	154:10 161:4,5	faced 98:14	8:15 9:17,23 10:11
easiest 115:13	engaged 19:22	everyone's 74:16,17	facilities 125:15	10:18 11:18,25 13:2
easily 51:5	engines 66:5	75:19	130:15 133:20	13:9 14:16,21 17:2
easy 120:21	<b>enjoy</b> 64:21	exact 111:10 120:25	134:3 135:10	29:14,17 34:2 37:7
<b>EdPlan</b> 66:16	enroll 92:23	149:25	147:16,18 155:7	46:3,10 48:4,12,15
<b>EdSpec</b> 134:20	enrolled 91:6,23	Exactly 97:25 115:19	facilities-related	53:17 55:21 56:18
139:14 144:8	enrolling 88:13	example 40:7 43:2	160:5	58:22 59:6,15 66:7
EdSpecs 145:15	enrolls 92:9	81:22 96:2,3 100:23	facility 138:23	66:19 69:6 73:5,12
educate 154:11	ensure 5:18 38:12	103:12 131:13	fact 8:20 18:21 30:9	77:13 79:7,19 84:3
education 6:19 7:23	58:12 61:24 64:7	132:14,22 133:2	36:3 55:9 77:16	86:23 87:2,10,12,14
7:24 57:17 67:12	72:14,23 81:10	132:14,22 133:2	142:14 157:1 161:1	87:16 88:2 89:6,14
68:4 72:8 93:7	115:8 116:7,24	151:1 154:14	factor 28:23	89:24 90:4 91:1,4
educational 87:25	ensured 64:17 116:9	examples 88:3	factors 32:24 89:21	92:5,10,14,24 93:12
effectiveness 74:16	ensures 92:7	excellent 60:24	93:8	94:12,16,19 95:2
112:1,9,18,19	ensuring 117:5,5,14	exceptions 30:2 35:25	<b>fail</b> 35:1 117:13	98:1,25 99:24 105:7
113:19 114:5	enter 100:13 104:16	excerpt 7:8	failed 36:2 56:16,16	105:24 107:5,25
efforts 123:19	entered 5:24 20:9	excoriate 143:19	88:6,7	103.24 107.3,25
<b>eight</b> 151:7	72:15	executive 2:10 12:24	<b>failing</b> 47:22 147:23	110:23,25 111:6
either 11:16 34:4	entering 104:5	73:25 106:25	148:17	112:12,13 113:7,12
73:19 75:7 76:14	entertaining 21:4	existing 154:21	<b>failure</b> 32:14 55:6	112:12,13 113:7,12
81:13 128:8 131:16	entire 110:3,12 111:4	exists 53:16 69:3	62:6 147:19,20,20	114:9,22 117:8
136:18,25 150:2	136:17	exit 94:4	147:20	118:8 119:2,9,10
electrical 138:5,9,12	entitled 21:22	exiting 94:8	failures 34:8 36:4	120:5,10,20 121:7
139:17,25	entity 68:10	exits 94:9	fair 55:12 56:2	121:21,25 122:9,13
elementary 25:7	entrance 94:6	expand 81:14	116:19	123:1,9 124:21
60:18 121:23	equate 55:22	expect 74:6	fairly 149:19	125:2,10,24 126:3,5
elements 135:13	equip 57:11	expectation 153:7,7	fall 135:23 152:4	126:9,15,18 127:1,5
eligible 108:11	equitable 154:12	expectation 155.7,7 expectations 150:10	falling 34:13	127:9 128:2,25
Ely 139:19	Ernie 2:16 16:21	150:20	<b>familiar</b> 20:24 147:14	129:4,13,17 131:23
email 91:22	82:16	expelled 99:4,10,18	family 65:6,12	132:12 133:24
emails 10:8 12:8	Ernie's 29:7	99:19	far 6:8 25:19 28:1	132:12 133:24
embarrassing 71:5	errors 23:11	experience 56:1	36:22 49:9 60:11	140:8,22 142:6,15
emergency 82:25	<b>ESE</b> 12:24 93:6	experienced 54:5	122:21 130:25	143:9,16,21 146:4
emotion 146:16	147:18	expertise 77:21	135:24 136:16	146:11,19 148:12
empathized 55:7	especially 15:4 63:7	explain 62:17	161:2	148:18,23 150:9
-	T	<b>I</b>		
	1	1	1	1

				1
151:7 152:19,24	flagged 103:9	143:2 153:20	110:14 111:8	12:25 20:4 21:17
153:25 155:3,11,13	flawed 150:14 152:18	156:11	Georgia 96:5	23:10 24:14 25:23
157:22	flexibility 7:13	found 6:7 55:9	Gerri 17:15,25	26:8 27:13 28:14
Fertig's 122:22 137:8	floor 1:24 152:10	139:24	getting 10:6 13:2 15:1	29:25 32:20 33:13
fidelity 38:12 60:10	flooring 152:12	foundation 152:1,2	58:2 67:5 69:11	35:23 37:9,11 42:8
<b>figure</b> 113:6	Florida 1:13 7:23	152:15,17	75:20 82:19 98:6	42:10 44:2 47:5
<b>file</b> 26:22	67:22 68:19 69:2	four 46:16 105:6	105:21 123:9,14	48:2,5,6 52:3 55:16
<b>filed</b> 50:13,14,17	139:15 162:2,5,16	147:19 158:23	124:23 125:12	56:5,17 57:10 61:6
117:10	<b>flows</b> 57:8	<b>fourth</b> 49:8	140:17,24 144:1,6	61:25 62:3 63:4
files 20:14 26:11	focus 128:19 154:10	Frank 81:9	144:14 148:12,13	64:25 66:7 67:13
74:22 75:17	focused 20:5	frankly 47:1 160:3	give 49:22 50:1 55:12	70:13 73:9,21 75:2
<b>final</b> 56:11	<b>folder</b> 83:5	frequent 19:23 85:23	73:6 88:2 121:3	75:14 82:2 85:19
finalized 36:17	follow 7:4 30:4 38:7	frequently 21:18	127:14 130:1	86:2,17 89:9 91:1,5
Financial 7:18	38:14 43:15 44:19	22:14	131:24 133:2	91:7 92:25 93:16
financially 162:13	53:21,22 78:12	friendly 107:22 108:3	159:17 160:10	94:2,7,11,23 104:2
<b>find</b> 60:3 65:13 76:13	85:12 119:22	friends 67:16	given 15:4 27:9 39:22	104:2,25 105:5
76:14 87:10 142:17	139:12 144:8,9	front 102:18	48:19 139:11	109:25 110:1
151:1	<b>follow-up</b> 7:5 37:5,24	<b>frustrated</b> 47:10	148:19,21,25	118:24 119:18,25
<b>findings</b> 7:5 32:1	53:17 81:19 89:25	<b>full</b> 26:8 46:12 148:6	<b>giving</b> 98:10	121:7 122:4 125:18
<b>fine</b> 55:8 108:10	90:6,8,13,14 150:5	fully 35:13,13	<b>go</b> 11:20 14:25 15:10	129:18 131:6,25
113:25	followed 25:17 44:23	fundamental 28:2	15:12 21:25 28:19	133:23 134:2,13
<b>finish</b> 16:1 54:1 70:24	116:9 122:15 134:8	<b>funding</b> 10:21 11:9	28:21,22 30:14	136:7,20 138:3,8,23
73:15 109:1 133:4	following 4:1 25:18	12:8,15 13:16,20	33:16 37:14 38:22	138:24 140:22
<b>finished</b> 132:19	41:10 48:25 64:8	14:3 15:9,15,16	39:22 45:6,23 46:5	141:1 142:17 143:4
133:16 137:12	force 125:16 130:15	144:25 145:1	46:6 47:23 50:10,15	145:17,21 147:10
143:11	133:21 134:3	<b>funds</b> 11:14 13:18	50:19 56:21 58:22	150:2 152:13
<b>finishes</b> 137:23	135:10 138:8 147:9	15:10,12 35:15	59:9,12,21 62:5	153:10,20 154:15
fire 12:12 66:5 102:3	148:22 160:6	48:19	64:6,6 65:4,12,16	156:7 159:20,24
140:20 142:21	forced 148:5,11	further 8:13 109:16	69:2 70:4 73:4 75:1	160:14,22
<b>firm</b> 18:1 31:5 160:20	foregoing 162:7	111:13 114:10	82:12 86:1,24 88:14	<b>gold</b> 140:2
160:21	<b>forensic</b> 6:20	119:21 131:7 150:1	89:11,21 91:13	<b>gonna</b> 107:17
<b>firms</b> 159:15	forget 25:25 52:13	151:16 162:10 <b>future</b> 43:9 62:2	92:15,20 93:8,13,18	<b>good</b> 4:3,8,15 5:24,25
<b>first</b> 10:12 12:5 17:14 22:11 27:13 29:13	116:4	156:24	95:12 96:22 102:16	16:19,21,23 17:24 25:6 26:18 27:2
	<b>form</b> 6:8,11 31:21	130:24	106:15 107:10	
29:20 30:22,22 40:22 41:22 69:18	41:1 51:6,9,17	G	109:2 117:9 121:22 124:6 125:18 129:8	28:20 32:3 33:20,21 71:21 73:7 75:2
40:22 41:22 69:18 74:20 79:15 92:8	52:15,17 53:2,7 84:24 102:20	<b>gain</b> 127:8	130:12,14,24 131:7	86:23 96:6 105:4
96:12 99:10 100:4	118:17,20,22 119:1	<b>GAP</b> 23:13 24:3	141:12 143:1,6	108:18 109:25
101:6,19 110:25	118:17,20,22 119:1 119:6	gaps 153:7	141:12 143:1,6 146:5 147:25 148:5	108:18 109:25
111:11 114:3 131:3	formal 100:18,22	<b>GASB</b> 23:13	150:13 151:13	133:21 137:18
132:4 141:25	102:11 104:13	gather 83:6	154:8 155:23 156:3	151:24 159:4 161:3
147:11	former 10:25 14:12	gearing 25:14	156:4 157:10,21	gotten 41:19 47:9
<b>firsthand</b> 54:5	forms 6:11 20:9	general 7:23 13:18	158:1,16,21 159:1	49:8 64:13 74:5
<b>fiscal</b> 9:10 12:16	<b>Fort</b> 1:13,24 162:15	77:11 93:7	goals 77:10 78:18	160:19
five 10:2,2 36:9	forth 29:24 95:12	generates 95:13	<b>GOB</b> 135:19	governing 24:4
126:14 129:22	98:23,24 131:15	gentleman 141:25	<b>God</b> 108:22	gowns 131:13 160:21
159:15	132:7 150:8	geographic 80:6,9	goes 66:8,22 72:16	grade 55:21
<b>fix</b> 145:5	fortunate 70:9	geographical 60:23	82:8 93:21 96:4	grammatical 43:15
<b>fixed</b> 30:10 93:20	fortunately 95:16	geographically 60:13	101:16 133:12,17	grand 128:19,22
<b>fixtures</b> 140:3	forward 11:15 79:7	60:20 93:1 110:5	139:8 158:13	131:5,17 147:8
<b>FL</b> 1:24	105:10 126:20	geographically-bas	going 9:4,12 11:19	159:18,21,23
				·····
L	1	1	1	

160:17,23,24	happened 34:12 96:8	hire 106:4,19 107:16	ignore 22:1	76:22
grant 65:8 152:21	141:15 148:24	109:5	ignored 148:22	including 57:17 61:7
granted 62:7 160:7	156:2,13 160:9	hired 152:10	imagine 55:16 73:19	135:19
great 51:20 56:11	happening 27:16 64:8	historically 90:16	immaterial 22:23	incorporate 137:9
138:20	69:13 86:6,19 94:1	hit 115:12 116:2	immediate 31:22	incorporated 136:11
gross 142:13	97:14 104:22,24	141:5	38:13 41:2,12 63:3	<b>increase</b> 23:3 35:16
group 3:3 17:16 18:1	156:9 157:9	hold 54:8 56:22 112:5	immediately 45:1,2	increased 23:3
18:6,20 64:20 71:11	happens 6:7 34:17	114:2	63:9 64:11 67:24	Independent 7:16,20
77:21 112:21	88:9,12 91:18	holding 126:16	69:11 72:22,23	independently 130:7
growth 97:18	happily 13:8	hollow 152:14	81:24 82:3 92:2	indicate 18:23
Gualtieri 67:18	happy 23:3 137:24,25	Holmberg 66:4	101:18 123:7	indication 98:21
guess 8:7 27:5 29:22	138:3	home 57:8 58:4 64:6	imminent 41:3,13	99:13
62:14 63:20 79:2	hard 10:5 40:17	65:16 89:20 90:8	63:2,5,7,15	individual 106:5,21
81:18 91:8,8 92:10	132:8	91:13 107:18	imminently 44:21	108:7 109:6
99:9 101:4 138:12	Harpalani 2:9 17:4,4	honest 47:5 72:10	impact 27:24,24	individually 39:12
140:22 157:19	health 38:9,9,13,15	honestly 70:10	29:23 57:21 97:23	110:1
guest 18:25	39:23 53:7 57:2	hope 17:24 20:20	105:14,19 134:5	ineligible 107:12
guests 2:20 3:2 5:18	64:24 67:1 68:22	105:15 123:22	impacting 30:22	<b>inform</b> 96:7 147:20
18:20	76:1,1 83:15,20	153:21,21	154:24	information 2:9
guidance 43:5 54:25	97:9,12,13	hopefully 13:9,9	implement 67:20 92:8	26:13 27:2,3 28:12
56:4 83:21 86:13	hear 5:21 17:21,25	hoping 132:2	101:7	35:6 39:15 43:14
guide 55:8 135:12	31:11,14 35:19	horrible 55:6 70:11	implementation 60:9	44:18 45:1 67:3,3,4
136:6	40:17 43:21 56:5	96:8	implemented 100:10	67:25 68:12 69:3
guidelines 11:10,13	57:21 90:5 104:25	horribly 145:4	implied 76:25	71:9 75:12 76:18,18
13:7	128:8,10 137:8	host 133:8	<b>imply</b> 76:5	78:11 83:6,11 84:1
guides 6:14	158:3	hour 70:23 75:20	important 24:7 26:9	88:14 95:5,7 98:19
<b>Gums</b> 2:22 126:7,8	heard 35:12 81:1	hours 10:2,3 88:19	33:6 53:20 71:16	103:23 126:24
guy 108:18	138:6,9,14 145:18	95:7	72:3 123:2,16	148:19,22 149:1
guys 16:10 26:4	hearing 127:8	Hudge 86:18 96:25	133:11,12 156:11	150:14 158:2,3,7,8
40:18 79:4 119:20	Heery 151:18	huge 55:3 56:24,24	156:22 157:1,10	158:13,15,23
131:21 143:5	held 34:6 75:21	<b>Huh</b> 77:12	imposition 31:7	informational 126:23
	hell 70:16 108:15,23	<b>human</b> 77:20	improperly 11:2	148:15
H	help 34:3 64:22,22	humble 86:21	<b>improve</b> 123:19	Ingraham 159:17
<b>H</b> 40:9 41:4	99:11,12 135:12	HVAC 139:24,25	improved 64:14	initial 11:3 137:4
half 47:2 75:20	141:23	140:7 141:2,18	143:18	innovation 57:16
hall 17:2	helpful 10:7		improvement 68:24	<b>input</b> 51:8
hand 15:20 29:12	helping 30:13 34:2	I	101:17	<b>inside</b> 54:23
59:13,16 70:23	helps 154:9 158:12	<b>i.e</b> 138:25	incentive 47:20	intake 31:21 41:1
132:1	Henderson 68:10	<b>idea</b> 49:7 67:9 73:7	incident 72:21 91:16	51:6,9,17 52:15,17
handed 160:22	Hermine 2:10 17:10	74:3 112:16	99:7	118:17,20,22 119:1
handle 95:10	hesitancy 85:10	identified 10:8 41:3	incidents 47:12 72:15	119:6
handled 53:15 98:5	hey 61:14 131:20	41:14 51:18 81:20	72:20	integrated 66:24
143:24	<b>Hi</b> 18:5	82:1	include 49:3 100:15	intend 135:23 142:2
handles 69:24	high 25:6 50:3 60:17	identifies 52:23	110:5,13 111:8	intended 92:15
hands 29:12	62:24 65:24 89:11	identify 13:16,20	114:20 115:2,15	intending 135:13
hanging 38:5	145:5,10	14:2 23:10,12 43:3	118:5 123:2 135:13	intense 98:13
haphazardly 145:21	high-priority 7:25	61:16 62:4 80:12	included 7:9 9:3	intent 68:17 136:17
happen 14:25 73:2	higher 25:15,15	84:20,21	20:16 25:25 27:12	intentions 132:10,13
88:9 92:2 93:21	47:13 50:7	identifying 105:25	50:22 62:20 123:5	interest 121:8
95:18 132:6 146:1	highest 22:4 30:25	<b>IEP</b> 87:21	136:8 154:23 155:4	interested 122:10,17
151:5 160:8	highlighted 154:6	<b>IEPs</b> 95:12	includes 9:7 18:20	162:14

	_	_	_	
interface 67:22	128:13 152:1,2,23	116:12 124:4	135:23 136:17,19	153:23 154:2 155:6
interim 73:20,21	160:22	jobs 108:17	137:14 144:2 146:3	156:7 157:5,24
interject 84:14	item 5:4 7:25 16:4,14	jogging 159:11	147:6	158:2,4,5,20 159:1
internal 7:17,19,20	18:15 19:16 32:21	<b>Joris</b> 2:8 4:8 28:25	knew 12:7 40:21	159:21 160:12
14:20 18:3 21:16	53:10 56:14	42:8	knock 117:19	knowing 95:11
24:16 37:20 53:22	items 12:9 25:15 31:1	jot 52:6	know 9:8 12:11,25	knowledge 132:3
160:4	85:13 100:14	judgment 33:7 72:5	14:1 21:2 22:11,12	knowledgeable 142:9
internally 67:6	134:22 139:1 141:7	judgmental 33:2	23:19 24:4 26:5,13	known 43:13 44:17
147:23	<b>IV(D)(c)</b> 40:8	judgmentally 24:17	26:17 27:2,13,21,23	47:22 78:11
interpreting 147:12		25:4,5,14 116:15	30:11,19 31:6 32:12	knows 78:5 92:2
interrupt 66:22	J	Judith 2:14 16:19	34:2,3,5,10,10,12	
interrupting 33:24	<b>Jabouin</b> 2:8 4:8,9,13	July 9:6,7,12 15:14	34:15 35:7,8,8	L
intervene 57:11	4:16,18,20,23,25	46:20,25 88:20	36:21 39:15 41:22	labor 14:3
intervention 37:6,25	5:2,15,16 6:1 15:25	jumping 46:11	41:24 42:3,5,18	lack 134:5 138:19
38:17 60:6	16:2,13,17 17:14	132:16	47:10,22,25 49:1	153:5,6,6
intimately 157:4	18:7,12 19:9,18	<b>June</b> 9:9	51:20,22,25 53:18	lady 40:14 124:1
introduction 19:18	25:12 28:1,8 29:1	jury 128:19,22 131:5	54:14 55:11 56:3,12	laid 130:25
<b>Inventory</b> 2:10,11	37:18 40:15 42:10	131:18 147:9	56:13 57:1,7,12,15	language 56:8 95:15
investigate 57:15	42:20 45:21 48:8,14	159:18,21,23	58:3 59:14 60:25	117:1,22
113:9,13	59:1 79:12,13,21,22	160:18,23	61:3,8,8,22,23 62:3	Lanier-James 88:7
investigating 66:1	80:8,16 81:3,12	justice 68:12	62:5,6,9,12,13,15	93:4 97:5
investigation 128:19	83:13 84:2 87:1	juvenile 68:12	63:21 65:13,18,20	large 21:19 31:5
132:2	101:16 106:16		66:22 67:2,6,8,8,15	45:19 48:1 86:5
investment 35:15	109:4,15 110:6,10	K	67:25 68:11 70:3	162:5
<b>INVITED</b> 2:20	111:10 112:4,11	<b>K-12</b> 68:7	71:3,14,17,18,25	larger 29:8 36:6
invoice 13:23,24,25	113:23 114:25	<b>KC</b> 1:12	72:7 73:1,14 74:4	45:20 96:10 137:16
invoices 8:23 9:3,24	119:3,7 121:14,16	<b>KCW</b> 140:20	74:15,18 75:2,3,4,6	Larica 3:3 17:18,22
10:6,9,10,15 11:1,6	121:19 122:2,19	keep 19:14 79:15,20	77:22 78:18 83:22	18:5
11:7,12,23,24 12:3	123:7 124:7,8,16,24	103:17 104:2 143:7	85:1 86:20 87:23	lastly 71:14
13:15,20 14:23,25	125:13 126:2,4,13	147:8	88:23 91:9 93:4	Lauderdale 1:13,24
15:3,3,6,10,14	126:19,24 127:13	keeping 78:13	94:20 95:3,5,9,12	162:16
<b>involve</b> 140:19	127:18 128:6 129:3	keeps 75:23	95:12,14 96:25	law 25:20 32:4,8
<b>involved</b> 15:24,24	130:3 131:1,9,22	kept 103:23 144:7	97:14 98:11,17,17	50:11,22 51:7 54:10
43:13 44:17 45:3	132:5 133:19	key 117:20 125:18	99:11,15 103:3,4,4	62:16,19,20,21,23
50:24 58:2 62:22	134:21,24 135:6,7	kick 20:21 117:24	103:25 104:10,19	62:24,25 63:5,16,17
63:8 66:24 78:10	149:4,6,12,20	kid 54:18,20 57:24	105:7,10,13 106:24	63:22 64:5,11,17,18
108:22 112:25	150:18,24 152:3	99:9	108:20,22 110:15	68:22 71:7 75:24
113:2,3 157:3,5,7	153:8,15 157:17	<b>kidding</b> 71:6	119:23 120:15	76:3,5,6,16,22,25
involvement 50:11	158:21 159:6 161:5	kids 47:9,19 48:11	121:7 124:2 127:2,3	77:1 81:16 117:9
51:22 62:17	<b>Jaclyn</b> 2:6 5:2 27:8	58:18 71:6 99:14	127:3 129:22	118:21 I
involves 72:4	29:11	<b>kill</b> 160:1	131:21 132:16	Lazarre 17:15,15,24
irregularities 23:11	<b>James</b> 2:10 17:10,10	<b>Kim</b> 2:17 16:23 69:24	133:3,3,8,11,17	17:25
isolated 58:6 65:15	<b>Jamie</b> 2:25 18:10 20:3	70:2 121:18 122:3 122:20	135:8,21 136:12,16	lead 9:10 147:20
<b>issue</b> 6:5 10:21 13:17		kind 29:25 30:3,12	136:19 137:12,18	leaders 72:17
38:19 41:25 42:1,16	<b>January</b> 6:20 7:7 20:22 46:23	31:4 59:6 60:8	137:20,23 139:11	leads 63:6 leaking 155:10
44:5 66:2 77:4	<b>jaws</b> 144:24	76:18 79:3 84:1,18	140:2,8 141:23	learn 156:12
80:15 105:18	<b>Jen</b> 18:11	85:8 86:9,10 89:25	142:8,14 143:8,17	learned 157:7
123:16 154:25	<b>Jennifer</b> 2:9,11,24	90:6,8,13 98:9	144:9,22 145:9,15	learning 88:22
155:15 issues 34:23 62:14,16	17:4,8 116:6,7,17	100:9 105:13,20	146:18,19 147:7,7 149:12 150:4,6	leave 100:1 124:18
65:14 67:1 105:12	<b>job</b> 34:1,4 54:13 55:9	117:19 133:16	151:8 152:16,23	leaving 125:23
05.14 07.1 105.12	Jos 5 111, 1 5 1115 5519	11,11, 100,10	151.0 152.10,25	
L	1	1	1	1

<b>left</b> 10:22 11:14	96:22	love 14:3 111:18	102:22 103:10,22	manager 2:9,10,17
143:19	log 83:9 101:8 102:2	112:16	102:22 103:10,22	16:25 135:17
legal 14:9,10 68:11	103:17,19 104:15	lower 138:11	104:7,17 105:6	Mancini 2:15 85:20
68:11,14	logs 83:1 100:23	<b>Lozano</b> 2:16 16:21,22	107:16 108:4,17,24	85:21
legalese 67:2	103:12	20:1 32:3,19 33:19	107:16 108:4,17,24 109:14,24 110:7,11	mandatory 6:14 41:2
legislation 67:19 68:7	long 13:13 70:5 154:5	33:21 34:11,22	110:18 111:3,7,24	41:12 101:9
legwork 12:14	160:9	48:17,18 49:12,13	110.18 111.3,7,24	manifestation 87:22
length 47:3 93:17	long-range 156:14,17	49:21 50:8 51:2,5	112.3,8 113.2,13,10	manner 154:12
lengthier 28:13	long-term 134:6	52:2,10,15,17 56:5	114:25 115:2,10,17	manpower 58:17
lesson 139:9,10	138:20 139:3 144:8	57:23 62:14 63:2	115:21 116:1,10,22	manual 20:7 102:12
lessons 153:17 156:5	look 7:5 32:4,17	64:2 66:13 67:14,16	117:3,11,23 118:3	102:22
let's 4:3 63:13 68:9	39:21 40:3,6 45:3,8	68:19 69:17,22 70:7	118:16 119:4,18	manually 13:22,22
71:17 79:7,10 90:7	45:10 47:4 51:9,16	71:5,12,21 72:12	120:7,12,23 126:17	map 136:1,17
94:24 97:15 109:1,2	53:2,5,6 58:12,15	81:19,25 82:16	127:2,7,17 128:3,10	March 7:15 8:11
134:17 146:9 147:4	60:17 61:16 62:12	83:14,18 84:12	128:18 129:23	10:23 16:4 46:23
153:1,19	69:15 83:25 87:24	85:17,19 87:8,11,13	134:1,15,19,21,23	126:15 128:11
letter 7:19 55:21	90:18 105:19 118:1	87:20 88:4 89:12,16	135:2 137:25 138:2	129:2,3
letters 53:19 156:15	118:2 121:16,20	90:16 91:3,15 92:6	138:2 140:14,25	Marjory 55:18,23
letting 73:4	122:7 126:1 128:22	92:13,21 93:3,24	142:1,14,22 144:1	Markham 144:25
level 32:7 33:9 42:22	130:8 131:21	94:4,8,15,18 95:22	144:12 146:8,23	Marquardt 2:10
49:16,17,19,25 50:3	135:25 136:8	95:24 96:24 101:4,6	147:8,24 148:15,21	18:14 125:1
50:20 60:12 63:5	137:15 148:4,23	101:24 102:1,7,15	149:11 150:16,22	Marte 2:14 16:8,18
69:1 82:8 103:8	149:8 150:24	102:24 103:15	151:6,10 152:7,22	16:19,19 22:20
106:5,20 108:6	151:14 153:19	105:23 106:23	153:2 154:13 155:5	70:13 124:17
109:5 115:12 116:1	156:23 158:19	107:4,10 120:9,14	155:12,15 156:1,16	155:22,25 156:10
life 138:4 139:17	looked 23:18 32:7	120:18 121:14,18	156:19,25 157:15	156:18,21 157:13
lifecycle 134:11	51:23 54:13 89:2	121:23 122:4,11,18	157:19 159:9	157:18
<b>lift</b> 59:16	128:16 131:5	122:24 123:17,22	Lynch-Walsh's	Mary 2:2,18 29:12,16
light 71:2 75:3	looking 36:1,1 37:11	124:1	133:20 156:13	66:21 89:25 94:22
lighting 138:15	40:4,20,22,24 42:23	luck 152:25	158:1	114:23 119:10
line 12:9 32:21 53:10	45:24 46:25 47:25	Lynch-Walsh 2:4		massacre 36:10
123:3,9 139:1 141:7	51:17 53:1 80:11	4:21,22 5:8,9 7:1	M	massage 139:1
lined 66:5	84:22,23 128:23	8:6,7,19 9:21 10:1	<b>ma'am</b> 115:19,20	masses 58:18
<b>lines</b> 123:4	130:5 136:20 137:8	10:12,19 11:22 12:2	Mack 158:4	massive 58:19
list 73:6 80:19 101:10	139:16,20	13:6,11 14:22 15:21	magnet 91:12	match 13:24 15:4,4
101:14 105:7	looks 21:7	15:23 16:9,15 19:3	main 69:23 94:7	38:7
121:17 141:11	<b>Lori</b> 144:17	22:6 24:12,13 25:3	155:8	matched 13:16
154:5	lose 58:8,9 125:21	25:11,13,18 29:10	mainstream 96:22	matching 13:22,22
listening 140:9	lost 127:4,5	29:11 33:15,16	97:18	math 49:15
listing 136:1,12 137:4	lot 12:20 29:19 33:3	36:24,25 37:8,13,22	maintenance 100:22	<b>matrix</b> 20:24 55:2
listings 136:4	47:8,19 48:20 50:7	37:23 38:4 39:10,16		Matt 130:23
lists 21:21	50:20 53:19 55:12	40:1,11,20 41:6,11	major 132:24 148:24	matter 30:23 33:6
literally 14:22 77:7	56:19 57:2,10 66:2	41:18,25 42:13	majority 97:6	131:6 157:15
litigation 162:13	86:9 90:17,22 92:11	43:20,25 44:6 46:8	making 10:3 55:4	Matters 8:5
little 22:7 28:18 37:3	105:11 118:22	54:3 59:12,17 70:18	66:10 69:13 73:24	Matthew 2:21 126:6
66:5 80:17,17 85:9	135:4 141:15 143:3	70:21 71:1,20 73:3	100:2 108:2 125:10	<b>max</b> 30:18
130:1 144:21,22	147:4,5,17 150:6	73:8,17 76:14,19,23	125:11 134:23	<b>Mayersohn</b> 2:5 4:23
<b>live</b> 81:24	152:25 155:8 157:1	77:14,24 78:6,15,23	153:18 156:24	4:24 5:6 18:18 19:1
local 132:3	157:2,2,7	79:1,11,14 80:6,15	<b>management</b> 6:19	31:13,15 43:22
location 80:7,9 88:23	loud 134:10,14,16	80:20 81:1,8 100:6	7:19 83:1 106:4,20	44:10 52:8,11 55:23
locations 87:21 93:10	<b>loudly</b> 5:19	101:21,25 102:5,8	108:6 109:5	59:18,20 60:16,19
				<u> </u>

Г

	1	1	1	
61:12 63:13 65:3	114:12,15,17,23	28:1 82:5 124:17	mikes 17:23	16:21,23 17:24 32:3
66:21 68:3,8 69:4	115:5 118:9,11,13	138:18 139:15	million 65:9 138:25	70:1,3 130:23
72:1 80:3 94:22	118:15 119:8,10,13	148:8 149:20	151:4 154:17	<b>mother</b> 35:21
95:3,21,23 96:13,19	119:15,17 123:10	151:15	mind 19:14 142:17	motion 5:6 18:18
110:17 111:18	123:13 124:5,12,14	mentioned 71:15 72:1	143:14,17 147:8	19:1,8 75:10,12
124:13 125:4	125:5,7,9 126:21	74:19 79:25 80:9	<b>mine</b> 37:23	79:9 98:18 99:24,25
126:23 129:6,10,14	128:5,7 129:8	81:19 97:17 116:17	minute 17:3 45:7	100:4 105:5 106:3
129:20 149:3	134:17 157:14,16	135:8 148:11 154:4	70:19	107:5,9 109:10,22
155:21 156:3	159:4,8 161:4	mentioning 124:22	minutes 5:19 6:23 7:8	111:23 114:17
157:24	meet 88:12 156:15	mentions 14:6	18:16 129:22	118:15 119:17,24
McArthur 145:6	meeting 1:5 4:4 6:16	<b>Meo</b> 2:4 4:18,19	136:21	119:25 120:2,4,24
mean 9:21 22:5 25:4	6:21,24 7:15 8:11	30:20,21 31:5,14,16	<b>minutia</b> 26:17,18	121:3,10 124:25
32:25 35:7 36:2,4	16:5 18:22 19:12,13	31:24 32:1,10,20	mirrors 152:17	125:9,13,20 128:13
51:22,25 55:5,11,13	20:18 32:6 34:25	36:7 37:1 41:17,20	<b>missed</b> 15:6 35:9 57:4	129:15 144:17
61:20 62:13 65:19	35:3 44:12 66:3	42:3 43:12,23 44:2	60:5 109:10	motions 75:14 79:15
66:21 67:7 79:8	70:1 74:7 85:22	44:8,12 46:7 51:24	missing 14:25 31:19	100:3 105:6,8 125:3
94:16 95:12 99:10	88:11 93:20 94:4,7	52:5,13,16,19,24	62:11 76:3	move 20:23 21:3
101:2 108:15	94:10 99:17 113:8	53:9,13 69:15 70:9	misspoke 156:18	51:14 79:7,19 88:23
117:19 120:21	122:7 126:15 129:2	70:24 71:3,13,25	mistakes 30:9 146:7	90:9,16 105:10
122:14 123:3	130:13 148:9	73:3,7 76:12,15,20	156:12,23	110:3,11 111:3
129:14 134:15	149:14 150:25	77:18 78:2,14,21,24	misunderstanding	112:1,8 113:18,22
137:20 146:6,10	151:3 152:4 153:3	99:25 100:4 105:5	39:4	114:4,20 115:2
150:17 158:19	156:2 157:21	106:2,13,18 107:14	mitigate 154:14	118:3,6,24 119:4
meaningful 142:11	158:22 159:7 161:6	108:5,15,18,21	mitigating 106:1	120:1 125:2 126:19
meaningless 26:25	meetings 9:16 10:9	109:10,11,13	<b>mix</b> 25:6	144:5 146:9 156:11
means 21:24 24:24	64:21 73:18 75:13	112:14,16,22 113:4	modified 136:2 137:3	moved 29:17 90:11
44:25 64:5 72:7	88:15,25 100:12	113:11,14 116:4,7	mold 141:19,20	91:16
88:8 108:24	101:9 124:19	116:13,19 117:2,21	<b>Mom</b> 44:6	moves 67:23
meant 147:15	136:21	121:2 123:6,25	<b>moment</b> 131:1,2	moving 60:3 144:22
measurable 117:5	<b>meets</b> 87:25	128:8,16 129:25	money 10:22 97:22	147:3
measure 115:22,22	<b>member</b> 3:4 6:6,7,25	130:21 131:3,19	143:22 147:5 154:6	<b>MSD</b> 67:18
measures 100:20	6:25 18:21,24 55:7	132:8 141:23 142:2	<b>monitor</b> 101:8	multiple 9:23 89:21
media 145:10 152:10	82:7 155:14 158:10	142:7,23 143:14,18	monitoring 39:21	93:8 135:22
152:12,15,16	members 2:1 5:11,17	144:3,11 146:6,9,15	50:5 82:24 83:3	multiply 46:15
<b>Medvin</b> 2:2 4:3,25	5:20 6:3,12,17 19:5	147:4,22 148:16	88:17 89:5 91:22	multitude 65:16
5:1,4,7,9,12,14 8:6	20:12 21:13 32:9,16		92:4,7 94:12,13,14	<b>murky</b> 116:21
15:25 17:20 18:13	43:3 58:1 84:21	<b>Meo's</b> 41:15 45:22	100:16,24 103:13	Murtha 2:24 18:11
18:15,19 19:2,4,6,8	109:19 111:20	50:10 63:21 156:6	103:13,24 104:1,10	18:11 20:2,3 21:10
19:10,15 21:7,11,20	114:14 118:12	Meredith 2:9 17:12	104:23 117:11,12	22:24 24:11 25:2
22:5,7 24:12 29:10	119:14 125:6	messed 145:14	117:13,19 119:19	27:20 28:25 29:2
33:15 36:12,16,19	127:14 142:8	met 6:18 139:5	119:21,22	31:2 42:8 46:12,20
36:20 40:14 45:5	154:18,19,22	methodology 24:25	month 37:5 47:2	51:16 53:4,12 60:15
48:17 49:5 50:7	<b>memo</b> 35:9 130:22	<b>MICHELE</b> 2:10	85:18,23 86:5	60:17,24 80:9 84:14
59:18 70:19 79:13	131:4	microphone 5:20	100:14	111:16 112:20
79:21 85:15 95:16	memorialized 36:9	31:13 87:1	month's 122:13	115:7,15,19,24
99:21 100:2,5	memory 159:11	mid-February 73:21	monthly 100:12	116:6,18 117:25
106:12 109:16,18	mental 38:9,13 39:23	mid-June 12:13	101:8	<b>music</b> 153:18
109:20,22 110:20	53:7 57:2 64:24	middle 25:7,9 47:15	months 28:7 47:2	mutually 73:18
110:23 111:13,15	66:25 68:21 76:1	60:17 121:24	74:3 121:1 130:4	
111:17,19,21,23	83:15,20 97:8,12,13	mike 29:16 43:19,20	morning 4:3,8,15	<u> </u>
112:13,15 114:7,10	mention 11:3 14:6	43:22	5:24,25 6:8 16:19	nagging 75:23
	l	l	l	

	1			1
name 116:5 121:21	12:3,21 81:1 107:7	56:24 57:22 58:19	54:16,23 55:13,20	<b>option</b> 159:2
124:2 151:1	139:5 141:1,15	61:13 75:11 80:21	55:25 56:15 65:3	<b>Orange</b> 152:20
narrow 44:4 140:23	<b>new</b> 6:7 7:6 10:23	numeral 40:24	66:20 70:18 71:13	order 7:12 14:1,1
Natalee 2:23 18:8,9	12:22,22 25:20,20	0	73:8 76:19,23 77:13	42:23 104:22 140:6
40:15,16	25:20 62:2 73:10,23	$\frac{0}{12412}$	80:17 82:23 85:24	141:3
Nathalie 2:4 4:20 7:1	81:13 98:3,3,12	<b>object</b> 134:12	87:4,12 88:2 89:6	organizations 81:17
15:18 133:8	135:20 140:11,16	<b>objective</b> 20:8 21:17	89:24 91:4 92:13,24	oriented 34:7
Nathalie's 108:2	141:17 154:15,20	<b>objectives</b> 77:11	92:24 93:12,25	original 151:12 155:4
154:4	news 96:7	78:18 117:4 observation 138:19	94:19 99:21 100:5	originally 155:11
nature 52:16 77:11	nice 124:4	observation 138.19 observations 147:10	102:9 109:23,24	<b>Orlando</b> 67:18 95:21
Navigate360 100:10	NICOLE 2:15	147:13	110:18 111:7,17,24	<b>outcome</b> 162:14
101:7,22,25 102:1,2	nine 122:15 132:10	<b>obtain</b> 14:4	112:8 113:11,11,12	<b>outside</b> 54:19 64:7,10
<b>necessarily</b> 43:15	143:20 146:5	<b>obvious</b> 71:4 142:25	113:21 119:2,7,18	90:11 91:18
52:3 59:21 66:16	153:11	obviously 6:9 9:10	120:11 122:9	outsiders 6:15
68:4 132:13 145:3 158:24	<b>nobody's</b> 95:14 146:1	27:23 59:23 60:12	123:10 124:5 126:4 127:1 129:13	overall 29:15 123:2
	<b>nontraditional</b> 88:13	61:20 62:13 122:20		overlay 67:22 oversee 69:23
necessary 35:16 44:19 50:21 71:9	89:4 90:21 91:2	149:6	132:16 134:23	
44:19 50:21 71:9 78:12 140:5	92:15 94:9 98:4,22 nontypical 65:18	occur 31:8 58:10	141:12 142:18 143:18 146:20	<b>Oversight</b> 125:17 130:16 135:11
necessitated 15:7	<b>north</b> 61:23	100:21	149:20 153:18	150.10 155.11
need 6:12 8:10 11:17	northeast 62:8	occurred 90:10	149.20 135.18 157:14	P
13:7 14:5,18,24	135:22 140:11,16	143:15	old 15:2,9 154:20	<b>p.m</b> 1:17 161:6
21:18 25:16 30:15	northwest 60:21	occurrences 25:16	160:17	package 6:23 7:9
32:25 39:22,23,24	nos 42:17	occurring 28:17 84:8	older 11:8 15:13	packet 37:5,8,17
48:24 53:16,17	Notary 162:4	104:14	<b>On-Site</b> 85:25	page 18:19,23 20:4
58:11 59:12 69:2	note 8:24 137:23	occurs 31:7 90:19	once 71:23 75:10	20:16,23 24:16 26:9
75:14 79:11,14	noted 8:24 30:2,9	<b>October</b> 20:21 46:22	85:23 145:17	30:1 37:7,9,10,16
96:11 104:24	56:18 85:13 86:2,3	49:13,14	154:16	37:16,17 38:2,5,23
106:24 115:11	notes 79:23 80:4	offered 78:25	<b>OneDrive</b> 83:5,5	38:25 39:5,18 40:9
116:16 117:21	162:9	office 2:7,14,15,16	ones 10:13 22:2 38:19	41:10 42:12 45:6,13
121:3,9 124:24	nothing's 120:4	17:4,6,8,10,12	114:19 138:22	56:15,15 74:20,20
125:20 126:13,19	noticed 139:3	34:11 86:13,14	154:5	77:4,4 81:4 86:24
139:16 141:3,18	notified 31:23 51:7	135:17	ongoing 56:8 72:17	87:2 100:7
143:7,17 145:18	notifies 91:19	officer 2:15,15 11:1	133:1	pages 27:19 29:20
151:2 155:13	notifying 75:24	12:23 51:18 52:2,6	oops 141:17	paid 15:8,14
158:23	November 46:22	officer's 14:12	open 11:11	painful 127:3
needed 15:8 81:23	49:14,16 130:13	officers 38:16	opening 55:14	painted 133:5 142:15
82:25 138:10	number 19:16 21:13	<b>oh</b> 29:17 59:15 71:25	<b>Operations</b> 2:9,14,14	142:16
139:24 140:16	21:16,20 24:5 27:9	78:14 87:2 102:8	16:20	painting 137:13
145:11 148:19,20	33:23 34:7,8 37:7	120:10 124:24	<b>opine</b> 42:23 134:8	<b>Palm</b> 94:25 95:4,6,16
151:17 157:20	37:21 40:25 41:1	126:18 145:17	<b>opined</b> 134:6	panel 91:24 138:10
needs 11:10 15:17	43:17 45:16,18 49:7	okay 5:4 8:16 13:2	opinion 24:1 86:22	138:13
23:2 26:20 55:1,2	50:12 60:10 70:17	15:5,25 16:9 18:15	156:10	paragraph 101:7
56:8 57:24 82:3	71:7 82:17 83:17	21:20 22:5 24:2,21	opportunity 60:6	parallel 63:4
87:25 97:9 99:1	86:24 87:2 98:20	25:3,11 33:22,25	153:24 154:1	parameters 36:21
117:12 124:18	105:20 121:10	34:18 35:4 36:16,18	opposed 5:12 18:24	122:8
138:16 139:6 140:1	122:10	38:3,4 39:10,16	19:6 109:20 111:21	parent 90:18 91:5,17
143:16	numbering 37:18,20	40:1,11,20 41:6,7	114:15 118:13	91:20
<b>neither</b> 162:10	numbers 13:23,24,25	41:11 44:12 45:5	119:15 125:7 132:1	parents 65:21 66:2
<b>network</b> 67:7	29:20 39:6 40:6	50:9,12 51:1,20	158:16	102:20
never 11:5,23,23 12:2	49:11,21,22 50:7	53:3,9,25 54:4,6,15	optics 70:11 158:19	Parkway 145:1
	l	I	I	I

mont 10:2 2 12:11	monfoot 15.5 9(.11.22	70.4 70.25 76.15 24		nuedotes 160.17
part 10:2,2 13:11	<b>perfect</b> 15:5 86:11,22	70:4 72:25 76:15,24	points 50:12 79:25	predates 160:17
18:1 25:14 27:4	<b>perfectly</b> 26:5	81:2 88:20 90:20	125:18 127:11	predict 142:12
28:2 52:8,12 53:18	<b>perform</b> 19:22 142:3	92:1 94:5 98:8	131:25 136:20	prepare 158:24
67:19 82:4 94:15	<b>performance</b> 27:15	102:21 141:14	137:5 153:9	prepared 28:15 45:2
95:19 102:10,17	127:17,18	142:15 156:8	<b>police</b> 31:17,23 50:13	present 5:3 46:16
103:18,24 104:1,11	performed 19:25	placed 65:10 89:20	50:17 51:18 52:2,5	presented 6:20
110:25 130:11	33:13 52:20 136:6	92:21 93:10	52:25 54:6,11 55:8	presumably 15:13,15
139:20 141:19,21	136:10	placement 89:17	66:4 81:15	pretending 144:20
144:16 149:14	performing 30:23	places 15:12 58:16	<b>police's</b> 54:13	pretty 76:21 123:2
152:19 155:17	period 20:19 25:9	<b>plain</b> 56:7	<b>polices</b> 100:22	<b>prevent</b> 156:9
160:7	28:5 35:24 46:20	plan 53:16,16 81:23	<b>policies</b> 14:19 19:20	<b>previous</b> 30:5 88:19
participate 32:5	48:7 80:25	82:19 83:4 88:17,17	31:9 38:15 100:19	135:8
particular 28:5,9	persistent 138:6	89:5 92:4,4,7	102:11	previously 121:5
42:24 47:11 81:6	person 12:20 14:17	100:16 104:1,10,23	policy 19:17 20:7	140:13
85:9 117:15	61:23 69:23 70:12	135:14 137:7	25:20 37:4,14,15	<b>price</b> 154:24
particularly 10:7	107:8,11 109:8	138:20 139:9,10	38:6 39:3 40:4,6,8	primarily 12:16 91:1
45:22 94:20 116:3	113:1 135:5 144:4,5	147:19 155:23	44:16,20,24 72:9	93:5,8
parties 162:11	155:6	156:14,17	75:22,23 76:9 81:11	primary 93:9
partner 85:2 112:20	personal 56:1 103:16	planned 154:21	101:2 102:12 103:1	principal 38:10 47:15
party 162:12	104:6	planning 134:6 139:3	103:20 104:13,16	53:6 54:10 55:3
pass 43:7 120:3	personally 152:5	144:8	104:18,20 114:21	72:3
128:13 141:1	personnel 38:14 69:1	plans 39:22 50:5,6	115:3,9 116:9,23,23	principals 29:5,7
passed 75:10,12	persons 16:7 83:2,8	82:24 100:24	116:25,25 117:7	71:13 72:2 145:18
153:24	perspective 61:18	103:13,14	118:7	prior 9:9 12:14 34:24
passion 123:15,15	104:14 137:19	<b>Plantation</b> 145:5,10	pool 17:16 18:2	69:3 88:12 119:24
<b>patient</b> 59:19	pertinent 158:8	plated 140:2	popped 160:13	prioritize 145:4
patiently 70:22	petrified 151:20	play 76:25	<b>population</b> 24:20	prioritized 30:17
pay 14:24	<b>Ph.D</b> 24:24	please 4:4 5:18,19	26:1,8 27:9 48:15	prioritizes 24:9
payable 11:9	phone 18:7 27:22	15:19 21:12 44:10	61:4,7 110:3,12	priority 7:21 30:25
paying 34:19	40:17 43:17 125:14	79:13,21,22 110:6	111:4	proactive 64:18
payment 15:7	126:5	112:4 113:23 115:1	portable 151:15	proactively 27:7
<b>PCG</b> 3:4 7:6 10:14	photographed 137:20	119:3 130:20	portal 68:20 69:2	probably 24:9 30:25
14:25 101:23	<b>phrase</b> 39:16	133:25	<b>POs</b> 11:14 13:16	47:6 55:22 69:14
157:20 160:22	<b>Phyllis</b> 2:5 4:14 43:20	<b>pledge</b> 4:4,6	<b>position</b> 106:23	133:8,9 151:4
<b>people</b> 12:20,22,25	90:4 107:25 109:12	point 15:1 19:9 26:20	107:24 109:9	152:13
13:21 15:7 25:22	109:14	27:6 28:17,20 29:6	116:14	problem 11:8 12:6
26:14 58:25 59:4,5	<b>physical</b> 100:20	30:14 31:3 34:8	<b>positive</b> 97:19,19	13:13 14:10,11,13
73:14 75:21 86:20	126:14	36:16 37:1 44:24	possession 100:23	96:10 144:20
108:25 118:18	<b>pick</b> 89:23 91:7	48:23 49:5 50:10	103:11,16 104:6	146:24 150:23
127:20 128:3	133:24 137:24	56:9 58:6 59:2	possessions 83:2,9	160:13
141:17,20 143:7	<b>picked</b> 46:21 134:25	60:25 61:6 62:21	possible 145:24	problematic 74:7
144:19 147:13	picture 138:17	63:21 65:5,23 71:22	postpone 7:11	problems 12:5 30:6,7
148:3	<b>pictures</b> 133:6 137:25	73:23 76:24 83:13	potentially 54:22	80:25 153:23
<b>peoples'</b> 132:10,13	138:1	95:18 96:17,17,24	63:15 75:7 85:23	procedure 52:20
<b>percent</b> 30:2 36:2	<b>piece</b> 101:19	107:5,8,18 140:17	91:13 131:11	102:13 103:20
46:14,14 56:16 62:6	piecemealing 145:25	141:5 143:21,21,23	152:13 <b>PPO</b> 128:21	123:18 158:25
79:17 117:13,17	<b>pieces</b> 23:7 141:18	144:3,12 146:3	<b>PPO</b> 138:21	procedures 14:18
percentage 150:2	<b>Pierson</b> 57:24	155:7 156:6,7,13	practically 140:20	19:17,20 20:7 38:8
percentages 36:3,5	<b>Pine</b> 93:9 97:4	158:2,7 159:4	practice 75:22 88:15	77:12 103:1 142:2,5
149:25	place 13:8 52:4 58:5	<b>pointed</b> 33:5 36:7	practices 134:9 139:7	153:1,19
perception 71:11	59:11 62:4 63:14	pointing 144:7	precious 26:15	proceed 7:4 40:9

	1	I	1	1
proceedings 4:1	118:3,4,5,6	49:6,8 87:6 117:15	ready 70:4 92:7	rectify 34:16 143:17
162:7	proposes 68:7	quarter's 34:9 36:13	real 65:10 76:21	rectifying 145:2
process 2:23 7:5	protocol 82:9	122:14	reality 28:11 67:3	recycled 138:13,15
20:15 26:12,23 62:4	protocols 49:1	quarterly 46:13,16	<b>realize</b> 65:21	138:15
63:12 72:4,24 74:23	provide 7:12 13:15	75:9 80:21 98:20	realizing 140:3	red 54:9
75:18 82:2 101:12	28:12,20 40:19 43:5	111:6,7 112:3,5,10	141:17	redoing 139:23
101:17 111:25	95:8 97:7 101:12	113:20 114:6	really 8:20 12:4 15:2	redone 152:16
112:2,10 113:20	provided 6:15 8:10	119:25 120:3,5,13	22:25 29:24 30:8,10	reduced 117:17
114:6 136:17	11:11 27:3 28:24	120:19 121:10	33:25 47:9 50:9	reevaluate 11:20
140:23 156:21	66:18 67:10 83:19	question 14:8 15:9	55:11,14 64:14,20	<b>refer</b> 77:25
158:14	providers 38:9 43:14	22:18 25:13 30:22	65:12 96:15 99:1,4	<b>reference</b> 40:6,23
processes 28:22 63:4	44:18 76:1 78:11	65:2 66:9 71:2,4	105:13,25 132:15	referenced 81:17
64:12 88:22	providing 65:17	78:24 79:2 85:16	144:23 151:14	references 38:6 39:3
procurement 2:18	84:10 97:21	87:4,16,17 89:6	reason 13:12 16:9	131:12
147:1	<b>public</b> 10:4 13:12	93:14,15 94:3 101:5	26:3 27:5 42:5	referencing 102:25
produce 85:3	18:13 50:14,18	114:12 130:21	47:24 160:9	referral 32:22 62:25
producing 122:12	120:20 127:22	132:4 133:18	reasons 65:17	86:14,15
product 101:23	149:23 157:8	155:22	reassignment 89:19	<b>referrals</b> 31:17 74:14
products 132:20	158:16 159:3 162:5	<b>questioned</b> 13:1,5,15	91:11	76:7,11 86:8,10
professional 53:7	pull 23:17 149:19	questions 21:4,24	<b>Rebecca</b> 2:3 4:16	referred 53:15 63:17
84:19,25	pulling 84:23 116:23	24:15 34:5 56:19	93:13	63:22,25 64:3 72:11
professionals 135:18	<b>punted</b> 151:12	59:8 66:8 69:16	rebuild 151:2	77:17 83:7
program 6:2 12:12	<b>Punzi</b> 83:14	70:20 92:11 130:6	<b>rebuilt</b> 154:8	referring 128:14
48:20 69:8,9 106:7	Punzi-Elabiary 2:17	quick 19:9,18 49:15	recall 20:18 42:25	<b>refers</b> 76:17
106:22 108:8 109:8	16:23,24 69:25	83:13 123:10 131:1	149:25	refine 116:16
128:14,23 130:5	82:23	quickly 96:15 149:19	receive 9:3 158:9	regard 71:10
135:16 141:21	purchase 14:1	quite 21:23 47:1	received 6:4 19:11	regarding 44:13
144:21 152:20	<b>pure</b> 21:11	55:14 90:4,5	49:10	130:7
programatically 93:1	<b>purpose</b> 22:2 24:1	<b>quorum</b> 19:13 125:21	receiving 66:11 83:24	regardless 55:25
programs 48:13	58:7 142:8,19	126:12,14 128:2	recited 4:7	102:14
135:17	purposely 21:25	157:25	recognition 143:23	regards 35:10
<b>Progress</b> 109:23	purposes 88:8	<b>quote</b> 57:23	recognize 5:23 16:6	register 91:20
prohibits 71:8	put 25:22 29:11,16	quote-unquote 93:20	recognized 73:20	registrar 91:24
project 81:21 82:13	42:25 81:6 82:9,12		recommend 26:8	reinforcing 72:7
133:4 139:2 149:7	85:12 116:13 121:9	R	54:11 100:12,18	<b>reject</b> 8:12
149:22 150:3,7,25	121:9 130:8 149:13	<b>R</b> 1:23 162:4,19	120:1	related 24:19 120:2
152:4	151:1	radar 130:8	recommendation	120:12 128:12
projects 126:11	putting 26:14 39:14	ran 17:2	23:23 100:8 146:21	130:21 131:4
132:17,24,24	92:1 98:8 141:17	random 25:1,2	recommended 7:3,12	159:10
135:19,22 136:3,7	145:9 160:2	range 149:15	25:24	relationships 57:25
136:23 137:16	0	rate 97:20 149:9	recommending	57:25 58:1
139:17 149:24	$\frac{Q}{\frac{1}{1}}$	rationale 100:16	102:11	relative 162:12
promised 154:17	<b>quadrants</b> 61:16 62:2	rattled 75:11	recommends 106:3	relaxing 49:2
proof 77:17	qualifications 113:1	reach 58:18 72:22	106:18	<b>reliance</b> 150:13
proper 55:13 148:25	<b>qualified</b> 106:5,21	reaching 65:19	record 23:17 43:16	relied 152:9
properly 53:15 72:11	108:6 109:6 112:18	read 8:20 37:21	44:23 50:14 120:20	remediate 153:22
147:12	112:21 113:5	44:15 46:24 56:24	162:8	remediated 53:23
<b>Property</b> 2:10	159:23	109:3 110:25 135:5	records 10:4 13:12	<b>remediation</b> 141:21
proposal 113:14,18	<b>quantify</b> 115:17 117:4 137:10 151:9	<b>readily</b> 87:9	50:18 77:9 78:13	remember 12:18 64:3
114:4 117:25	<b>quarter</b> 21:8,9 47:12	<b>reading</b> 37:3 76:20 108:21 111:2	158:17 159:3	84:16 88:20 95:25
<b>propose</b> 113:16,17,18	<b>quatier</b> 21.0,9 47:12	100.21 111.2	rectified 155:19	128:13 140:16
	I		I	l

	I	I	I	-
remind 7:8 20:4	120:15,16,25 122:2	141:16	roof 140:7,17,18	samples 25:6 45:19
remodeling 132:17	122:11,20,22	results 20:25 24:19	roofing 134:7,8	45:20
removed 159:18	representative 24:20	34:7 116:20 127:21	138:18	sampling 21:15 25:1
renovate 141:13	request 7:1 10:5,5	retransmit 120:1	room 1:12 5:24 16:7	25:2 28:2,4 33:2
renovation 135:19	13:12 50:15 121:5,9	return 94:11	148:6	SANDERS 3:4
151:24	122:22 158:6,20	returned 97:17	rooted 97:12	<b>SAP</b> 13:14,25
renovations 135:21	159:3	reused 138:14,15	<b>RSM</b> 2:21,22,24,24	<b>sat</b> 130:4
141:6	requested 8:8 10:7	<b>reveal</b> 134:13	2:25 16:10 18:9,10	satisfaction 103:8
repairs 140:18	12:21 130:10	review 38:10 138:18	18:11 19:16,22,24	satisfy 23:4
repeat 17:20 44:8	requesting 158:2,7	138:21 159:18	20:13 26:10 29:3	saw 13:23 30:5 56:13
59:1 106:16 113:23	requests 158:17	160:23	35:12 40:14 42:20	66:4 101:12 146:16
146:8	require 28:14 72:13	reviews 92:6 159:23	74:10,21 77:19 81:5	saying 14:24 39:8
replace 44:2 139:24	72:22 84:19	<b>RFP</b> 159:12,20	82:16 83:4,12	44:7 52:18 57:25
140:7 141:13	required 20:6,9 32:9	160:17	102:15 110:3,11	77:25 98:10 103:18
151:23 155:20	32:17,18 63:12 69:1	rid 12:23 74:2	111:3,15 112:1,8,17	103:25 104:12,21
replaced 138:13	83:15 85:18	<b>Ridge</b> 93:9 97:5	112:18 113:13,18	108:3,13 149:18
139:19	requirement 103:3	ridiculous 151:21	113:22 114:4,20	says 24:16 37:5,8,15
replacement 154:22	requirements 103:5,6	<b>Riggs</b> 159:17	115:2,5 118:3,6,24	37:17,24 38:18,25
<b>report</b> 6:20,22 7:14	requiring 100:24	<b>right</b> 10:11 12:2	119:4 123:11,17	40:4 41:4,20 42:1
7:17,20 8:12 10:10	reroofing 155:18	13:11 16:12 21:4,7	124:4 125:14 126:6	43:2 45:14 77:5,7,9
11:4 13:23 14:23	resend 121:19	30:20 33:21 34:4,5	126:7 130:4 134:22	84:21 86:18 100:10
20:22 21:2 28:15	reshuffled 12:23	38:21 48:24 50:5,14	141:25 149:7,22	103:10 104:23
31:10 32:11 34:9,10	resolved 64:5	52:24 61:4,12 63:13	157:16	130:22 131:5
34:14 36:13 45:23	resonate 57:5	67:20 68:3,8,18	<b>RSM's</b> 103:8 138:18	158:21
46:2 50:13,15,16,17	resonated 60:9	69:23,25 70:24 73:6	147:5	scale 58:18
50:22 51:21,24 52:3	resort 58:14	75:1 78:23 81:1	rumors 138:6	scanning 40:23
52:12,13,21 56:13	resource 38:16 58:12	84:17 88:9 94:21	run 88:7 93:4 97:5	scared 148:3
62:22 72:19 74:10	77:20	95:2 96:3,5,9,11,13	121:7	scary 36:6
82:10 85:13 86:10	resources 30:17 31:3	96:18 97:22 101:23	running 48:21 154:18	scathing 138:21
98:21 115:25 120:9	57:2 64:24 96:21,21	104:15 105:2	<b>Ruth</b> 2:3 4:10	schedule 94:10
120:19 121:4,15	97:1,3,21 142:25	112:22 116:1		school 1:3 5:17 6:2,18
122:5,13,14,24	respect 6:1,18 15:19	117:11,12,23 118:8	S	6:24,24 7:6 12:10
123:3,4 124:4,25	80:8 81:18 82:15	118:23 120:10,10	<b>SAC</b> 66:3	21:1 33:25 38:10,14
131:10,14 147:9	142:10	120:10,10 122:5	<b>safe</b> 58:5	39:15 43:3 45:8,10
153:12 155:1,9	respectfully 127:2	123:25 126:15	safety 50:6 68:19	47:4,8,15 48:11
159:21 162:7	responded 159:20	134:15,17 136:7	69:2 81:9 87:25	54:5 55:10,15,19
reportable 71:18	response 5:13 19:7	140:20 153:2	88:17 92:4 100:20	58:3 60:13,17 61:10
reported 21:21 32:1	34:20 45:22 82:3	154:13	115:8 128:21 138:5	61:20,21 63:11 64:8
32:13 43:4 45:17	109:17,21 111:14	<b>rise</b> 4:5	139:17	64:11 65:24 68:8,20
49:7 71:19,23 72:6	111:22 114:11,16	<b>risk</b> 2:21,22,23,24	sample 21:8,12,14,19	68:22 69:2 71:5
80:13 83:4	118:10,14 119:12	22:4 25:15,15 31:21	22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9	72:17,23 73:2 75:7
<b>Reporter</b> 1:22,23 3:1	119:16 125:8	50:2 51:6,9,17	23:22 24:18,18	81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8
40:13 162:4,19	responsibility 19:21	52:15 60:12 62:24	25:25 26:7 29:8	86:21 88:14 89:11
<b>REPORTER'S</b> 162:1	106:6,17,21 108:7	<b>Rita</b> 57:23	30:8 33:7 35:17	89:15,20,23 90:2,8
reporting 1:23 3:1	108:14 109:7	road 66:4 148:1	36:2,4,5 45:8,9,11	90:12,12,19 91:8,10
7:18 72:18 75:5	responsible 19:21	<b>Rob</b> 151:19 152:5	45:12,14 46:12,25	91:12,13,19 92:2,6
82:2	55:4 61:23 107:12	<b>Robert</b> 2:5 4:23	47:2,5,14 48:1 61:8	92:9,18 93:16,19,22
reports 8:2 30:5	116:10 142:20	110:16	74:13,24 75:1,15	94:10 96:8 97:1,8
43:10 46:13 63:9	rest 81:25 84:25	<b>ROI</b> 97:22	79:16 80:1,4 87:5	97:18,24,25 99:5,17
75:9 80:21,23	98:17 126:5	roll 4:10 16:8 157:6	110:14 122:16	99:19 100:11,11,18
119:25 120:3,6,13	result 55:6 121:12	<b>Roman</b> 40:24	sampled 45:17	102:11,25 103:2,4,7

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
school's 103:1 105:1152:11sentiment 143:5short 132:25 157:345:11,14 46:1275:25114:7,9 119:953:10 63:9 80:15short-term 155:2074:13,24 75:1,159chools 25:7,78,29:4seconds 19:2 54:1584:4short 132:25 157:345:11,14 46:1229:24 30:12 47.7secretaries 110:9separate 32:21 53:10short 1132:25 157:345:11,14 46:1248:19 51:6,8 55:17secretaries 110:9separated 73:18showed 93:22 133:6size 45:1257:8,9 64:15,16,19section 31:9 37:2,4,1570:8 88:21showed 7:9 137:3slopy 14:1864:19 66:17 68:7,2037:24 99:54 0:10serious 22:1,1 30:1showed 7:9 137:3slower 110:6 112:469:1 72:19 75:575:24 92:12 114:2132:13,14,23 33:5,8showes 42:14 83:10Slower 110:6 112:480:13,22 83:6 85:17115:3 147:1834:17 44:21 45:24shoffling 12:18small 27:9 36:4 48:185:17,21 86:1,3,4,5sections 77:10 78:1745:24 48:67 50:25sign 62:20SMART 128:14,2396:16,23 97:4,17sect 657:1663:15,16,22 79:77signature 53:4 77:17141:21 144:2198:10:12 101:3,8see 16:11 25:8,1079:18 80:1,2 87:77signed 7:7 32:16 53:8smoke 152:17101:10,12,14 102:229:22,25 31:18 35:997:6 98:6,7 99:7114:21smiler 63:3,25102:14,19 103:1640:7 45:25 46:18105:21,22 110:4,4102:20sociecal 105:1813:3:47,710 136:2257:9 58:10 59:25121:12,1364:16 97:18socieceonmic13:14,22 154:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24ser
school-based 38:8seconded 109:11separate 32:21 53:10short-term 155:2074:13,24 75:1,1575:25114:7,9 119:953:10 63:9 80:15shortly 156:1579:16 80:1,5 87:5schools 25:7,7,8 29:4seconds 19:2 54:1584:4show 93:22 133:6sizes 45:1229:24 30:12 47:7secretaries 110:9separated 73:18160:11skip 119:1848:19 51:68 55:17Secretary 2:10separated 73:18show 93:22 133:6slopy 14:1864:19 66:17 68:7,2037:24 39:5 40:10serious 22:1,1 30:1shows 42:14 83:10Slower 110:6 112:469:1 72:19 75:575:24 92:12 114:2132:13,14,23 33:5,891:20,21,22 92:3slowly 144:2280:13,22 83:6 85:17115:3 147:1834:17 44:21 45:24shuffling 12:18small 27:9 36:4 48:185:17,21 86:1,3,4,5sections 77:10 78:1745:24 48:6,7 50:25side 29:3small 27:9 36:4 48:185:17,21 86:1,23 97:4,17sector 57:1663:15,16,22 79:17signature 53:4 77:17141:21 144:2198:4 100:12 101:3,8see 16:11 25:8,1079:18 80:1,2 87:7,7118:21Smiley 60:8101:10,12,14 102:229:22,25 31:18 35:997:6 98:6,7 99:7signed 7:7 32:16 53:8smoke 152:17102:14,19 103:1640:7 45:25 46:18105:21,22 110:4,4102:20satch 144:24105:12 132:18,2251:17 53:19,21,23110:12,12 111:4,4significant 8:3,25societa1 105:1813:3:4,7,10 136:6257:9 58:10 59:25service 1:23 10:13silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,2113:21 45:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24 <td< td=""></td<>
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
schools 25:7,7,8 29:4seconds 19:2 54:1584:4show 93:22 133:6sizes 45:1229:24 30:12 47:7secretaries 110:9separated 73:18160:11skip 119:1848:19 51:6,8 55:17Secretary 2:10setion 31:9 37:2,4,1570:8 88:21showing 54:6 83:8slopy 14:1857:8,9 64:15,16,19section 31:9 37:2,4,1570:8 88:21showing 54:6 83:8slow 28:1864:19 66:17 68:7,2037:24 39:5 40:1032:13,14,23 33:5,891:20,21,22 92:3slowly 14:2280:13,22 83:6 85:17115:3 147:1834:17 44:21 45:24shuffling 12:18small 27:9 36:4 48:185:17,21 86:1,3,4,5sections 77:10 78:1745:24 48:67 50:25side 29:387:586:9 88:6,12 89:185:9 118:753:13,14 60:5 63:14sign 62:20SMART 128:14,2396:16,23 97:4,17sector 57:1663:15,16,22 79:17signature 53:4 77:17141:21 144:2198:4 100:12 101:3,8see 16:11 25:8,1079:18 80:1,2 87:7,7118:21small 27:9 36:4 48:1105:12 132:18,2251:17 53:19,21,23110:12,21 11:14,4significant 8:3,25societal 105:18102:14,19 103:1640:7 45:25 46:18105:21,22 110:4,4significant 8:3,25societal 105:18133:4,7,10 136:2257:9 58:10 59:25121:12,1364:16 97:18socieconomic137:11,22 138:2160:7,7,22 69:3,10serve 22:17,19signs 108:21software 6:19 20:10143:22 154:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24serve 22:17,19signs 108:21software 6:19 20:10156:484:25 91:24 99:13serviced 50:5<
29:24 30:12 47:7 48:19 51:6,8 55:17secretaries 110:9 Secretary 2:10 section 31:9 37:2,4,15separated 73:18 September 46:21 70:8 88:21160:11 showed 7:9 137:3 showing 54:6 83:8 shows 42:14 83:10skip 119:18 slopy 14:18 slopy 14:1864:19 66:17 68:7,20 69:1 72:19 75:537:24 39:5 40:10 75:24 92:12 114:2132:13,14,23 33:5,8 32:13,14,23 33:5,891:20,21,22 92:3 slows 42:14 83:10slower 110:6 112:4 slows 42:14 83:1069:1 72:19 75:575:24 92:12 114:21 115:3 147:1832:13,14,23 33:5,8 34:17 44:21 45:2491:20,21,22 92:3 slows 42:14 83:10slower 110:6 112:4 slows 42:14 83:1080:13,22 83:6 85:17115:3 147:18 115:3 147:1834:17 44:21 45:24 45:24 48:67 50:25slows 42:14 83:10 sle 29:3Slower 110:6 112:4 slows 42:14 83:1080:16,23 97:4,17 96:16,23 97:4,17section 57:16 set or 57:1663:15,22 79:17 51:5,16 227 79:17signature 53:4 77:17 signature 53:4 77:17141:21 144:21 signature 53:4 77:17102:14,19 103:16 105:12 132:18,2240:7 45:25 46:18 51:17 53:19,21,23105:21,22 110:4,4 105:21,22 110:4,4102:20 signat 18:3,25sociecenomic significant 8:3,25 societal 105:18 sociecenomic137:11,22 138:21 156:460:7,7,22 69:3,10 84:25 91:24 99:13serice 1:23 10:13 serice 1:23 10:13 signa 108:21software 6:19 20:10 signa 108:21 signa 108:21166:484:25 91:24 99:13 84:25 91:24 99:13serice 2:18,22 9:13 signa 108:21software 6:19 20:10 signa 108:21 signa 108:21156:484:25 91:24 99:13 156:4service 50:5 simple 79:15solel 4:12 106:6,21 108:7,13 109
48:19 51:6,8 55:17Secretary 2:10 section 31:9 37:2,4,15September 46:21 70:8 88:21showed 7:9 137:3 showing 54:6 83:8sloppy 14:18 slow 28:1864:19 66:17 68:7,2037:24 39:5 40:10 75:24 92:12 114:2170:8 88:21 32:13,14,23 33:5,8shows 42:14 83:10 91:20,21,22 92:3Slower 110:6 112:4 slows 42:14 83:1069:1 72:19 75:575:24 92:12 114:21 15:3 147:1832:13,14,23 33:5,8 34:17 44:21 45:2491:20,21,22 92:3 slows 42:14 83:10Slower 110:6 112:4 slows 42:14 83:1080:13,22 83:6 85:17 86:9 88:6,12 89:185:9 118:7 85:9 118:753:13,14 60:5 63:14 63:15,16,22 79:17sign 62:20 signature 53:4 77:17SMART 128:14,23 118:2196:16,23 97:4,17 98:4 100:12 101:3,8sec 16:11 25:8,10 29:22,25 31:18 35:979:6 98:6,7 99:7 97:6 98:6,7 99:7signature 53:4 77:17 118:21141:21 144:21 Smiley 60:8101:10,12,14 102:2 102:14,19 103:1629:22,25 31:18 35:9 40:7 45:25 46:18 105:21,22 110:4,4102:20 signed 7:7 32:16 53:8 significant 8:3,25 significant 8:3,25sociecal 105:18 sociecal 105:18 sociecal 105:18 sociecanomic137:11,22 138:21 156:460:7,7,22 69:3,10 84:25 91:24 99:13 156:4seriously 75:8 signs 108:21 service 1:23 10:13 silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,21 sole 14:12 106:6,21 
57:8,9 64:15,16,19 64:19 66:17 68:7,20 69:1 72:19 75:5section 31:9 37:2,4,15 37:24 39:5 40:10 75:24 92:12 114:2170:8 88:21 serious 22:1,1 30:1 32:13,14,23 33:5,8 34:17 44:21 45:24showing 54:6 83:8 shows 42:14 83:10 91:20,21,22 92:3 shuffling 12:18 sign 62:20slow 28:18 slow 144:22 small 27:9 36:4 48:185:17,21 86:1,3,4,5 86:9 88:6,12 89:1 96:16,23 97:4,17 98:4 100:12 101:3,8 101:10,12,14 102:2 102:14,19 103:16 105:12 132:18,22 105:12 132:18,22 13:3:4,710 136:22set of 57:16 63:15,16,22 79:17 53:13,14 60:5 63:14 63:15,16,22 79:17 97:18 80:1,2 87:7,7 97:6 98:6,7 99:7 102:14,19 103:16 105:12 132:18,22 105:12 132:18,22 13:4,710 136:22set of 57:16 63:15,16,22 79:17 97:6 98:6,7 99:7 105:12,12 111:4,4 105:21,22 110:4,4 105:12 132:18,22 110:12,12 111:4,4 105:12 132:18,22 115:12 117:53:19,21,23 110:12,12 111:4,4 110:12,12 111:4,4 113:13 111:12 117:16 115:12 117:16 
64:19 66:17 68:7,2037:24 39:5 40:10serious 22:1,1 30:1shows 42:14 83:10Slower 110:6 112:469:1 72:19 75:575:24 92:12 114:2132:13,14,23 33:5,891:20,21,22 92:3slowly 144:2280:13,22 83:6 85:17115:3 147:1834:17 44:21 45:24shuffling 12:18small 27:9 36:4 48:185:17,21 86:1,3,4,5sections 77:10 78:1745:24 48:6,7 50:25side 29:3s7:586:9 88:6,12 89:185:9 118:753:13,14 60:5 63:14sign 62:20SMART 128:14,2396:16,23 97:4,17sector 57:1663:15,16,22 79:17signature 53:4 77:17141:21 144:2198:4 100:12 101:3,8see 16:11 25:8,1079:18 80:1,2 87:7,7118:21Smiley 60:8101:10,12,14 102:229:22,25 31:18 35:997:6 98:6,7 99:7signed 7:7 32:16 53:8smoke 152:17102:14,19 103:1640:7 45:25 46:18105:21,22 110:4,4102:20snatch 144:24105:12 132:18,2251:17 53:19,21,23110:12,12 111:4,4significant 8:3,25sociecanomic133:4,7,10 136:2257:9 58:10 59:25121:12,1364:16 97:18socioeconomic143:22 154:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24serve22:17,19signs 108:21software 6:19 20:10156:484:25 91:24 99:13service 1:23 10:13silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,21156:4105:12 117:16serviced 50:5simple 79:15solely 107:12135:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simply 34:4 58:19solid 152:14135:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20
69:1 72:19 75:575:24 92:12 114:2132:13,14,23 33:5,891:20,21,22 92:3slowly 144:2280:13,22 83:6 85:17115:3 147:1834:17 44:21 45:24shuffling 12:18small 27:9 36:4 48:185:17,21 86:1,3,4,5sections 77:10 78:1745:24 48:6,7 50:25side 29:387:586:9 88:6,12 89:185:9 118:753:13,14 60:5 63:14sign 62:20SMART 128:14,2396:16,23 97:4,17sector 57:1663:15,16,22 79:17signature 53:4 77:17141:21 144:2198:4 100:12 101:3,8see 16:11 25:8,1079:18 80:1,2 87:7,7118:21Smiley 60:8101:10,12,14 102:229:22,25 31:18 35:997:6 98:6,7 99:7signed 7:7 32:16 53:8smoke 152:17102:14,19 103:1640:7 45:25 46:18105:21,22 110:4,4102:20snatch 144:24105:12 132:18,2251:17 53:19,21,23110:12,12 111:4,4significant 8:3,25sociectal 105:18133:4,7,10 136:2257:9 58:10 59:25121:12,1364:16 97:18socioeconomic137:11,22 138:2160:7,7,22 69:3,10seriously 75:8signing 11:1 50:23138:11143:22 154:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24serve 22:17,19signs 108:21software 6:19 20:10156:484:25 91:24 99:13service 1:23 10:13silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,21108:7,13 109:6serviced 50:5simple 79:15soley 107:1235:16 36:17 74:13115:12 117:16serviced 50:5simple 79:15soley 107:1275:15,15 127:15120:11 133:1338:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17 </td
80:13,22 83:6 85:17115:3 147:1834:17 44:21 45:24shuffling 12:18small 27:9 36:4 48:185:17,21 86:1,3,4,5sections 77:10 78:1745:24 48:6,7 50:25side 29:387:586:9 88:6,12 89:185:9 118:753:13,14 60:5 63:14side 29:387:596:16,23 97:4,17sector 57:1663:15,16,22 79:17signature 53:4 77:17141:21 144:2198:4 100:12 101:3,8see 16:11 25:8,1079:18 80:1,2 87:7,7118:21Smiley 60:8101:10,12,14 102:229:22,25 31:18 35:997:6 98:6,7 99:7signed 7:7 32:16 53:8smoke 152:17102:14,19 103:1640:7 45:25 46:18105:21,22 110:4,4102:20snatch 144:24105:12 132:18,2251:17 53:19,21,23110:12,12 111:4,4significant 8:3,25sociectal 105:18133:4,7,10 136:2257:9 58:10 59:25121:12,1364:16 97:18socioeconomic137:11,22 138:2160:7,7,22 69:3,10seriously 75:8signing 11:1 50:23138:11143:22 154:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24serve 22:17,19signs 108:21software 6:19 20:10156:484:25 91:24 99:13service 1:23 10:13silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,21156:484:25 91:24 99:13service 50:5simple 79:15soley 107:1235:16 36:17 74:13115:12 117:16service 50:5simply 34:4 58:19solid 152:14135:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
85:17,21 86:1,3,4,5 86:9 88:6,12 89:1sections 77:10 78:17 85:9 118:7 sector 57:1645:24 48:6,7 50:25 
86:988:6,1289:185:9118:753:13,1460:563:14sign 62:20SMART 128:14,2396:16,2397:4,17sector 57:1663:15,16,2279:17signature 53:471:17141:21144:2198:4100:12101:3,8see 16:1125:8,1079:1880:1,287:7,7118:21Smiley 60:8101:10,12,14102:229:22,2531:1835:997:698:6,799:7signed 7:732:1653:8102:14,19103:1640:745:2546:18105:21,22110:4,4102:20smatch 144:24105:12132:18,2251:1753:19,21,23110:12,12111:4,4significant 8:3,25sociecal 105:18133:4,7,10136:2257:958:1059:25121:12,1364:1697:18socieceonomic137:11,22138:2160:7,7,2269:3,10seriously 75:8signing 11:150:23138:11143:22154:2,372:2181:584:22,24serve 22:17,19signs 108:21software 6:1920:10156:484:2591:2499:1343:1344:1878:11silos 68:16sole 14:12106:6,21151:12117:16serviced 50:5simple 79:15solely 107:12solely 107:12solely 107:12135:12136:21136:2138:939:2465:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
96:16,23 97:4,17 98:4 100:12 101:3,8 101:10,12,14 102:2 102:14,19 103:16 105:12 132:18,22 133:4,7,10 136:22sector 57:16 see 16:11 25:8,10 29:22,25 31:18 35:9 40:7 45:25 46:18 51:17 53:19,21,23 133:4,7,10 136:2263:15,16,22 79:17 79:18 80:1,2 87:7,7 97:6 98:6,7 99:7 105:21,22 110:4,4 110:12,12 111:4,4 105:12,12 111:4,4 105:12,12 111:4,4 110:12,12 111:4,4 110:12,12 111:4,4 105:21,22 110:4,4signature 53:4 77:17 118:21 signed 7:7 32:16 53:8 signed 7:7 32:16 53:8 societal 105:18 societal 105:18 socieconomic137:11,22 138:21 143:22 154:2,3 156:4 156:460:7,7,22 69:3,10 72:21 81:5 84:22,24 84:25 91:24 99:13seriously 75:8 service 1:23 10:13 43:13 44:18 78:11 service 1:23 10:13 signs 108:21signs 108:21 software 6:19 20:10 sole 14:12 106:6,21 108:7,13 109:6 solely 107:12 solely 107:12 solely 107:12 solely 107:12 solely 107:12
98:4 100:12 101:3,8 101:10,12,14 102:2 102:14,19 103:16see 16:11 25:8,10 29:22,25 31:18 35:9 40:7 45:25 46:18 51:17 53:19,21,2379:18 80:1,2 87:7,7 97:6 98:6,7 99:7 105:21,22 110:4,4 1105:21,22 110:4,4 110:12,12 111:4,4 133:4,7,10 136:22 133:4,7,10 136:22Smiley 60:8 smoke 152:17 snatch 144:24 sociectal 105:18 sociectal 105:18 sociectal 105:18 sociectal 105:18 service 1:23 10:13137:11,22 138:21 143:22 154:2,3 156:460:7,7,22 69:3,10 72:21 81:5 84:22,24 84:25 91:24 99:13seriously 75:8 service 1:23 10:13 43:13 44:18 78:11 service 1:23 10:13 43:13 44:18 78:11 similar 9:25signig 11:1 50:23 signs 108:21 signs 108:21 similar 9:25138:11 software 6:19 20:10 sole 14:12 106:6,21 108:7,13 109:6 solely 107:12 similar 9:25
101:10,12,14 102:2 102:14,19 103:1629:22,25 31:18 35:9 40:7 45:25 46:1897:6 98:6,7 99:7 105:21,22 110:4,4 105:21,22 110:4,4 110:12,12 111:4,4 133:4,7,10 136:22signed 7:7 32:16 53:8 105:21,22 110:4,4 102:20smoke 152:17 snatch 144:24 societal 105:18 socieeconomic133:4,7,10 136:22 133:4,7,10 136:2251:17 53:19,21,23 57:9 58:10 59:25101:12,12 111:4,4 121:12,13significant 8:3,25 64:16 97:18societal 105:18 socieeconomic137:11,22 138:21 143:22 154:2,3 156:460:7,7,22 69:3,10 72:21 81:5 84:22,24 84:25 91:24 99:13seriously 75:8 service 1:23 10:13 43:13 44:18 78:11 serviced 50:5signing 11:1 50:23 signs 108:21 signs 108:21 silos 68:16software 6:19 20:10 sole 14:12 106:6,21 108:7,13 109:6scope 20:19 25:9 27:4 35:16 36:17 74:13 15:12 117:16105:10,13,16 15:12 117:1643:13 44:18 78:11 serviced 50:5 services 2:18,22 9:13 38:9 39:24 65:6,11signing 13:1 53:2 simply 34:4 58:19 simultaneous 30:20solely 107:12 somebody 22:17
102:14,19 103:1640:7 45:25 46:18105:21,22 110:4,4102:20snatch 144:24105:12 132:18,2251:17 53:19,21,23110:12,12 111:4,4102:20societal 105:18133:4,7,10 136:2257:9 58:10 59:25121:12,13significant 8:3,25socieeconomic137:11,22 138:2160:7,7,22 69:3,10seriously 75:8signing 11:1 50:23138:11143:22 154:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24serve 22:17,19signs 108:21software 6:19 20:10156:484:25 91:24 99:13service 1:23 10:13silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,21156:484:25 91:24 99:1343:13 44:18 78:11similar 9:25108:7,13 109:6scope 20:19 25:9 27:4105:10,13,1643:13 44:18 78:11similar 9:25solely 107:1235:16 36:17 74:13115:12 117:16serviced 50:5simply 34:4 58:19soled 152:1435:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
105:12 132:18,2251:17 53:19,21,23110:12,12 111:4,4significant 8:3,25societal 105:18133:4,7,10 136:2257:9 58:10 59:25121:12,1364:16 97:18socieconomic137:11,22 138:2160:7,7,22 69:3,10seriously 75:8signing 11:1 50:23138:11143:22 154:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24serve 22:17,19silos 68:16software 6:19 20:10156:484:25 91:24 99:13service 1:23 10:13silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,21156:4105:10,13,1643:13 44:18 78:11similar 9:25108:7,13 109:6scope 20:19 25:9 27:4105:10,13,16serviced 50:5simple 79:15soley 107:1235:16 36:17 74:13115:12 117:16services 2:18,22 9:13simply 34:4 58:19solid 152:14135:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
133:4,7,10136:2257:958:1059:25121:12,1364:16socioeconomic137:11,22138:2160:7,7,2269:3,10seriously75:8signing11:150:23138:11143:22154:2,372:2181:584:22,24serve22:17,19signs108:21software6:1920:10156:484:2591:2499:13service1:2310:13silos68:16sole14:12106:6,21scope20:1925:927:4105:10,13,1643:1344:1878:11similar9:25108:7,13109:635:1636:1774:13115:12117:16serviced50:5simple79:15solely107:1275:15,15120:11133:1338:939:2465:6,11simultaneous30:20somebody22:17
137:11,22 138:2160:7,7,22 69:3,10seriously 75:8signing 11:1 50:23138:11143:22 154:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24serve 22:17,19signs 108:21software 6:19 20:10156:484:25 91:24 99:13service 1:23 10:13silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,21scope 20:19 25:9 27:4105:10,13,1643:13 44:18 78:11similar 9:25108:7,13 109:635:16 36:17 74:13115:12 117:16serviced 50:5simple 79:15solely 107:1275:15,15 127:15120:11 133:13services 2:18,22 9:13simply 34:4 58:19solid 152:14135:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
143:22 154:2,372:21 81:5 84:22,24serve 22:17,19signs 108:21software 6:19 20:10156:484:25 91:24 99:13service 1:23 10:13silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,21scope 20:19 25:9 27:4105:10,13,1643:13 44:18 78:11silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,2135:16 36:17 74:13115:12 117:16serviced 50:5simple 79:15solely 107:1275:15,15 127:15120:11 133:13services 2:18,22 9:13simply 34:4 58:19solid 152:14135:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
156:484:25 91:24 99:13service 1:23 10:13silos 68:16sole 14:12 106:6,21scope 20:19 25:9 27:4105:10,13,1643:13 44:18 78:11similar 9:25108:7,13 109:635:16 36:17 74:13115:12 117:16serviced 50:5simple 79:15solely 107:1275:15,15 127:15120:11 133:1338:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
scope 20:19 25:9 27:4105:10,13,1643:13 44:18 78:11similar 9:25108:7,13 109:635:16 36:17 74:13115:12 117:16serviced 50:5simple 79:15solely 107:1275:15,15 127:15120:11 133:13services 2:18,22 9:13simply 34:4 58:19solid 152:14135:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
35:16 36:17 74:13115:12 117:16serviced 50:5simple 79:15solely 107:1275:15,15 127:15120:11 133:13services 2:18,22 9:13simply 34:4 58:19solid 152:14135:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
75:15,15 127:15120:11 133:13services 2:18,22 9:13simply 34:4 58:19solid 152:14135:12 136:2,6,11136:21 137:2238:9 39:24 65:6,11simultaneous 30:20somebody 22:17
135:12         136:21         137:22         38:9         39:24         65:6,11         simultaneous         30:20         somebody         22:17
1 30.17, 17, 13, 17 $172.7, 133, 13$ $100.11, 12, 17, 13, 10$ Simulateously 03.10 $123.2730.1707.0$
137:3,14 139:20,21 159:25 160:21 69:10 76:2 82:18 single 32:5 57:16 84:19 143:19 155:1
139:21 140:1,3,6,10 seeing 19:23 101:18 83:16,24 sir 32:3 106:17 155:5,9 158:8,16
140:24 141:7 104:15 105:20 set 152:11 111:16 116:18 someone's 66:10 75:8
145:23         151:11,13         117:13         159:19         sets         10:10         Sisters         58:16         something's         62:11
154:23 155:4,10,11 seen 132:19,24 setting 9:13 92:16 sit 57:21 86:12,14 son 35:22
160:2 133:10 140:20 141:11 <b>sorry</b> 4:20 15:18
scopes 136:22 140:4         select 25:5 89:15         settle 144:18         site 73:2 87:25 88:10         17:20 19:9 28:9
145:8,1491:10severity 90:21,22,2392:22,23,25 93:1129:17 31:12 36:9
scrolling 40:23 selected 24:17 83:3 share 43:14 44:18 103:2 40:17 41:18 46:19
scrub 71:23         92:25 93:2 159:14         56:2 67:4 68:15,15         sites 7:22 87:15,24         50:9 53:25 56:15,23
SE 1:13         159:15         78:11 130:19         88:11 89:4,10 93:5         56:23 90:2 92:19
searched 102:19         selecting 112:25         137:24 138:1         93:6,7 102:17         93:12,20 96:15
searches 100:25         selection 159:14         shared 68:13 83:4,11         sitting 24:1 35:22         116:4 124:2,24
searching 105:1 160:4,7 103:24 120:18 159:12 150:16,18
seats 16:12         selections 160:6         sharing 67:2         situation 6:10 65:15         sort 29:23 35:23
second 5:7,7,8,9 11:7         send 7:2 76:17 110:8         Shaw 2:5 4:14,15         89:10,13,14 90:19         60:23 61:5 117:3

Г

	1			1
130:18	74:1,2 83:20 97:9	steps 25:16 43:10	students 22:15,16,18	144:6
sound 24:25 76:11	120:7 131:20	<b>stick</b> 59:7	39:13 47:7 48:20	support 54:24 58:3
sounded 79:3 118:18	134:16 145:12	stinks 131:21	56:14,17 57:20 58:5	97:2,4,8,9
sounds 79:17 107:25	148:2,3,4 154:18	Stoneman 55:18,23	58:9,13 66:18 82:19	supposed 39:2 93:18
source 13:17,21 14:3	155:2 160:5,8	65:24	86:25 87:5 88:10,23	93:21 124:10 154:7
15:16	<b>staff's</b> 131:7	stopping 36:11	89:19 90:17 93:10	154:11 155:3
south 1:24 62:1	staffing 88:21	<b>story</b> 35:24	94:24 95:11 97:6,10	supposedly 77:2
southeast 60:22	stamp 53:5	straight 143:8	97:16 100:21,24	sure 10:3,25 12:6
southwest 62:9	standard 72:6	Stranahan 135:21	101:15 102:21	13:3 16:17 21:23
speak 5:19 26:21	standards 24:10	150:25 152:12	103:1,7,12,15	34:24 38:24 48:25
42:14 43:19 58:24	134:20 139:12,13	154:14 155:20	121:11	53:14 61:18 66:10
59:3 79:21 82:9	139:14 145:16	strategically 155:23	studies 83:7	69:5,12,13,14 70:2
90:2 130:20 133:25	standpoint 61:3,13	Strauss 2:6 5:2,3	study 85:18,22 86:4	70:3 72:8 76:21
135:1 140:9 146:18	138:18 149:21	14:20 15:18,22 17:1	stuff 25:22 26:15	80:18 82:7,11 84:6
152:2	158:19	22:9,10,22 23:16	148:13 151:9	87:11 104:18,20,22
speaker 31:11 158:4	start 9:13 20:2 29:15	24:14,22 28:7 33:17	<b>subject</b> 77:12	112:17 113:4,5
speakers 18:13 33:4	58:10 70:1,3 72:24	33:20,22 35:4 36:18	subjective 85:10	114:3 123:18 126:4
33:4	89:7 91:25 99:23	38:2 42:19 43:24	subjectively 116:15	127:20,22 134:23
speaking 59:5 74:19	100:2 101:17,18	48:9 49:12,20 50:9	submitted 20:10	137:25 138:4 145:8
95:14,15	135:6,24	51:3,11,15,20,25	subsequent 11:3	145:22 153:16
speaks 75:25 77:21	started 12:1 49:6	52:7,18 53:3,25	12:16 130:9	154:10 160:25
111:24 161:2	68:1 70:8 74:12	54:4 55:20,25 56:11	subsequently 9:2	surprise 9:2
Spec 2:11	96:1 105:2 126:17	56:22 58:15 67:11	substance 38:13	surprises 144:15
special 159:7	128:12 147:10,17	67:15 68:6,18 70:5	substantially 20:10	suspect 74:8
specialist 2:11 81:10	starting 136:3 139:4	88:18 94:1,6 95:25	53:2	suspected 38:14
115:8	starts 9:7 12:13 57:7	96:14 97:11,25	substantive 30:2	<b>switch</b> 56:6
<b>specific</b> 44:15 52:22	64:12	98:23 99:6 107:20	49:16,17,18,25	switchgear 139:18
88:24 100:13	state 67:13,20,21	107:23 108:11,20	63:14 64:2,4 69:1	symptomatic 154:2
101:14,15 102:24	73:21 96:3 131:5,17	109:3,23 110:22	97:7	system 15:6 25:21
122:22 123:9	162:2,5	111:11 112:7 121:1	success 97:20	29:4 61:2,5 66:14
130:10	stated 76:8	121:5 123:21	suddenly 14:12	67:21 68:11,12
specifically 31:19	statement 78:9,16,20	125:23	suggest 32:20	71:22 72:19 75:4
40:11 88:16 89:2	85:12	Strauss's 65:5	suggestion 25:24 49:3	83:1 89:3 91:19
101:13 103:2	statements 77:10	street 31:4 155:8	suggests 102:12	95:4,13,17 98:22
140:12 152:5	78:18	strengthen 105:17	Suicide 50:1	99:3
speed 28:19	states 76:6	strengthened 14:18	<b>suicides</b> 65:20	systems 84:23 156:8
<b>spell</b> 88:16	statewide 67:20	strengthening 65:13	summarize 79:12	T
<b>spend</b> 142:24	131:17	strongest 70:22	82:14	
spent 10:1 15:11	stating 104:13	structural 152:23	summary 43:18	table 10:12 11:7
132:21 144:5	statistical 21:12	student 31:21 41:1	summer 8:22 9:3,4,5	20:16 24:8 63:24
<b>spite</b> 105:24	statistically 23:6	43:13 44:17 51:16	9:7,8,14 10:15,16	tackle 96:11
<b>spoke</b> 88:18 96:19	stats 120:8	60:2 64:9,10 65:15	10:22 12:10,12 14:7	<b>take</b> 14:16 23:24
148:7 152:3	stay 129:11,11	67:10,23 78:10	48:9,10,13,20,22	42:16 46:2,5,15
SRA 50:1	151:21	83:10,15,23 84:10	49:1,4	56:6,10,20 59:7
<b>SREF</b> 134:19 139:14	steering 139:5 156:1	87:17,22 88:1,13	sums 137:7	81:6 92:5 101:6
144:9 145:15	156:14	91:6,23 92:3,8,17	Sunshine 9:15 158:11	129:21,24 146:13
staff 2:7,13,16,16	stenographic 1:23	94:9,11,25 96:4	superintendent 2:14	taken 41:2,12 47:11
6:16 16:22 21:6	162:9	101:10 104:5	2:14 16:20 61:24	51:21 56:9 100:14
29:2 30:16,23 33:25	stenographically	118:17,20,22 119:1	70:14 73:11,20	101:11,15 125:15
39:13 55:7,11 56:3	162:7	119:5	147:25	133:1 136:24
58:1 69:18 72:18	step 25:21 141:9	student's 100:15	superintendents	141:14
	l			

takes 58:4 63:14 94:5	99:17 143:4 153:5	86:7 90:9,11 102:6	147:22,23 148:17	<b>three</b> 20:16 28:7 33:4
95:8 102:21 126:10	155:14	124:20 125:25,25	148:18,23 149:1,17	38:18 48:18 62:7,8
talk 36:20 81:14	telling 154:19	129:17 132:14	149:24 150:9,24	62:8 79:14 88:5
82:16 86:20 89:1	template 101:14	138:16 140:6,8,21	151:22 152:14,20	97:17 110:8 123:4
100:7 102:5,9 122:4	ten 129:22	145:12 146:14	153:4,20,25 154:25	149:24 154:2
135:15	tent 151:16	151:10 154:1,9	156:10,11,22,25	threshold 115:12
talked 43:17 56:12,13	terms 27:15 74:24	159:9 160:15	157:10 158:9,18,18	threw 12:22
60:25 77:15 96:1	84:17 91:24 117:5	things 13:7 14:1 15:5	159:13,15 160:9,23	throw 57:13
104:4 143:10	141:5	27:6 33:22 39:25	thinking 43:11	thrown 145:19
149:22	test 20:13 26:10	42:14 43:5 47:10,23	third 152:13	THURSDAY 1:16
talking 29:4 53:24	39:12 43:7 61:1,4	55:22 61:25 64:7,7	<b>Thompson</b> 3:3 17:18	tied 39:9
69:7 74:17 75:19	61:20 74:21 75:16	65:10 66:25 70:4	17:18,22,22 18:5,5	tiles 138:14
78:6,7 79:9 82:18	78:3 104:17,22	71:16 78:5 79:6	thought 8:16,17	<b>TIM</b> 3:1
85:4 87:14 96:16	105:1 112:17,18	81:23 82:6 90:25	59:15 69:6 77:8	time 9:20,22 23:18
119:20 124:21	113:19 114:4,20	92:1,2 94:13 101:18	85:24 105:9 111:1	24:2 28:15 29:5
146:20 148:9	115:3 118:4,6	105:16,25 106:1	112:17	35:20 40:22 41:21
153:10	tested 25:17 28:6	108:24 124:3	threat 2:17 16:24	43:18 47:3,6 50:21
talks 83:23	30:3 31:24 32:21	127:11 133:10	19:16,19 20:7,14	51:8,19 63:4 64:11
Tampa 67:8,23	53:11 59:24,25 60:7	137:14 138:7,8	24:6,18 25:21 26:2	66:9 69:7,10 79:2
tape 141:20 151:19	61:24 69:5 79:18	141:1 143:10	26:12,23 31:22 32:6	83:25 86:23 93:17
tapes 134:13	83:16	144:13 145:4,17,20	32:7,9 35:1 38:11	99:10,11,14,21
task 2:16,17 16:22,24	testing 20:8,25 24:19	145:22 147:14	41:3,13 48:21 49:24	114:25 119:3 121:8
69:18 70:5 74:1	26:19 39:18 60:23	148:3,6 155:18	50:25 52:9 53:14	121:8 122:24 124:5
78:3 107:7,13,19,23	61:19,22 74:25	156:9 158:5	55:24 60:1,4,5	124:15 126:10
108:11,16,23 109:8	76:10 81:4 112:1,9	think 9:18 21:8 22:14	61:10,15,21 62:19	128:9 129:23,24
123:23 125:16	113:16 115:10	24:8 26:9,19 27:9	62:24 63:1,3,5,7,12	132:8,23,25 143:6
130:15 133:20	130:5 134:9 136:9	28:19 29:11 30:13	63:14 64:4 65:24	144:18 147:5,11
134:3 135:10 147:9	138:4	30:16,24 31:6 32:15	66:17 67:21 68:21	149:8,17 150:19
148:22 155:6 160:6	thank 5:16 16:2	33:6,10 35:12 36:6	68:25 69:19,20	155:8 157:3 161:5
tasked 107:1	18:12 20:3 22:10	37:16 40:21 41:16	70:12,15 71:10,19	<b>timeframe</b> 28:10 95:9
teacher 139:8	23:16 29:18 33:21	43:10 45:21,23	71:24 72:4,9,13,15	timeframes 6:13
teaching 139:11	38:3 45:21 51:11	48:24 53:20,24	72:22,24 73:25	<b>timeline</b> 136:3
team 19:24 20:1 29:8	73:3 81:12 84:2	55:21 56:2 57:4	74:22 75:6,18 80:22	timeliness 28:23
32:9,16 43:2 44:16	87:2 105:3 108:4,22	58:4,11 59:9 60:24	87:7 88:16 90:10,23	<b>timely</b> 28:12
52:9,12 62:19 64:16	109:15 110:6,10	71:7 73:9 75:12	91:20 92:3 95:1	<b>timeout</b> 86:15
65:1 71:23 72:20	112:11 118:16	76:15 77:18,22 78:2	101:1,23 106:6,22	times 20:19 47:19
85:18,22 88:22	123:11,12,13,19,21	78:4 80:9,10 82:17	106:25 108:8 109:7	61:11 76:20 90:18
100:12 123:18,22	124:1,3,8,16 125:1	82:20 84:4 91:12,15	110:4,13 111:5	90:22 147:6 148:18
teaming 85:2	157:17,17 159:4,6	91:16 96:10,11	112:2,10 113:19	timing 25:8 27:24
<b>teams</b> 38:7 86:4	159:10 161:3,4	98:15 101:16	114:5	35:11,11,19 137:9
technically 69:22	<b>Thanks</b> 161:5	102:13,15 105:2	threats 21:21 28:6	<b>Timothy</b> 1:23 162:4
technology 2:9 6:5	<b>theirs</b> 63:10	106:24 107:5 108:5	29:24 30:3,4 32:13	162:19
95:15	themes 147:19	108:5,8,10 110:8	33:8,9 44:21 45:17	<b>tired</b> 47:9
<b>telephone</b> 4:10,13	theory 79:5	112:22 114:7	45:25 47:18 48:14	Titanic 12:19
5:21	<b>thereof</b> 162:9	116:13,16,17,21	49:7,15,17 56:24	title 18:19 69:18 71:4
<b>Telephonic</b> 2:3,5,22	<b>they'd</b> 73:5	117:21 120:23,24	59:24 63:22 64:2	today 60:2 121:18
2:23,24	thing 13:4 24:8 26:3	123:1,1,4 127:13	72:18 75:8 79:18	124:11 127:8 128:8
<b>television</b> 140:19	28:25 35:10 46:4	131:4 132:20	80:2,13 87:18 97:7	154:4
<b>tell</b> 21:12 34:14 41:22	48:5 51:12 56:12,18	137:17 139:23	98:7 105:14,21	told 9:1 15:10 140:17
42:6 47:16 69:25	56:23 60:11,25 65:4	142:12 143:2 144:1	110:14,19 111:9	146:15 151:22
71:22 85:6 86:2	71:16 77:2 84:8	144:15,17 146:4,11	121:13	152:8
	l	l	l	l

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	tool 143:1	trouble 86:12	70:17	vendors 18:2	85:16 91:9 96:6
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-		
	-				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
	<b>–</b>				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	,		0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	•				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		•			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		0			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.			120:21 128:3,7	
$ \begin{array}{                                    $			-	W	-
	0		-		
72:17 72:1747:2 50:12 58:25 47:2 50:12 58:25138:10132:5 way 10:6 37:21 46:2447:2 50:12 58:25 transfer 56:14,16 86:20 70:10,19 71:7 86:25 87:17 88:10 88:19132:5 59:4,5 61:11 63:3 66:20 70:10,19 71:7 75:13 86:3 88:66 88:19132:5 uploading 26:14,15 127:21 uploading 26:14,15way 10:6 37:21 46:24 57:20 58:17 84:5,15 127:21 127:21 123:15,16 140:10 133:15,16 140:1088:19 89:9 90:1,7 121:11 121:12124:19 128:12 129:1,5,18 142:8 129:1,5,18 142:8 129:1,5,18 142:8 117:14 122:1,15,25 transfer 59 88:20 117:14 122:1,15,25 transfer 59 88:20 110:7 114:3 121:22 110:7 114:3 121:22 1110:1 114:3 121:22 110:7 114:3 121:22 1110:1 114:210 123:10 123:10 124:110:1 125:1 123:10 125:10 110:1 111:11 133:16 34:10,12,13 133:16 34:10,12,13 133:16 34:10,12,13 133:16 34:10,12,13 133:16 34:10,12,13 133:16 34:10,12,13 <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td></b<>			-		-
Transcript 162:819/12/05/1219/12/05/1219/12/05/1219/12/05/12transfer 56:14,1659:24,561:1163:3uploaded 7:22 8:1waiting 36:14 70:2157:20 58:17 84:5,1586:25 87:17 88:1075:13 86:3 88:6,6upsetting 55:15 56:25walk 102:17 130:24133:15,16 140:1088:1988:12 90:24 93:5upstairs 73:13137:22walk arounds 82:10121:12129:128,12use 6:12 44:6 60:869:4 95:17 102:4,4158:13121:12129:15,18 142:869:4 95:17 102:4,473:13 133:6,9,10we'll 65:4 84:12transfers 88:20two-part 150:3useful 27:2walkway 154:20158:13110:14,18 111:9138:16type 60:12 102:1464:6walkway 154:20158:1359:23 60:4 80:3110:7 114:3 121:22umost 142:10158:13we'll 65:4 84:12100:14,18 111:9138:16type 60:12 102:1464:6walkway 155:1,4,1718:1,1 21:17 26:19110:14,18 111:9138:16type a9:24 66:14,15ycag 84:1822:17 23:17 25:6,834:14,19,20 42:8transmited 8:18typically 23:10V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4want 13:3 15:2535:9,24 59:11 67:24transmite 66:1UVaild 23:622:17 23:17 25:6,869:12 70:9 71:21transmite 66:1U126:25 150:11value 64:25 14:6:34:15 35:19,21 36:7transfer 66:12126:25 150:11value 57:1745:6 50:10 54:1transfer 76:2U7:24value 54:25 14:6:transfer 76:2U7:247:31 15:11,13transfer 6			10		
transfer 56:14,1666:20 70:10,19 71:7uploading 26:14,15127:2194:23 95:13 98:1886:25 87:17 88:1075:13 86:3 88:6,6upsetting 55:15 56:25upstairs 73:13137:22133:15,16 140:1088:1988:12 90:24 93:5upstairs 73:13137:22ualk 102:17 130:24133:15,16 140:1089:9 90:1,7 121:11124:19 128:12upstairs 73:13urgent 81:22walk-arounds 82:10143:6,11,12,24121:12129:1,5,18 142:869:4 95:17 102:44malked 54:18,2073:13 133:6,9,10we'll 65:4 84:12transferring 87:19144:6 150:4 154:7use 61:2 44:6 60:873:13 133:6,9,10we'll 65:4 84:12transfers 98:20two-part 150:3use 23:1uselul 27:2walkway 154:20158:13117:14 122:1,15,25two-way 31:4useally 8:21 63:6155:8we're 15:20 17:16transmit 6:22 124:25138:16155:8walked 22:3 18:8,9,926:24 29:22 30:7110:14,18 111:9138:16141:8127:24 40:10Wallace 2:23 18:8,9,926:24 29:22 30:7110:14,18 111:9138:16155:841:844:4 47:25 48:2,5transmit 6:22 124:2596:21V (A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4want 13:3 15:2535:9,24 59:11 67:24transmit 6:6:1U153:10,13,14,20,2136:25 41:2 24:869:12 70:9 71:21transmit 6:6:1U126:25 150:11value 64:25 146:634:15 35:19,21 36:769:12 70:9 71:21transmit 6:6:1U126:25 150:11value 64:25 146:634:15 35:19,21 36:799:21 04:12,13,14treatment 76:2					•
Bit and solved solve	-		-	0	
88:19       88:12 90:24 93:5       137:22       143:6,11,12,24         transferred 87:6 89:8       102:7,8 108:17       urgent 81:22       walk-arounds 82:10       150:2 155:16         89:9 90:1,7 121:11       124:19 128:12       use 6:12 44:6 60:8       73:13 133:6,9,10       we'l 65:4 84:12         transfers 98:20       two-part 150:3       useful 27:2       walkway 154:20       123:7,8 157:23         117:14 122:1,15,25       two-way 31:4       usually 8:21 63:6       155:8       we'l 65:4 84:12         59:23 60:4 80:3       110:7 114:3 121:22       umost 142:10       143:6,11,12,24       123:7,8 157:23         110:7 114:3 121:22       138:16       twose ags:24 66:14,15       96:21       100:7 114:3 121:22       155:8       we'l 65:24 29:22 30:7         110:14,18 111:9       138:16       type 39:24 66:14,15       V       40:2,15,16,16,25       44:4 47:25 48:2,5         125:2       yalic 23:10       V       V       44:4 47:25 48:2,5       34:14,19,20 42:8         transmitted 8:18       typically 23:10       Value 64:25 146:6       34:15 35:19,21 36:7       39:16 34:10,12,13         transmitte 66:1       U.S 7:24       value 42:5 146:6       34:15 35:19,21 36:7       99:110:41:2,13,14         value 23:10,12       U.S 7:24       value 64:25 146:6       34:15 3	-	-			
transferred 87:6 89:8       102:7,8 108:17       urgent 81:22       walk-arounds 82:10       150:2 155:16         89:9 90:1,7 121:11       124:19 128:12       use 6:12 44:6 60:8       69:4 95:17 102:4,4       walked 54:18,20       73:13 133:6,9,10         transferring 87:19       144:6 150:4 154:7       useful 27:2       walking 73:13 133:6,9,10       we'll 65:4 84:12         transfers 98:20       two-part 150:3       use 23:1       useful 27:2       walkway 154:20       123:7,8 157:23         117:14 122:1,15,25       two-way 31:4       use 23:1       usually 8:21 63:6       155:8       we'l 65:4 84:12         59:23 60:4 80:3       110:7 114:3 121:22       utmost 142:10       138:16       181:1, 12:117 26:19         101:14,18 111:9       138:16       138:16       V       valkeat 41:4       44:4 47:25 48:2,5         125:2       96:21       V 37:24 40:10       V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4       want 13:3 15:25       34:14,19,20 42:8         transmitted 8:18       typically 23:10       V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4       want 13:3 15:25       53:9,24 59:11 67:24         transmitte 66:1       U.S 7:24       Vialid 23:6       28:11 29:14,22       73:1 75:14 78:7         transmitte 66:1       transmit 6:22 124:10       V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4       want 13:3 15:25       53:9,24 59:11 67:24			- 0		
89:9 90:1,7 121:11 121:12       124:19 128:12 129:1,5,18 142:8 transfers 98:20       use 6:12 44:6 60:8 69:4 95:17 102:4,4 useful 27:2       walked 54:18,20 73:13 133:6,9,10       158:13         117:14 122:1,15,25 transient 21:23 48:2 59:23 60:4 80:3 110:7 114:3 121:22 138:16       two-part 150:3 two-way 31:4       use 61:2 44:6 60:8 69:4 95:17 102:4,4       walked 54:18,20 73:13 133:6,9,10       we'll 65:4 84:12         59:23 60:4 80:3 110:7 114:3 121:22 138:16       two-way 31:4 type 60:12 102:14       user 23:1 user 23:1       walkway 154:20       123:7,8 157:23         10:7 114:3 121:22 138:16       type 59:24 66:14,15 96:21       95:21       wtmost 142:10       Wallace 2:23 18:8,9,9       40:2,15,16,16,25       34:14,19,20 42:8         transmited 8:18 transmite 66:1       typically 23:10       V       V       37:24 40:10       wanted 103:4       48:25 49:1,22 53:1         Valid 23:6       valid 23:6       22:17 23:17 25:6,8       69:12 70:9 71:21       73:1 75:14 78:7         treatment 76:2 treatment 76:2       U.S 7:24       valid 23:6       28:11 29:14,22       73:1 75:14 78:7         tricks 160:12       126:25 150:11       126:25 150:11       133:10,3,14,20,21       36:25 41:22 44:8       99:21 104:12 105:1         tricks 160:12       126:25 150:11       153:15       walues 57:17       45:6 50:10 54:1       99:25 114:1 116:2         trigger 96:17       153:15			-		
121:12129:1,5,18 142:869:4 95:17 102:4,473:13 133:6,9,10we'll 65:4 84:12transfering 87:19144:6 150:4 154:7useful 27:2walking 73:13 133:1398:18 113:6 122:11transfers 98:20two-part 150:3use 23:1walkway 154:20123:7,8 157:23117:14 122:1,15,25two-way 31:4use 23:1walkway 155:1,4,1798:18 113:6 122:1159:23 60:4 80:3110:7 114:3 121:22utmost 142:10155:8we're 15:20 17:16110:7 114:3 121:22138:16type 39:24 66:14,1596:21wtmost 142:10162:24 29:22 30:7110:14,18 111:9138:16types 39:24 66:14,15Vvalue 84:18value 41:03:4transmited 8:18typically 23:10V 37:24 40:10want 13:3 15:2534:14,19,20 42:8transmite 66:1Uvalue 64:25 146:622:17 23:17 25:6,869:12 70:9 71:21treatment 76:2U.S 7:24value 64:25 146:634:15 35:19,21 36:794:16 94:12,13,14trend 05:14,20Uh-huh 10:18 42:19153:10,13,14,20,2136:25 41:22 44:899:21 104:12 105:1tricks 160:12126:25 150:11value 57:1745:6 50:10 54:199:21 104:12 105:1trigger 96:17153:15values 57:1745:6 50:10 54:1109:25 114:1 116:2Trimerge 3:3 17:15unanimously 8:12Veda 86:17 96:2569:12 75:16 77:3117:13,16 121:7					
transferring 87:19144:6 150:4 154:7useful 27:2walking 73:13 133:1398:18 113:6 122:11transient 21:23 48:2two-way 31:4useful 27:2walking 73:13 133:1398:18 113:6 122:11transmit 21:23 48:2two-way 31:4useful 27:2walking 73:13 133:1398:18 113:6 122:11transmit 6:22 124:25two-way 31:4useful 27:2walking 73:13 133:1398:18 113:6 122:11transmit 6:22 124:25two-way 31:4useful 27:2walking 73:13 133:1398:18 113:6 122:11transmit 6:22 124:25type 60:12 102:14useful 27:2walking 73:13 133:1398:18 113:6 122:11transmit 6:22 124:25type 60:12 102:14useful 27:2walking 73:13 133:1398:18 113:6 122:11transmit 6:22 124:25type 60:12 102:14thore 142:10transmit 6:22 124:25yait colspan="2">yait colspan="2">type 39:24 66:14,15type 39:24 66:14,15yait 23:10UV(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4want 13:3 15:25s3:9,24 59:11 67:24transmite 6:1type 2:1 27:2yait 23:16yait 23:16 34:10,12,13transmite 6:1 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>73:13 133:6,9,10</td> <td></td>	-			73:13 133:6,9,10	
transfers 98:20two-part 150:3user 23:1user 23:1117:14 122:1,15,25two-way 31:4user 23:1usally 8:21 63:6155:8we're 15:20 17:1659:23 60:4 80:3110:7 114:3 121:22utmost 142:1018:1,1 21:17 26:1926:24 29:22 30:7110:14,18 111:9138:16utmost 142:1040:2,15,16,16,2534:14,19,20 42:8transmit 6:22 124:2596:21V37:24 40:1044:4 47:25 48:2,5125:296:21V (A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4wanded 103:448:25 49:1,22 53:1transmitted 8:18typically 23:10V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4want 13:3 15:2553:9,24 59:11 67:24transparent 35:5U.S 7:24vaid 23:628:11 29:14,2273:1 75:14 78:7treatment 76:2U.S 7:24value 64:25 146:634:15 35:19,21 36:794:16 94:12,13,14trend 105:14,20utimately 7:10153:10,13,14,20,2136:25 41:22 44:899:21 104:12 105:1tricks 160:12126:25 150:11value 57:1745:6 50:10 54:190:25 114:1 116:2trigger 96:17153:15values 57:1745:6 50:10 54:1105:9,14,19,25Trimerge 3:3 17:15unanimously 8:12Veda 86:17 96:2569:12 75:16 77:3117:13,16 121:7			-	walking 73:13 133:13	
117:14 122:1,15,25two-way 31:4usually 8:21 63:6155:8we're 15:20 17:16transient 21:23 48:2110:7 114:3 121:22110:7 114:3 121:22utmost 142:10Walkace 2:23 18:8,9,926:24 29:22 30:7110:14,18 111:9138:16utmost 142:1040:2,15,16,16,2534:14,19,20 42:8transmit 6:22 124:25types 39:24 66:14,15V40:2,15,16,16,2534:14,19,20 42:8125:2types 39:24 66:14,1596:21V40:2,15,16,16,2534:14,19,20 42:8transmited 8:18typically 23:10V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4wanded 103:448:25 49:1,22 53:1transmite 66:1UV(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4want 13:3 15:2553:9,24 59:11 67:24treatment 76:2U.S 7:24Valid 23:628:11 29:14,2273:1 75:14 78:7trend 105:14,20utimately 7:10126:25 150:11153:10,13,14,20,2136:25 41:22 44:899:21 104:12 105:1trigger 96:17126:25 150:11153:15value 57:1736:25 41:22 44:899:21 104:12 105:1tringer 96:17153:15values 57:1759:20 61:18 65:8109:25 114:1 116:2Trimerge 3:3 17:15unanimously 8:12Veda 86:17 96:2569:12 75:16 77:3117:13,16 121:7	transfers 98:20			walkway 154:20	123:7,8 157:23
transient 21:23 48:2 59:23 60:4 80:3 110:14,18 111:9type 60:12 102:14 110:7 114:3 121:22 138:1664:6 utmost 142:10walkways 155:1,4,17 Wallace 2:23 18:8,9,9 40:2,15,16,16,2518:1,121:17 26:19 26:24 29:22 30:7 34:14,19,20 42:8transmited 8:18 transparent 35:5 traumatic 66:1 treatment 76:2 treatment 76:2 treats 23:10,12typically 23:10VV(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4 vague 84:18 valid 23:6wanded 103:4 22:17 23:17 25:6,8 34:14,19,20 42:818:1,121:17 26:19 26:24 29:22 30:7 34:14,19,20 42:8Utypically 23:10VV(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4 vague 84:18 valid 23:6wanded 103:4 22:17 23:17 25:6,8 33:16 34:10,12,1318:1,121:17 26:19 26:24 29:22 30:7 34:14,19,20 42:8Utypically 23:10VV(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4 vague 84:18 valid 23:6want 13:3 15:25 22:17 23:17 25:6,8 33:16 34:10,12,1353:9,24 59:11 67:24 69:12 70:9 71:21 33:16 34:10,12,13trend 105:14,20 trends 23:10,12Uh-huh 10:18 42:19 126:25 150:11 126:25 150:11 153:15uaid 64:25 146:6 153:10,13,14,20,21 values 57:17 values 57:17 values 57:17 values 57:17 values 57:17 values 57:17 values 57:16 77:3105:9,14,19,25 109:25 114:1 116:2 107:13,16 121:7	117:14 122:1,15,25	-		155:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
59:23 60:4 80:3 110:7 114:3 121:22 138:16       utmost 142:10       Wallace 2:23 18:8,9,9 40:2,15,16,16,25       26:24 29:22 30:7 34:14,19,20 42:8         transmit 6:22 124:25 125:2       types 39:24 66:14,15 96:21       V       V       Wallace 2:23 18:8,9,9 40:2,15,16,16,25       26:24 29:22 30:7 34:14,19,20 42:8         transmited 8:18 transparent 35:5       typically 23:10       V       V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4       wanded 103:4       44:4 47:25 48:2,5         transmite 66:1       typically 23:10       V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4       want 13:3 15:25       53:9,24 59:11 67:24         treatment 76:2       U.S 7:24       valid 23:6       valid 23:6       28:11 29:14,22       73:1 75:14 78:7         trends 23:10,12       ultimately 7:10       value 64:25 146:6       34:15 35:19,21 36:7       94:16 94:12,13,14         trigger 96:17       153:15       values 57:17       45:6 50:10 54:1       105:9,14,19,25         trigger 96:17       153:15       values 57:17       45:6 50:10 54:1       109:25 114:1 116:2         trigger 96:17       153:15       values 57:17       59:20 61:18 65:8       109:25 114:1 116:2         Veda 86:17 96:25       69:12 75:16 77:3       117:13,16 121:7	transient 21:23 48:2			walkways 155:1,4,17	18:1,1 21:17 26:19
110:14,18 111:9       138:16       138:16       40:2,15,16,16,25       34:14,19,20 42:8         transmit 6:22 124:25       96:21       V       41:8       44:4 47:25 48:2,5         125:2       96:21       V       77:24 40:10       wanded 103:4       48:25 49:1,22 53:1         transmit 66:1       typically 23:10       V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4       want 13:3 15:25       53:9,24 59:11 67:24         transmit 66:1       U       Visit 23:6       22:17 23:17 25:6,8       69:12 70:9 71:21         treatment 76:2       U.S 7:24       valid 23:6       28:11 29:14,22       73:1 75:14 78:7         trend 105:14,20       Uh-huh 10:18 42:19       value 64:25 146:6       34:15 35:19,21 36:7       94:16 96:14 97:21         tricks 160:12       126:25 150:11       153:10,13,14,20,21       36:25 41:22 44:8       99:21 104:12 105:1         trigger 96:17       153:15       unanimously 8:12       values 57:17       45:6 50:10 54:1       105:9,14,19,25         tricks 160:12       153:15       values 57:17       45:6 50:10 54:1       105:9,14,19,25         trigger 96:17       153:15       values 57:17       59:20 61:18 65:8       109:25 114:1 116:2         Veda 86:17 96:25       69:12 75:16 77:3       117:13,16 121:7	59:23 60:4 80:3	• -	utmost 142:10	Wallace 2:23 18:8,9,9	26:24 29:22 30:7
125:2       96:21         transmitted 8:18       typically 23:10 <b>U</b> V 37:24 40:10         V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4       wanded 103:4         want 13:3 15:25       53:9,24 59:11 67:24         transmitted 8:18       upically 23:10 <b>U</b> V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4         valid 23:6       22:17 23:17 25:6,8         valid 23:6       28:11 29:14,22         valid 23:6       73:1 75:14 78:7         value 64:25 146:6       34:15 35:19,21 36:7         126:25 150:11       126:25 150:11         trigger 96:17       153:15         Trimerge 3:3 17:15       unanimously 8:12	110:14,18 111:9	138:16		40:2,15,16,16,25	34:14,19,20 42:8
transmitted 8:18 transparent 35:5 traumatic 66:1 treatment 76:2 trends 23:10,12typically 23:10V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4 vague 84:18 valid 23:6 valid 23:6 valid 23:6 valid 23:6 valid 23:6 valid 23:6 value 64:25 146:6 153:10,13,14,20,21want 13:3 15:25 22:17 23:17 25:6,8 28:11 29:14,22 33:16 34:10,12,13 34:15 35:19,21 36:7 36:25 41:22 44:8 99:21 104:12 105:1 105:9,14,19,2553:9,24 59:11 67:24 69:12 70:9 71:21 73:1 75:14 78:7 84:16 94:12,13,14 94:16 96:14 97:21 99:21 104:12 105:1 105:9,14,19,25trends 23:10,12 tricks 160:12 	transmit 6:22 124:25	types 39:24 66:14,15			44:4 47:25 48:2,5
transparent 35:5       U       vague 84:18       22:17 23:17 25:6,8       69:12 70:9 71:21         traumatic 66:1       U       valid 23:6       28:11 29:14,22       73:1 75:14 78:7         treatment 76:2       U.S 7:24       validation 151:11,13       33:16 34:10,12,13       84:16 94:12,13,14         trend 105:14,20       Uh-huh 10:18 42:19       value 64:25 146:6       34:15 35:19,21 36:7       94:16 96:14 97:21         trends 23:10,12       ultimately 7:10       153:10,13,14,20,21       36:25 41:22 44:8       99:21 104:12 105:1         trigger 96:17       153:15       values 57:17       45:6 50:10 54:1       105:9,14,19,25         Trimerge 3:3 17:15       unanimously 8:12       Veda 86:17 96:25       69:12 75:16 77:3       117:13,16 121:7	125:2	96:21			48:25 49:1,22 53:1
UValid 23:628:11 29:14,2273:1 75:14 78:7treatment 76:2U.S 7:24valid 23:628:11 29:14,2273:1 75:14 78:7trend 105:14,20Uh-huh 10:18 42:19value 64:25 146:634:15 35:19,21 36:794:16 96:14 97:21trends 23:10,12ultimately 7:10153:10,13,14,20,2136:25 41:22 44:899:21 104:12 105:1tricks 160:12126:25 150:11values 57:1745:6 50:10 54:1105:9,14,19,25trigger 96:17153:15various 7:2259:20 61:18 65:8109:25 114:1 116:2Trimerge 3:3 17:15unanimously 8:12Veda 86:17 96:2569:12 75:16 77:3117:13,16 121:7	transmitted 8:18	typically 23:10			53:9,24 59:11 67:24
treatment 76:2       U.S 7:24       validation 151:11,13       33:16 34:10,12,13       84:16 94:12,13,14         trend 105:14,20       Uh-huh 10:18 42:19       value 64:25 146:6       34:15 35:19,21 36:7       94:16 96:14 97:21         trends 23:10,12       ultimately 7:10       153:10,13,14,20,21       36:25 41:22 44:8       99:21 104:12 105:1         trigger 96:17       153:15       values 57:17       45:6 50:10 54:1       105:9,14,19,25         Trimerge 3:3 17:15       unanimously 8:12       Veda 86:17 96:25       69:12 75:16 77:3       117:13,16 121:7	transparent 35:5		0		
trend 105:14,20Uh-huh 10:18 42:19value 64:25 146:634:15 35:19,21 36:794:16 96:14 97:21trends 23:10,12ultimately 7:10153:10,13,14,20,2136:25 41:22 44:899:21 104:12 105:1tricks 160:12126:25 150:11values 57:1745:6 50:10 54:1105:9,14,19,25trigger 96:17153:15various 7:2259:20 61:18 65:8109:25 114:1 116:2Trimerge 3:3 17:15unanimously 8:12Veda 86:17 96:2569:12 75:16 77:3117:13,16 121:7	traumatic 66:1				73:1 75:14 78:7
trends 23:10,12ultimately 7:10153:10,13,14,20,2136:25 41:22 44:899:21 104:12 105:1tricks 160:12126:25 150:11values 57:1745:6 50:10 54:1105:9,14,19,25trigger 96:17153:15various 7:2259:20 61:18 65:8109:25 114:1 116:2Trimerge 3:3 17:15unanimously 8:12Veda 86:17 96:2569:12 75:16 77:3117:13,16 121:7	treatment 76:2				
tricks 160:12126:25 150:11values 57:1745:6 50:10 54:1105:9,14,19,25trigger 96:17153:15various 7:2259:20 61:18 65:8109:25 114:1 116:2Trimerge 3:3 17:15unanimously 8:12Veda 86:17 96:2569:12 75:16 77:3117:13,16 121:7	trend 105:14,20				
trigger 96:17153:15various 7:2259:20 61:18 65:8109:25 114:1 116:2Trimerge 3:3 17:15unanimously 8:12Veda 86:17 96:2569:12 75:16 77:3117:13,16 121:7	trends 23:10,12	-			
Trimerge 3:3 17:15         unanimously 8:12         Veda 86:17 96:25         69:12 75:16 77:3         117:13,16 121:7	tricks 160:12				
	66				
17:19,25 18:6       Unbelievable /0:16       vendor 13:24       79:17 80:17 82:6,11       122:11 124:21,22	8				-
	17:19,25 18:6	Unbelievable 70:16	<b>vendor</b> 13:24	/9:1/ 80:1/ 82:6,11	122:11 124:21,22
		l	l	l	l

	1	1	1	
126:15,21 128:5,7	106:16	29:14,19 30:15	27:18 33:8 46:1	129:2,3
136:7,20 139:20	wore 59:14	31:25 40:21,25	47:13	
141:17 142:17	work 6:5 9:10,11	42:19 46:10,18	<b>119,000</b> 26:1	3
144:1,4,14 145:22	28:3,21 29:2 30:23	47:12 59:12,20	<b>12</b> 13:21 30:2 42:14	<b>3</b> 20:5 26:9 32:4 45:6
148:12,16,16 150:4	36:23 67:25 68:2	67:14 71:1 78:14,14	77:4 99:23	45:13 74:20 124:9
157:24 158:22	73:9 74:8 81:24	78:14,14,15 89:16	<b>12:15</b> 124:18	125:11,14 126:2,2
we've 16:11 20:16	91:25 98:16 111:18	94:2,8,15 95:2	<b>12:29</b> 1:17 161:6	128:14,22 130:7
26:14 45:7 49:23	123:8,11 127:16,21	98:25 104:20 105:7	<b>12th</b> 70:8	132:18 133:5
50:2 60:5 61:14	131:11 132:5 138:5	110:21 112:7	<b>13</b> 37:10,12,13 38:5	135:19 137:21
64:13,14 65:20 79:9	140:14 145:14	113:15 114:9 117:2	39:18 42:13,13,14	<b>3,174</b> 49:24
82:11 101:19	149:9 152:1 157:5	117:2,21 119:9	81:4	<b>30</b> 41:9,11 46:21
130:25 133:9,10	160:3	120:22,23 121:2,19	<b>135</b> 160:23	<b>300</b> 153:11
141:20 143:10	worked 21:15 96:25	122:9,18 124:1	<b>14</b> 20:23	<b>33</b> 56:16 62:6 117:13
156:5	working 36:12 43:24	126:3 129:4,24	<b>140</b> 46:17	<b>33301</b> 1:24
weapons 64:10	47:17 57:14 58:4,21	132:8 134:24	<b>14th</b> 49:23	<b>34</b> 45:25 117:13
Wednesday 68:2	67:12 68:2 84:12	144:14 153:4,25	<b>15</b> 30:3	<b>35</b> 21:8,9,25 22:5,15
95:20	97:15 123:17	154:24 159:9	<b>16</b> 1:16 56:15 59:8	22:22 23:19 24:18
week 8:1 60:4,5 95:9	workshop 67:17	year 9:10 11:16 12:15	86:24 87:2	36:2 37:9,16,16,23
weeks 21:3 129:1,5	workshops 9:15	12:16 21:1 45:9,10	<b>161</b> 47:13	45:8,12,15,17,18
129:19 158:23	worried 148:12	47:6,8,16 49:23	<b>18th</b> 20:22	46:15 47:14 60:20
weigh 130:13	worse 14:14	50:3 56:7 64:15	<b>19</b> 159:13	<b>356</b> 49:16
weights 59:16	<b>worst</b> 47:6	97:1 100:11 141:15	<b>194</b> 50:3	<b>37</b> 82:17,23 83:17
welcome 85:2	worthwhile 132:20	years 32:23 36:10	<b>1st</b> 46:25	<b>39</b> 56:15,15 62:6 67:5
well-defined 140:4	worthy 133:21	47:15 48:18 98:3	2	86:24 87:3 88:5,5
went 14:2,9 98:21	149:21	132:10 133:1		94:23
102:15 122:21	wouldn't 15:11 48:15	137:21 141:16	<b>2</b> 47:5	<b>397</b> 50:4
123:8 136:12,13,14	55:8 96:20 103:19	143:20 144:6 146:5	<b>20</b> 99:22 122:16	<b>3A</b> 42:16 78:16 81:8
145:4 146:22	116:19,20	146:17 151:6,7	200 45:11,15	81:9,12 114:21
147:11 152:7	wound 27:10	153:11,11,11 156:4	<b>200,000</b> 22:21,22,22	115:3,7 118:7
160:10	<b>Wow</b> 49:20	yellow 54:7	<b>2014</b> 134:11 136:4,22	<b>3F</b> 42:16 78:16 81:8
weren't 15:12 114:19	wrap 99:22	<b>Yep</b> 95:22,24	<b>2015</b> 152:4	81:12 114:21 115:3
132:10 133:15,16	wraparound 65:11	<b>yesterday</b> 6:18,24 9:1	<b>2016</b> 146:24 <b>2017</b> 146:25	118:7 <b>3RD</b> 1:13
142:16 147:12	<b>WRIGHT</b> 1:12	60:9 65:23 73:11,24	<b>2017</b> 140.25 <b>2018</b> 151:22 159:13	<b>SKD</b> 1.15
154:8	write 52:11 86:15	74:5 145:7	<b>2018</b> 131:22 139:13 <b>2020</b> 45:10,14	4
west 138:12	127:9,11	yesterday's 160:14	<b>2020</b> 43.10,14 <b>2021</b> 9:5,6 10:24	<b>4</b> 12:10 24:16 30:1
white 54:25	writing 86:13 119:19	Z	15:14 45:9,15	32:4
willingness 144:16	135:3 142:6,7	<b>zeros</b> 80:23	<b>2022</b> 20:21 45:15,16	<b>4.8</b> 12:10
wish 152:24 withdraw 90:18	153:11	<b>Zei US</b> 80.23	46:25 47:5	<b>40</b> 56:14
	written 116:24	0	<b>2023</b> 1:16 20:22	<b>400</b> 153:11
withdrawing 92:17 withdrew 91:6	<b>wrong</b> 54:17,23 55:5 77:14 144:23 145:4		45:15 162:15	<b>413</b> 49:24
wonder 30:4 98:9	148:1	1	<b>21</b> 21:1	<b>414</b> 45:9
wondering 9:24	wrote 53:12 75:4	<b>1</b> 8:23 9:6,7 10:13	<b>21-22</b> 100:11	<b>43</b> 49:17
148:14	78:19 131:8,9	11:4 15:14 25:21	<b>21</b> -22 100.11 <b>22</b> 21:1 45:13	<b>4380</b> 40:5 114:21
wood 152:14	/0.19 131.0,9	46:20 141:9	<b>23</b> 7:7 21:1 45:13	115:3 118:7
word 8:21,21 61:3	X	<b>1,358</b> 50:3	<b>23</b> <i>r</i> <b>d</b> 162:15	
113:7 117:6 134:10	<b>X</b> 117:17	<b>1,670</b> 45:16 47:12	<b>245,000</b> 71:6	5
134:16		<b>1.6</b> 65:9	<b>26th</b> 6:21	<b>5</b> 37:2,4 39:11 40:24
wording 84:16 109:9	Y	<b>10</b> 38:23,25 39:5	<b>28</b> 32:16	75:24,25 100:7
111:10	yeah 10:1 14:21,22	72:12,15 73:6	<b>29</b> 41:9,11	<b>537</b> 49:18 50:6
words 60:8 76:3	16:15 22:10 28:1	<b>100</b> 46:14,14 79:17	<b>2</b> nd 7:15 16:4 126:16	<b>584</b> 49:15
<b>WOLUB UU.0 / U.J</b>		<b>119</b> 25:19,24 26:1		<b>5a</b> 38:6,25 39:1,3,18
		I	I	1

40:11,21				
<b>5B</b> 39:19				
<b>5C</b> 39:19 41:8				
<b>5D</b> 31:9,20 37:2				
42:17 44:15 59:8				
66:8 71:7,8 75:21				
77:2,25 78:7,9,16				
81:4 84:16 114:21				
115:4 118:7				
<b>5E</b> 43:2 84:16,21				
114:21 115:4 118:7				
<b>5th</b> 1:24				
5th 1.24				
6				
<b>6</b> 37:17				
<b>6:45</b> 70:1				
<b>60</b> 23:6				
<b>600</b> 1:13				
<b>633</b> 1:24				
<b>67</b> 95:18				
07 93.10				
7				
7,000-whatever				
61:14				
<b>70</b> 23:8 24:5,9 27:17				
49:15 <b>71</b> 47:12				
<b>71</b> 47:13				
<b>72</b> 38:2 88:19 95:7				
<b>73</b> 38:1 49:18				
<b>75</b> 45:10,15				
8				
<b>8</b> 19:16 37:17				
<b>80</b> 30:2				
<b>800</b> 138:25				
<b>85</b> 45:25				
<b>885</b> 21:21 28:5				
9				
<b>9</b> 40:7,25 41:1 151:4				
<b>9/30/2022</b> 47:1				
<b>9/30/22</b> 21:20				
<b>9:33</b> 1:17				
90-day 160:15				
<b>93</b> 64:14				